```
1
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                        EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 2
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                          ) Case No.
                                             1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
 3
                           Plaintiff,
                                             September 29, 2020
 4
      V.
 5
                                            Yakima, Washington
      JAMES DEAN CLOUD (01); and
 6
      DONOVAN QUINN CARTER CLOUD
                                           ) Evidentiary Motion Hearing
                                            Day 1, Volume I Pages 1 to 244
      (02),
 7
                           Defendants.
 8
 9
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE SALVADOR MENDOZA, JR.
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10
11
                                 APPEARANCES:
12
      For the Plaintiff:
                                      Richard Cassidy Burson
                                      Richard.c.burson@usdoj.gov
13
                                      Thomas Hanlon
                                      Thomas.j.hanlon@usdoj.gov
14
                                      United States Attorney's Office
                                      402 East Yakima Avenue
15
                                      Suite 210
                                      Yakima, WA 98901
16
                                      509-454-4425
17
18
      For the Defendant James
                                      John B. McEntire, III
                                      Jay McEntire@fd.org
      Cloud (01):
                                      Lorinda Youngcourt
19
                                      Lorinda Youngcourt@fd.org
                                      Jeremy Sporn
20
                                      Jeremy Sporn@fd.org
                                      Federal Defenders
21
                                      Eastern Washington
22
                                      10 N. Post Street
                                      Spokane, WA 99201
509-624-7606
2.3
24
25
```

		2
1	For Defendant Donovan Cloud (02):	Richard A. Smith Rasmith@house314.com Smith Law Firm
3		314 North Second Street Yakima, WA 98901
4		509-457-5108
5		Mark A. Larranaga Mark@jamlegal.com
6		Walsh and Larranaga 705 2nd Avenue
7 8		Suite 501 Seattle, WA 98104 206-972-0151
9		
10		
11	Official Court Reporter:	Kimberly J. Allen, CCR #2758 United States District Courthouse
12 13		P.O. Box 685 Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 943-8175
14		nical stenography; transcript
15	produced by computer-aided tr	canscription.
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
-		

		2
		3
INDEX		
WITNESS INDEX		
Plaintiff Witness:	<u>Page</u>	
RONALD TROY RIBAIL		
Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire	83	
Cross-Examination By Mr. Smith Redirect Examination By Mr. Burson	176 189	
Recross-Examination By Mr. McEntire	202	
MICHAEL EDWARD WILLIAMS  Direct Evamination By Mr Burson	208	
Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire	235	
****		
Defense Witnesses:	Page	
<u>Defense Witnesses</u> :	<u>Page</u>	
	<u>Page</u>	
Defense Witnesses:  None	<u>Page</u>	
	<u>Page</u>	
	<u>Page</u>	
None <u>EXHIBITS ADMITTED</u> Plaintiff	<u>Page</u>	
None <u>EXHIBITS ADMITTED</u>	Page	
None  EXHIBITS ADMITTED  Plaintiff Number  Description  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness		
None  EXHIBITS ADMITTED  Plaintiff Number  Description  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2013	<b>Page</b> 27	
None  EXHIBITS ADMITTED  Plaintiff Number  Description  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2013 2 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy	Page	
Plaintiff Number  Description  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2013 2 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2019 4 Photographic Lineup 5032, June 9,	<b>Page</b> 27	
Plaintiff Number  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2013 2 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2019	<b>Page</b> 27 27	
Plaintiff Number  Description  1 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2013 2 FBI Procedures for Eyewitness Identification of Suspects Policy Guide - Nov. 26, 2019 4 Photographic Lineup 5032, June 9,	<b>Page</b> 27 27	
	WITNESS INDEX  Plaintiff Witness:  RONALD TROY RIBAIL  Direct Examination By Mr. Burson  Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire  Cross-Examination By Mr. Smith  Redirect Examination By Mr. Burson  Recross-Examination By Mr. McEntire  MICHAEL EDWARD WILLIAMS  Direct Examination By Mr. Burson  Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire	Plaintiff Witness:  Page  RONALD TROY RIBAIL  Direct Examination By Mr. Burson 18  Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire 83  Cross-Examination By Mr. Smith 176  Redirect Examination By Mr. Burson 189  Recross-Examination By Mr. Burson 202  MICHAEL EDWARD WILLIAMS  Direct Examination By Mr. Burson 208  Cross-Examination By Mr. McEntire 235

i				
				4
1	5	Photographic Lineup 5033, June 9,	30	
2	3	2019 Yakima County Sheriff's Office Photographic Lineup Instructions	37	
3	8	and Results Sheet Screenshot of SA Ribail	51	
4	7	Conversation with JV Yakama Nation Facebook Post, June	54	
5	6	9, 2019, 5:12 p.m.) Yakama Nation Facebook Post, June	57	
6 7	10	9, 2019, 3:19 p.m. Video recording of EZ interview (physical exhibit previously	216	
8	9	submitted) Photographic Lineup 5038, June 10, 2019	221	
9				
10	Defense Number	Description	Page	
11 12	1009	January 6, 2017 Memo from Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates to All	106	
13	1023	Law Enforcement Heads FBI Lineup policy manual with a	125	
14	1012	review date of 11/26/2016 FBI Eyewitness ID Policy Implementation Guide, 06.09.2019	132	
15	1010	Conditionally Admitted - October 1999, Department of Justice,	147	
16		Eyewitness Evidence, a Guide for Law Enforcement		
17	1006 1014	James Cloud Wanted Poster Lineup 5034 (Morris Jackson)	162 169	
18	1017 1002	YCSO Lineup Instructions for LL YCSO - Eyewitness ID Policy	172 236	
19				
20		GENERAL INDEX	Demo	
21	Panartar!a	Certificate	<u>Page</u>	
23	vehorrer, g	CELUITICALE	•••• 44	
24				
25				

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               5
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
             (September 29, 2020; 9:01 a.m.)
        1
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
        2
                  (Call to Order of the Court.)
        3
                     THE COURT: Please be seated.
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Matter before the Court is United
        5
09:01:32
             States of America v. James Dean Cloud and Donovan Quinn Carter
        6
             Cloud, Cause No. 1:19-cr-2032-SMJ, Defendant 1 and Defendant 2.
        7
                     Counsel, each time you address the Court, please state
        8
             your name for the court reporter to make an accurate record.
        9
             Please -- oh.
09:01:57 10
                     Counsel, please state your presence for the record,
       11
             beginning with plaintiff's counsel.
       12
                    MR. BURSON: Government's counsel?
       13
                     THE COURT: Government's.
       14
09:02:08 15
                     MR. BURSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard Burson
             and Tom Hanlon for the United States, joined today by Agent Troy
       16
             Ribail with the FBI.
       17
                     THE COURT: Good morning to all three of you.
       18
                    MR. McENTIRE: Good morning, Your Honor. Jay McEntire,
       19
             as well as Lorinda Youngcourt, as well as Jeremy Sporn on behalf
09:02:19 20
             of James Cloud.
       21
                     THE COURT: Good morning.
       2.2
                     Good morning, Mr. Cloud -- James Cloud. Good morning,
       23
       24
             sir.
                     DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: Good morning.
09:02:29 25
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 6 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, Rick Smith and Mark Larranaga on 1 2 behalf of Mr. Donovan Cloud. THE COURT: Good morning to you two. 3 Good morning, Mr. Cloud. Counsel, I wanted to go over a few things today; more 5 09:02:42 6 than anything, just process. Let me just get this computer set up here. 7 All right. So as I understand, there are -- there are three motions before the Court, and I want to go over again what 9 the Court anticipates, and I want to hear discussion from you 09:03:28 10 all if that's the plan, if that's what you all agree to. 11 12 As a preliminary matter, the courthouse has been closed to the public. However, the public line is open, so they -- the 13 public can have access to this hearing. 14 09:03:55 15 The parties are free to remove your masks when you are asking questions, and you can ask those questions from your 16 17 seated position. You do not have to approach the podium. there is a problem, we will clarify, with any issues of not 18 being able to understand you. You can keep your mask if you 19 wish to keep it on while you're asking the questions as well. 09:04:25 20 21 And the same will be true for the witnesses; they can remove their masks while they're testifying or they can keep their 22 masks, as they feel comfortable. It will be -- it will be up to 23 24 that individual witness. 09:04:43 25 Any questions about that process?

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 7 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 MR. McENTIRE: No, Your Honor. 1 MR. BURSON: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: As I indicated, there are three motions. 3 The Court will begin first with the motion to suppress JV's tainted identification, as it's titled. The second motion is a 5 09:05:07 motion in limine regarding Mr. false memory. And 6 the third is a motion to exclude EZ's unreliable ID. 7 A question for the parties, and we want the record to be 8 consistent: The parties have used initials for two individuals 9 yet not for the third. I think, for consistency sake, we should 09:05:34 10 either do all initials and refer to the parties by that so that 11 the court record is clear and, again, there's no ambiguity. 12 Is there a reason why we have chosen to use the full 13 name of the second individual? 14 09:05:57 15 MR. McENTIRE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okav. 16 17 MR. McENTIRE: The reason was -- is LL had voluntarily met with the Yakima Herald Republic reporter, and that resulted 18 in an article published online, so his name was freely available 19 as witness slash victim. Based on that, I felt comfortable 09:06:21 20 21 using LL's name, since he had essentially made his own decision to make his name publicly available to the universe. And so 22 that's why I had elected in that motion to refer to him by his 23 , as opposed to the other two 24 full name, as individuals, EZ as well as JV. 09:06:44 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 8 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020

And so that was one of the questions preliminarily that we had for the Court, is what the Court would prefer to do with that.

In that vein, Your Honor, throughout the motions, I believe all three motions, I consistently referred to both James Cloud and Donovan Cloud always by their first names to provide clarity.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McENTIRE: And just to let the Court know, for purposes of the hearing today, I intend to do the same thing so there's a clear record that whenever we're referring to James Cloud, it's clear, and whenever we're referring to Donovan Cloud, it's also clear.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anyone else from the defense like to speak to that point?

Okay. What's the Government's position?

MR. BURSON: During the course of this hearing, Your Honor, the Government's position is we should use initials for LL as well, first of all, for consistency, as the Court pointed out; but because the other two witnesses at issue here, they did not go to the media, their identities haven't been revealed. And second of all, the Government has an obligation, and, respectfully, I think this Court does, too, to protect the identity of witnesses. Whether or not those witnesses have

09:07:54 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 9 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 taken action that sacrifices their own protection, I don't think 1 the Government or this Court should then go the extra step and 2 just go ahead and make the -- make their name a matter of public 3 record. THE COURT: Okay. You know, I agree. I think it's -- I 09:08:07 think it's a good practice to refer to these individuals by 6 their initials. It will be consistent throughout. So why don't 7 we do that, Counsel. That will -- again, it will -- one, it's establishing the record as to the reasoning, but I think it 9 makes sense to do that, just to be consistent. 09:08:29 10 Also, and you all know this, you all have appeared in 11 front of me on various motions, but you know there's no 12 tag-teaming. One attorney is going to speak on any motion or 13 any witness. You can confer, obviously, with co-counsel, but 14 09:08:57 15 one attorney at a time. It gets a little confusing, and the record becomes unclear, when that doesn't happen. 16 So -- and just for the Court's understanding, who is 17 going to be handling -- from the -- from the defense, and who is 18 going to be handling Mr. -- or Agent -- is it Ribail? 19 MR. BURSON: I will, Your Honor. 09:09:25 20 21 THE COURT: Okav. MR. BURSON: For the record, Richard Burson. 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Burson. 24 And from the defense? MR. McENTIRE: For James Cloud, Jay McEntire will be 09:09:35 25

	ľ	7707 07 d -t -1 /1.10 0022 0M7 1 2
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 10 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
	1	handling all of the motions and all of the witnesses.
	2	THE COURT: Okay.
	3	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I will be handling on behalf of
	4	Donovan Cloud.
09:09:58	5	THE COURT: Okay. Got it.
	6	Let's see. Okay. Any other preliminary issue that we
	7	need to address at this time?
	8	MR. McENTIRE: I do have one, Your Honor. I did have an
	9	open question as to who, in fact, is calling in on the Court's
09:10:15	10	public line.
	11	THE COURT: Who is calling in?
	12	MR. McENTIRE: Correct, Your Honor. And the reason I
	13	had a question is I wanted to check and see if there were any
	14	other specifically listed victims that may be calling in on the
09:10:31	15	Court's public line and listening to this hearing.
	16	THE COURT: I don't know if there's a way for us to
	17	to know that. Maybe there is.
	18	MR. HANLON: Your Honor, if I could speak with
	19	Mr. McEntire for just a moment?
09:10:43	20	THE COURT: Sure.
	21	(Counsel conferring.)
	22	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Counsel?
	23	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, I just had an opportunity to
	24	confer with Mr. Hanlon and would the Court prefer me to be
09:11:23	25	standing or seated when I address the Court?

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 11 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Seated, I think, is easier for you. So why 1 THE COURT: don't we do that. 2 3 MR. McENTIRE: Thank you, Your Honor. I just had an opportunity to confer --4 THE COURT: And your mic is on, correct? 5 09:11:30 6 MR. McENTIRE: It is, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. McENTIRE: I just had an opportunity to confer with 8 Mr. Hanlon, and the individuals that would be listening in on 9 this hearing are essentially family members or related to the 09:11:42 10 deceased rather than the actual -- the individuals that I was 11 concerned about, Your Honor, would either be if JV was listening 12 in on this hearing --13 THE COURT: Oh, witnesses you mean, or potential 14 09:12:00 15 witnesses. MR. McENTIRE: Not necessarily potential witnesses. 16 17 concern, Your Honor, is under 18 U.S.C. 3771, which is the Crime Victim Rights Act --18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. McENTIRE: -- it gives victims certainly a right to 09:12:11 20 21 be present and notified and participate in these court hearings. 22 THE COURT: Right. MR. McENTIRE: However, there's an exception to it, and 23 24 specifically the exception under (a) (3), which is unless the Court finds, after receiving clear and convincing evidence, it 09:12:23 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 12 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 determines that the testimony by the victim would be materially 1 2 altered if the victim heard other testimony at that proceeding. So my concern, Your Honor, was that especially with 3 -- JV, especially with respect to LL, and especially with respect to EZ, that if they were participating 5 09:12:40 in this hearing or listening to this hearing, they would be 6 hearing substantive testimony throughout the course of today, as 7 well as tomorrow, involving the science behind eyewitness 8 identification, what happened with respect to their particular 9 identifications. And my concern is, speaking straight to the 09:12:57 10 heart of that exception under 3771, is that their testimony 11 could be materially altered by listening to essentially a 12 play-by-play of, from defenses' perspective, what went wrong 13 with those lineups. 14 09:13:13 15 THE COURT: Thank you. But it's my understanding that 16 they are not. 17 Is that -- is that the Government's understanding as well? 18 MR. HANLON: That is our understanding, Your Honor. 19 provided the names to Mr. McEntire of the people who reported 09:13:21 20 they will be listening today, so we don't have an issue. 21 can't quarantee for certainty they're not listening today, but 22 the ones that reported to us, "Hey, we're listening," none of 23 24 the folks that he's worried about have said they're going to be listening today. Specifically, JV stated he will not be 09:13:35 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 13 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 listening today. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Any -- anything else on that? MR. McENTIRE: No, Your Honor. I just wanted to 3 essentially lay out the record that that was one of the concerns, if those were any one of the individuals that would be 5 09:13:47 6 calling in. THE COURT: The information that the Court has is that 7 there may be seven individuals that are -- that are on the 8 phone, so that's the information that we have. 9 Okay. Again, any preliminary matters that anyone wants 09:14:08 10 to address at this point? Anything else? 11 12 MR. McENTIRE: Not from James Cloud's perspective, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Smith? 14 09:14:27 15 MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor. Thank you. MR. BURSON: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Very well. We're going to start, then, with the defense motion with 18 regards to JV's alleged tainted identification. 19 And one of the things that I -- as I mentioned -- again, 09:14:43 20 21 you all have practiced before me, so you know I don't hide the ball. And so here's -- here's a question, and really a question 22 for the defense, and maybe this will be elicited from the 23 24 testimony that will come out. I'm not asking for argument, but I want to tell you sort of where my brain is on this issue, and 09:15:04 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 what my concerns are, and what I'm trying to find out; if -what the testimony supports or doesn't support.

When I look at *Perry*, that was, again, decided just a few years ago, what the Court seems to be concerned about is that coordination between — in order to establish sort of the police misconduct, the Court seems to be concerned with that coordination between government agencies, police departments, in — in establishing this particular factor. And so I'm going to want to know what, if any, of that type of coordination did, in fact, occur. And I presume that that will be elicited from the — from the testimony, whether it was arranged, rigged, organized — again, that's the language that the Supreme Court uses.

Now, Sotomayor, in dissent, is, you know -- I would argue is frustrated by what the majority have done, but she, of course, is in the minority. And so that's the case of the law. So I'm going to want to hear testimony on that point one way or another so that the Court is clear on -- on that -- on that point.

Also, the other factor discussed in *Perry* is the -- is the -- whether it was unnecessarily suggested influence. The question is whether or not it's unnecessarily suggested. The "unnecessary" part of that -- that point is going to be clear. So I'm going to want to hear testimony on that or -- and obviously argument on that particular point. So make sure you

09:17:08 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 15
Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020

And from the -- and with regards to the third point, I'm interested to hear the Government's position in terms of the testimony that comes out with regards to the reliability, given the totality of the circumstances. So I'm interested to hear

And I was a little puzzled by the Government's argument that — that JV, having significant opportunity, favors a later positive identification, in terms of its reliability. So I'm going to want to hear how the testimony establishes that and what the argument is towards that, just so I'm clear in my head how you all — how you all are seeing this.

So, again, I just -- ultimately, there's a 403 argument as well. So I want to see how, in spite of all of that, how really -- although it's prejudicial, what -- you know, many things are prejudicial, from the defenses' perspective, I'm sure, but how is that outweighed by its incredibly probative value. So that's another point that I want to make sure that that is clear in your presentations today and tomorrow.

So, again, that's how -- those are the questions that I have in my mind, and I'm interested to see what the testimony shows.

So, with that in mind, I think we're ready for our first witness, and that would be Agent -- is it "Ri-bell"? How is that pronounced?

09:17:41

9

1

2

3

5

6

7

focus on that.

the Government's position there.

09:18:15 10

12

11

13

14

09:18:34 15

16

17 18

19

09:19:00 20

21

22

23

09:19:17 25

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              16
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                    MR. BURSON: "Ree-bail," Your Honor.
        1
                    THE COURT:
                                 I'm sorry?
        2
                    MR. BURSON: Agent Ribail.
        3
                    THE COURT: Ribail. Mr. Ribail I recognize; he's
        4
             testified before. But I apologize for mispronouncing your name.
        5
09:19:27
        6
             All right.
                    MR. BURSON: Before we begin, Your Honor, could the
        7
             Court repeat what it said it was concerned with regarding JV's,
        8
             I believe, significant opportunity to view? Was that what the
        9
             Court was wondering about?
09:19:44 10
                     THE COURT: Yeah. The Government must show the
       11
       12
             reliability, given the totality of the circumstances. And so
             one of the questions I have is understanding the Government's
       13
             argument as to that point, frankly. That's really it. So I
       14
09:20:04 15
             want to make sure I understand how you're making the point that
             under the totality of the circumstances that that is, in fact,
       16
       17
             reliable.
                    MR. BURSON: Understood.
       18
       19
                    THE COURT: Okay.
                    MR. BURSON: And I know we have the three pending
09:20:13 20
       21
             motions, Your Honor, but Agent Ribail's testimony is going to
             cover two of those motions --
       2.2
       23
                    THE COURT: Okay.
       24
                    MR. BURSON: -- or be relevant to two of those motions.
             The Government's subsequent witnesses will cover the third
09:20:23 25
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 17 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 pending motion. And I just want to make sure everyone is on the 1 2 same page --THE COURT: Is your mic on, Counsel? 3 MR. BURSON: It is, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And why don't you have a seat, 5 09:20:36 6 because, again, the public is listening in, and I want to make 7 sure that we're capturing that on the microphones, so -- and I know you're doing it out of habit and out of practice and out of 8 deference, and I appreciate that. Thank you. But I want to 9 make sure everyone is capturing what you're saying and that the 09:20:52 10 record is clear and that the public is able to hear. 11 12 So, go ahead. MR. BURSON: I will remain seated, Your Honor. 13 So just wanted to make sure everyone is on the same 14 09:21:03 15 page. We're taking testimony relevant to all the motions today, and then argument will be after the conclusion of testimony in 16 all three motions. 17 THE COURT: Very well. That makes sense. All right. 18 Is that the defenses' understanding? 19 MR. McENTIRE: It is, Your Honor. 09:21:15 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. That makes sense. All right. So let's go forward with our first witness. 2.2 MR. BURSON: The Government will then call Special Agent 23 Troy Ribail with the Federal Bureau of Investigation. 24 THE COURT: All right. Come on up. 09:21:31 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 18 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you please raise your right
	2	hand.
	3	
	4	RONALD TROY RIBAIL,
09:21:42	5	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, having first
	6	sworn or affirmed, testified under oath as follows:
	7	THE WITNESS: I do.
	8	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
	9	When you are testifying, you're welcome to take off your
09:21:57	10	mask, if you wish. There's a bottle of water there. Please
	11	take it with you when you step down.
	12	If you could please state your first and last name for
	13	the record, and spelling them both for the record.
	14	THE WITNESS: Okay. Troy Ribail; T-R-O-Y, R-I-B-A-I-L.
09:22:16	15	THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.
	16	
	17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. BURSON:
	19	Q Good morning, Agent Ribail.
09:22:19	20	A Good morning.
	21	Q Just for the record, is that your full legal name?
	22	A It is not.
	23	Q Could you please give us that for the record, and spell
	24	that as well.
09:22:25	25	A It is Ronald Troy Ribail.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 19 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q How long have you been with the Federal Bureau of
	2	Investigation?
	3	A Seventeen years.
	4	Q Okay. And over the course of those 17 years, how many of
09:22:39	5	them were here in the Yakima resident office?
	6	A I've almost been here five years now, I think.
	7	Q And where were you before that?
	8	A I was in Virginia, prior prior to here.
	9	Q Okay. During the time that you've been here with the
09:22:55	10	Yakima resident office, primarily what types of crimes have you
	11	spent your time investigating?
	12	A Mainly I would say drugs, gangs, violent crime, including
	13	the Yakama Indian Reservation.
	14	Q Have you received training from the FBI on the interviewing
09:23:16	15	of victims and witnesses and victim witnesses?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Okay. Have you received ongoing training during your
	18	career with the FBI, both on the job and and formal or
	19	informal?
09:23:29	20	A Yes.
	21	Q What about lineup procedures? Have you received training
	22	on lineup procedures?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Okay. How many lineups would you say you've conducted?
09:23:39	25	Let's and let's let's answer that question for now only

		UCD Cloud at al /1.10 an 2022 CM 1 1 2
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 20 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	with respect to photographic lineups.
	2	A I would say approximately 20.
	3	Q Okay. How many lineups have you maybe not conducted
	4	yourself but observed or assisted with?
09:23:56	5	A Probably another ten, maybe 20.
	6	Q Okay. Now, if we expanded that to other types of
	7	lineups in-person lineups, photo book lineups containing
	8	larger compilations how many lineups do you think that you've
	9	either participated in or or conducted yourself?
09:24:13	10	A Fifty to 100, probably.
	11	Q Okay. Are you familiar with a document or publication,
	12	internal publication entitled "Procedures For Eyewitness
	13	Identification of Suspects, Policy Implementation Guide,"
	14	published November 26th of 2013 by the FBI?
09:24:32	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Okay. And you've you were familiar with that document?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Okay. Were you familiar with that document prior to June
	19	of 2019?
09:24:43	20	A Generally, yes.
	21	Q Okay. "Generally" meaning you had read it and reviewed it
	22	at some point in time?
	23	A I think I was maybe phrase it a different way
	24	probably provided some sort of training and/or have seen it, but
09:24:58	25	I don't know that I've had read the whole thing front to

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               21
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             back, necessarily.
        1
                  Okay. All right. And what about another publication
        2
        3
             entitled "Procedures For Eyewitness Identification of Suspect
             Policy Guide," titled June -- sorry, published June 9th of 2019?
             As we sit here today, are you familiar with that document?
09:25:18
        6
                  I am.
                  Now, that document was published June 9th of 2019; is that
        7
             right?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
             0
                  And so --
09:25:27 10
                     THE COURT: And, Counsel, just for the Court's
       11
             clarification, can you clarify who produces this document, what
       12
             the document is, just so that I'm -- the record is clear.
       13
                     MR. BURSON: I can, Your Honor. And you're referring
       14
09:25:39 15
             only to the second document?
       16
                     THE COURT: Yes.
       17
                     MR. BURSON: Okay.
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
       18
                  So the document I was just referring to published June 9th,
       19
             2019, that was an FBI-published document, Agent Ribail?
09:25:46 20
       21
             Α
                  Yes.
                         And did you review it on June 2019 [sic], the day
       22
             that it was published?
       23
             Α
                  No.
       24
09:25:59 25
                  Okay. Was that -- it was a Sunday, I believe.
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 22 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q Okay. Were you engaged in an investigation during that
	3	time?
	4	A Yes.
09:26:06	5	Q Okay. Did you administer a lineup on that day?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And did that involve an individual going by the initials of
	8	JV?
	9	A Yes.
09:26:16	10	Q Okay. Did you administer a lineup on that day to an
	11	individual going by LL?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. Had you had a chance to review that publication,
	14	being published that same day, prior to administering those
09:26:29	15	lineups?
	16	A No.
	17	Q So I just mentioned some dates. I'd like to talk a little
	18	bit more about those dates. I'd like to take you back to
	19	June 8th of 2019. That was a Saturday.
09:26:46	20	What were you involved in that day?
	21	A On that day I was I was the duty agent, or on-call
	22	agent, and I received a call from Yakama Nation Tribal Police
	23	Department that there were there was a I think the initial
	24	call was maybe a double homicide or triple homicide, out in
09:27:05	25	White Swan.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 23 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q What did you do after you received that call from Yakama
	2	Nation?
	3	A I drove out to the physical location.
	4	Q Okay. And, roughly, could you just tell us where that
09:27:19	5	physical location was.
	6	A It was on
	7	Q You can refer to the residence, if you know the residence.
	8	A It was, uh it's on Medicine Valley Road in White Swan.
	9	Q Okay. Whose residence was that?
09:27:30	10	A Uh, John Cagle.
	11	Q Okay. And when you arrived to that residence, could you
	12	briefly describe what that crime scene appeared to involve, in
	13	terms of illegal conduct?
	14	A When I arrived, there was one individual kind of outside
09:27:46	15	the driveway still alive. They were trying to Medevac him out
	16	of the area. And then there were four other or three other
	17	bodies on the property.
	18	Q What time did you arrive, roughly, on that Saturday
	19	June 8th, 2019?
09:28:01	20	A It was midafternoon. I would say maybe 3:00.
	21	Q Okay. How long do you think you spent at the crime scene
	22	that day?
	23	A I was there until after midnight.
	24	Q Okay. Were there any other agencies on scene with you?
09:28:16	25	A Yes. The Yakima Sheriff's Office, uh, Tribal Police. Uh,

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 24 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	there could have been a few others at that time. And then
	2	later, Washington State Patrol.
	3	Q Okay. You left around midnight.
	4	When did you next return to that residence?
09:28:31	5	A About 9:00 a.m. the next morning.
	6	Q Okay. What were you engaged in in the morning when you got
	7	there on June 9th, 2019?
	8	A We executed a federal search warrant on the property.
	9	Q Okay. Had that search warrant been obtained overnight or
09:28:47	10	earlier that morning?
	11	A Early that morning, yes.
	12	Q All right. And so the execution of the search warrant, how
	13	many agencies were involved in the execution of that search
	14	warrant?
09:28:56	15	A Uh, pretty much all the ones I mentioned. But Washington
	16	State Patrol was the main entity conducting the search for us.
	17	Q How many agents and officers, roughly, would you say, if
	18	you had to estimate, based on your observations, were there at
	19	the crime scene involved in the investigation on June 9th, 2019?
09:29:22	20	A The entire case, like people out in the field doing
	21	different things as well? Probably 50.
	22	Q Okay. And from the FBI, were you the only agent at the
	23	scene?
	24	A On Saturday, yes. But on Sunday there was another agent
09:29:40	25	out there.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 25 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. And what office was that agent from?
	2	A Uh, he works in the Tri-Cities FBI office.
	3	Q All right. So in White Swan on that particular day, you
	4	were the only agent from the Yakima resident office on scene?
09:29:59	5	A That's correct.
	6	Q Okay. Approximately how much time did you spend that
	7	morning at the Cagle residence?
	8	A In the morning, maybe two or three hours; and then later in
	9	the evening, another couple hours.
09:30:11	10	Q All right. So in the morning, two or three hours, so you
	11	left sometime around 11:00 or noon?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. Did the other 50-or-so agents and officers, did
	14	they, for the most part, remain at the crime scene?
09:30:26	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Okay. And when you left about two or three hours after
	17	arriving, where did you go?
	18	A Uh, I think initially I went with Detective Cypher to their
	19	Zillah substation.
09:30:44	20	Q Okay. And what was the purpose of going to that
	21	substation?
	22	A We started making photographic lineups.
	23	Q Okay. By that point in time, had you identified some
	24	potential witnesses that you wanted to interview and/or
09:30:59	25	administer lineups to?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 26 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. At the substation, how long did it take to compile
	3	the lineups?
	4	A At least an hour. It felt like maybe even up to two hours.
09:31:14	5	Q Okay. And how many lineups in total were compiled?
	6	A Four.
	7	Q And each lineup, did it contain a different well, let's
	8	back up.
	9	Were the lineups generating [sic] using the identities of
09:31:29	10	possible suspects?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Okay. And how many you said four.
	13	Did each lineup contain one such suspect?
	14	A Yes.
09:31:39	15	Q Okay. So the Spillman system is what you used to conduct
	16	the or what was used to compile the lineup?
	17	A It was Spillman, yes.
	18	Q Okay. Can you give us a brief description brief of
	19	how it is that Spillman generates a lineup when a known
09:32:03	20	individual, a suspect is is used as sort of the base
	21	photograph?
	22	A Yes. I am not a Spillman operator, but from being around
	23	other people when they do it, my understanding, and from
	24	observing them do it, is you enter either the subject's name or
09:32:21	25	their name number, and from there it generates it pulls

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              27
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/D/Burson
             information about that person -- their height, weight, even
        1
             race, sex -- and from there it generates a photo lineup for you,
        2
             um, of five other pictures, including the subject. And then on
        3
             the side, it -- it shows other individuals that might be -- that
             are similar. It gives you a choice, I guess, of other people to
09:32:49
        6
             add and subtract from the lineup.
                    MR. BURSON: Before I move on, Your Honor, the agent
        7
             spoke briefly about two policy quides that were published by the
             FBI in 2013 and 2019, respectfully. Those are marked as
        9
             Government's Exhibits 1 and 2. I'd like to move to just go
09:33:19 10
             ahead and admit those now.
       11
       12
                    THE COURT: Any objection?
                    MR. McENTIRE: No, Your Honor.
       13
                    THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
       14
09:33:27 15
                  (Government Exhibit No. 1 admitted into evidence.)
                  (Government Exhibit No. 2 admitted into evidence.)
       16
       17
                    MR. BURSON: May I approach the podium for --
                    THE COURT: You can.
       18
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       19
                  Agent Ribail, I'm showing you the cover page of what is
09:34:18 20
             marked as Government's Exhibit 4.
       21
                    THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't catch it. Government
       22
             exhibit ...?
       23
       24
                    MR. BURSON: 4, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT:
09:34:29 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 28 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	2	Q Have you seen that document before?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And you can see it on your monitor right now, right?
09:34:37	5	A I can.
	6	Q What is this document?
	7	A Uh, this is one of the lineups that we made that day.
	8	Q Okay. And by "that day," you're referring to June 9th,
	9	2019?
09:34:48	10	A Correct.
	11	Q At the substation?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. I'm going to briefly flip through.
	14	As you recall, is this the complete lineup that was
09:35:03	15	generated that day?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q All right. And which which suspect was this lineup
	18	generated from?
	19	A Donovan Cloud.
09:35:19	20	Q And so is this the picture that was used to generate the
	21	remainder of the lineup (indicating)?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Can you see that on your screen Agent Ribail?
	24	A Yes.
09:35:48	25	Q Do you recognize this document?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                29
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                         Ribail/D/Burson
                  I do.
        1
             Α
                  What document is this?
        2
                  It's a -- it's another photo lineup, but this one contains
        3
             James Cloud.
                  Okay. And this was also generated June 9th, 2019?
09:35:57
        6
                  Yes.
                  I'm going to flip through --
        7
                     THE COURT: Counsel, what exhibit number is this?
        8
                     MR. BURSON: This is marked as Exhibit 5, Your Honor.
        9
                     THE COURT: Okay.
09:36:09 10
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
       11
       12
                   I believe you testified that this was generated using a
             photo of James Cloud, correct?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
09:36:29 15
                  Is this the photo of James Cloud --
                  Yes.
       16
             Α
       17
                  -- that was used to generate the lineup?
       18
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Okay. And both of these exhibits that we just reviewed,
       19
             Exhibits 4 and 5, they're in the same condition they were
09:36:39 20
       21
             following their generation that day?
       2.2
                  Yes.
       23
             0
                  Okay.
       24
                     MR. BURSON: Your Honor, Government moves to admit
             Exhibits 4 and 5.
09:36:48 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               30
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                                    No objection from James Cloud.
        1
                     MR. McENTIRE:
                                No objection, Your Honor.
        2
                     MR. SMITH:
                                 They'll be admitted.
                     THE COURT:
        3
                   (Government Exhibit No. 4 admitted into evidence.)
                   (Government Exhibit No. 5 admitted into evidence.)
        5
09:37:00
        6
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
                  So after you produced these lineups, Agent Ribail, where
        7
             did you go?
                  We went to JV's residence.
        9
                  Okay. So we've been talking about another crime scene --
09:37:17 10
             right? -- at, I believe you referred to it as Cagle residence at
       11
             one point, Medicine Valley another time.
       12
                  What was the -- what was your reason for going to JV's
       13
             residence?
       14
09:37:34 15
                  Uh, JV and his family, uh, on Saturday, a couple of people
             had arrived at their residence and basically carjacked, you
       16
       17
             know, their car at gunpoint.
                  Okay. When you went to the residence, were you able to
       18
             make contact with JV and his family?
       19
                  Yes.
09:37:54 20
             Α
       21
                  Okay. I want to focus primarily on JV.
                  What was your understanding of the incident, as described
       22
             by him?
       23
             Α
                  Just generally starting at the beginning?
       24
09:38:14 25
             Q
                  Yes.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 31 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A I believe his wife and a kid were outside working on flower
	2	beds, something like that, when a couple people approached the
	3	house and demanded a car, I think, in simple terms.
	4	Q Okay. Are you aware of any more detail that he had
09:38:32	5	provided before you arrived at the residence or in subsequent
	6	interviews?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Could you enlightened us, please.
	9	A Well, yes. So he was interviewed that day by, I believe,
09:38:43	10	the sheriff's office, but he described both individuals, uh,
	11	like height, you know, size, I think a little bit of clothing,
	12	and that they were both armed.
	13	Q Okay. And as far as what happened when those individuals
	14	arrived, what he witnessed, could you tell us what he described
09:39:00	15	regarding that?
	16	A So one of them, I think, had a pistol pointed at his son's
	17	head. Uh, the other individual stayed with JV. JV eventually
	18	got keys to the vehicle, and then that's the vehicle they used
	19	to flee, but they actually brought the son with them, until the
09:39:18	20	son jumped out.
	21	Q Okay. And why did JV go get the keys?
	22	A Uh, they were in the garage, I believe. They were inside
	23	the house. He had to go inside the house to get the keys.
	24	Q Okay. You said "they" went inside the house.
09:39:33	25	Other than JV, who went inside of the house?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               32
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
        1
                  One of the suspects.
                  Okay. Accompanied him into the house?
        2
             Α
                  Yes.
        3
                  Okay. And JV, as you understand it, retrieved the keys for
             what vehicle?
09:39:44
        6
                  I think initially it was a van.
        7
             0
                  Okay.
                  And then they didn't like -- didn't want the van, so then
        9
             he gave them the keys to his truck.
                  Okay. When you say "they," you're referring to?
09:39:53 10
                  The suspects.
       11
       12
                         And so did he have to go back into the house to
             retrieve an additional set of keys?
       13
                  I don't know. I -- I mean, generally, yes, but I -- my
       14
09:40:10 15
             impression was it wasn't like a long way into the house.
                                                                          It was
             kind of like reaching into the -- maybe into the garage.
       16
             was slightly in, bringing the van keys, and they didn't want the
       17
             van so slightly in, switching to the truck --
       18
       19
                  Okay.
             Q
                  -- is my impression.
09:40:24 20
       21
                  So there were two retrievals of keys.
       22
             А
                  Yes.
                        During this time, you said one suspect had a gun to
       23
             his son's head, I believe, correct?
       24
09:40:35 25
             Α
                  Yes.
```

## USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 33 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson What was the other suspect doing, as reported by JV? 1 He also had a gun, um, described as a shotgun. 2 Okay. And what was he doing with that shotgun? Did JV 3 Q say? I would say JV felt threatened by the shotgun, yes. 09:40:44 6 Okay. And do you recall how -- how far away JV said he -he was? 7 I don't know that there was a specific number, but -- to the person with the shotgun very close, but from him to his son 9 and the other suspect, I would say within 20 feet, 10 -- 10, 09:41:08 10 20 feet. 11 Okay. And as reported by JV, what happened after he 12 retrieved the set of truck keys, after returning the van keys? 13 Uh, the suspects got in it, they -- they made his son get 14 09:41:26 15 in the back of the truck, and then when they left, the son jumped out of the truck. 16 17 Okay. So the -- the son got into the back of the truck? 18 Yes. Okay. Why did the son get into the back of the truck? 19 Initially, they were trying to get the son in the front, 09:41:39 20 21 and I think the family pled with them to let him -- to allow him to ride in the back of the truck. 22 Okay. Again, just for clarity sake, when you say "they," 23 24 you're referring to? 09:41:53 25 JV and his family.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 34
		Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. And when you say "they" wanted to get the son into
	2	the front of the truck, you're referring to?
	3	A The suspects.
	4	Q Okay. And so if I'm understanding your testimony right,
09:42:06	5	there was an attempt to I don't want to misclarify here, but
	6	"they," referring to the suspects, wanted the son in the cab of
	7	the truck.
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q Okay. And when and when they made that known, what
09:42:23	10	happened?
	11	A JV and his family pled with them to allow him to to ride
	12	in the bed of the truck.
	13	Q Okay. And so during this time, it sounds like JV and his
	14	family were speaking with the suspects.
09:42:34	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Okay. And then and so did they convince the suspects
	17	to to allow the son to ride in the back of the truck?
	18	A They did.
	19	Q Okay. Once the son is in the back of the truck, what
09:42:49	20	happened?
	21	A Uh, the truck starts to pull out. I think it had to back
	22	up, stop for a second, then go forward. And as that was
	23	happening, JV and/or his wife were screaming, you know, "Get out
	24	of truck" or "jump" or something, and the son did. And then the
09:43:05	25	truck left their residence.

	_	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 35 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. And so it was after it would have been the day
	2	after this incident that you went to JV's residence, correct?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Okay. And you spoke with JV?
09:43:22	5	A Yes.
	6	Q What was the the primary reason for going to JV's
	7	residence?
	8	A To show him the photo lineups.
	9	Q And you recall Exhibits 4 and 5 that we just went through,
09:43:39	10	correct?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And you did you show JV all four lineups that were
	13	generated?
	14	A Yes.
09:43:45	15	Q Okay. And Exhibits 4 and 5, those were amongst those four
	16	lineups?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Okay. I'm showing you what's marked as Government
	19	Exhibit 3.
09:44:07	20	Do you recognize that document?
	21	A I do.
	22	Q Okay. Could you briefly describe for us what that document
	23	is.
	24	A So it's a Yakima County Sheriff's Department photographic
09:44:20	25	lineup, it's basically instructions that they use to before

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 36 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	they show a photo lineup to a witness.
	2	Q Okay. And you had gotten this document from where? It's
	3	not an FBI document, correct?
	4	A That's correct.
09:44:30	5	Q Okay. It's a Yakima County document.
	6	And you retrieved it from?
	7	A Uh, Detective Cypher had it.
	8	Q Okay. So the document was from Detective Cypher.
	9	Who actually administered the lineup?
09:44:45	10	A I did.
	11	Q Okay. There's a signature line here. It says, "Officer
	12	Showing the Lineup."
	13	Whose signature is that?
	14	A Mine.
09:44:55	15	Q Okay. And right here, where it says, "Person Viewing the
	16	Photo Lineup," whose signature is that?
	17	A JV.
	18	Q Okay. And I believe this indicates June 9th, 2019, is the
	19	day the lineup was administered.
09:45:11	20	A That's correct.
	21	Q Now, you've reviewed this document before, it sounds like.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Is it in the same condition as it was on June 9th, 2019,
	24	following the execution and completion of the other notes on
09:45:20	25	here?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              37
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             Α
                  Yes.
        1
                    MR. BURSON: Okay. Your Honor, the Government moves to
        2
             admit Government's Exhibit 3.
        3
                    MR. McENTIRE: No objection from James Cloud.
                    MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.
        5
09:45:32
                                It will be admitted.
        6
                    THE COURT:
                  (Government Exhibit No. 3 admitted into evidence.)
        7
             BY MR. BURSON:
                            (Continuing)
                  So I'd like to talk about the procedure that you went
        9
             through with JV.
09:45:48 10
                  Before you started administering the lineups, did you tell
       11
             him anything about the lineups that you were getting ready to
       12
             administer or the pictures that he was getting ready to review?
       13
                  So we read the -- that form verbatim, um, and then I
       14
09:46:12 15
             believe there was a short discussion afterwards of -- you know,
             in my memory, one thing for sure we told him was, you know,
       16
       17
             don't tell your family whether you picked anyone or not. Don't
             discuss what you did or didn't do when we showed you the lineup,
       18
             with your family.
       19
                  Okay. And you gave JV that instruction prior to beginning
09:46:28 20
       21
             the lineup?
                  Yes. We gave that to all of his -- all of JV's family.
       22
                  Okay. And so when you talk about Exhibit 3 --
       23
       24
                    MR. BURSON: Could we pop that up? Thank you.
       25
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 38 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing) 1 When you talk about Exhibit 3, you said you read these 2 instructions verbatim. 3 Are you referring to the instructions here, where I'm 4 indicating, marked as 1 through 6? 5 09:46:57 Yes. 6 Okay. And then I assume this introductory line here 7 (indicating). 9 Α Yes. Okay. As best as you recall, did JV have any questions 09:47:06 10 about those instructions? 11 12 No. And did he review the document before he signed it where 13 you indicated he did earlier? 14 09:47:19 15 Α Yes. Okay. And just for clarity purposes, Agent Ribail, the 16 17 lineups that you generated, as we saw earlier, and, again, those are Exhibits 4 and 5 that we're going to be talking about, the 18 first page of those is a cover sheet with some identifying 19 information about the individuals pictured. 09:47:56 20 21 Was that included with the photos while you conducted the lineup with JV? 2.2 23 Α No. So that was removed beforehand? 24 09:48:08 25 Α Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 39 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. So now let's talk about the actual administrative
	2	the actual administration of the lineup following the execution
	3	of that cover sheet marked as Exhibit 3 or admitted as
	4	Exhibit 3.
09:48:23	5	Did you use the same procedure for each of these well,
	6	for all of the lineups that you administered with them?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Okay. Can you walk us through first of all, where did
	9	this lineup take place?
09:48:39	10	A It was in JV's driveway at his residence.
	11	Q Okay. So the two of you were standing in the driveway?
	12	A Yes, with with Detective Cypher.
	13	Q Okay. And as you were administering this lineup with JV,
	14	where was rest of his family?
09:48:56	15	A Inside the residence, I believe, but not not around us
	16	or, you know, within I couldn't see them, so
	17	Q Okay. Other than you, Detective Cypher, and JV, was anyone
	18	else in the driveway?
	19	A No.
09:49:12	20	Q Okay. And now can you walk us through how you actually
	21	administered this lineup?
	22	A So I I would take a packet, and then based on my notes,
	23	I believe we started with, you know, No. 5035. I would make
	24	sure that the name list was gone, so either put it in my folder
09:49:34	25	or put it under the other remaining lineups. And then I would

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 40 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson hand them to him, and we're actually on the hood of a car. 1 2 hand him -- they're upside down. You know, I would hand him the first photo, he would review it, and then hand it back, and we 3 would repeat. And I'm handing them in such a way -- they're upside down -- so that I'm not really -- I can't see them, you 5 09:49:54 6 know, either, as I'm handing them. Okay. So the stack of photos would be on the hood of the 7 truck? 9 Α Car, yes. Hood of the car. 09:50:04 10 Did you hand him the photos one by one? 11 12 Yes. Okay. So you would hand him one photo. 13 Would you hand him another photo while he's still looking 14 09:50:16 15 at that, or would you wait until he gave the photo back to you before handing him another photo? 16 17 Would wait for him to, yes, hand it back after -- most people say "no" or something like that. So he would say "no," 18 and then he -- I would take it back and then hand him the next 19 one, and then after he had enough time to review it, I would 09:50:32 20 21 take it back and then hand him another one. Okay. And, now, I know the photos were upside down on the 22 hood of the car, and you just testified that you made an effort 23 24 not to see which photo it was as you handed it to him. 09:50:54 25 What about when he handed it back to you? Would you make

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 41 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	the same effort to not view the photo he had just handed back?
	2	A I think generally. I think it's harder when somebody is in
	3	control, so I probably did see them. But, yes, I did my best to
	4	not be involved in knowing what he was looking at.
09:51:12	5	Q Okay. And as you recall, by the time you took the photo
	6	back in each instance, he had already indicated a "yes" or a
	7	"no," as far as identification goes?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Okay. What about the order in which the photos were laying
09:51:30	10	facedown on the vehicle? Did you know what order they were in?
	11	A It's a tough question. I think the answer is "yes" and
	12	"no." Um, I would say yes, I knew I know what order they
	13	were in, because we kept them in the same order as they are in
	14	the lineup, as they were generated, the list. Um, but because
09:51:53	15	there were so many lineups, I couldn't memorize which lineup was
	16	which. So, you know, in this case we started with 5035. Um, I
	17	had I did not memorize all four lineups where suspects, what
	18	number they were in each, I guess, if that makes sense.
	19	Q So as you were handing photos to JV, and you weren't able
09:52:22	20	to see the photo, did you know which photo it was as you handed
	21	it to him?
	22	A I guess generally I'd know this is the first photo, the
	23	second photo, and so on, yes.
	24	Q But did you know whether it was a filler photo or a suspect
09:52:36	25	photo?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               42
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  No.
        1
             Α
                  So looking back at Exhibit 3, there are some markings down
        2
             here, and we'll start with the four-digit numbers; each of them
        3
             begins with a 5.
                  What are those numbers referring to?
09:53:02
        6
                  That's the unique number that Spillman gives the photo
             lineup when you're done and save it.
        7
                  Okay. And -- and who was it that was making these notes
             here?
        9
                  Myself.
09:53:14 10
                  All right. And so judging by the fact that there's --
       11
             there are four lineups administered, there's four unique
       12
             identifying numbers on here -- 5032, 5033, 5034, 5035 -- this
       13
             cover sheet was used to record, by you, the results of the
       14
09:53:38 15
             lineups for all of the lineups consolidated, right?
                  Correct.
       16
             Α
       17
                  Okay. I'd like to show you again Exhibit No. 5.
                  We've been talking about unique identifying number.
       18
             5033 on the cover sheet of the lineup, and also in the upper
       19
             left-hand corner of the -- each individual picture, is that the
09:54:13 20
       21
             unique identifier, same unique identifier that is indicated as
             5033 on Government Exhibit 3?
       2.2
       23
             Α
                  Yes.
       24
                  And going back to Exhibit 4, this unique identifier, 5032,
             is that the same 5032 that's on the cover sheet?
09:54:50 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               43
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
                          So let's talk about Exhibit 4.
        2
                  Okav.
        3
                  You testified earlier that this was a lineup including a
             photo of Donovan Cloud, correct?
             Α
                  Yes.
09:55:05
        6
                  All right. And was this -- and, again, you testified this
             is one of the lineups that you showed to JV on that day.
        7
                  Yes.
                  All right. And when you administered this lineup to him,
        9
             did you use the same single-blinded procedure you described
09:55:21 10
             earlier?
       11
       12
                  Yes.
                  Do you recall if, when you handed him any pictures in this
       13
             lineup one at a time, whether he indicated that he recognized
       14
09:55:38 15
             any of these individuals in this lineup as the individuals who
             had visited his house that day, you know, held his son at
       16
       17
             gunpoint, et cetera?
                  Yes, he did.
       18
                  Okay. Do you recall -- well, let's just look at the notes
       19
             you made, shall we?
09:55:54 20
       21
                  Showing again Government Exhibit 3. There's a "5032" here.
                  Is that referring -- again, referring to the "5032" on
       22
             Exhibit 4?
       23
       24
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Can you read the note next to "5032"?
09:56:10 25
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 44 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A Yes. It says (reading): Looks like guy had pistol to
	2	son's head, facial hair, height, hair, teared up almost instant.
	3	Q Okay. And as you recall it, that description, that looks
	4	like the guy that had gun to son's head and is that an
09:56:44	5	accurate statement of what he said about this particular
	6	individual?
	7	A Yes. That's me taking notes as he's describing why he
	8	believes that is one of the that that's the person with the
	9	pistol at his son's head.
09:57:00	10	Q Okay. Do you recall, when you handed him that picture, any
	11	time delay between him looking at the picture and him making
	12	that comment?
	13	A No. He teared up that's why I noted it that way up
	14	almost instantly. I mean, he took the picture, and you could
09:57:19	15	just see his face change.
	16	Q Okay. So that comment there, teared up instantly, does
	17	that accurately reflect what you observed happened when he
	18	viewed the picture that you had handed him?
	19	A Yes.
09:57:39	20	Q Okay. And "5032" with a "6" next to it, what does that
	21	note indicate?
	22	A That's me noting which picture he identified.
	23	Q And by "5032-6," showing Government Exhibit 4, last page
	24	Page 6 is labeled 7, is this the photo that you're referring to
09:58:13	25	when you made that note

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               45
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
                  -- that he instantly teared up upon seeing --
        2
        3
             Α
                  Yes.
                     MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
        4
             attorney is misstating what the officer's notes reflect. He
        5
09:58:22
        6
             keeps -- he keeps referring to it as "teared up instantly," when
             the officer's notes reflect that -- there's another word there,
        7
             and I don't want to -- to make a record that's incorrect.
        8
                     MR. BURSON: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
        9
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
09:58:39 10
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       11
                  Is this the picture that JV, as you recall and made a note,
       12
             quote, according to your note, "teared up almost instantly"?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
09:58:54 15
                  Okay. Going back to Exhibit 5, lineup 5033, as indicated
             on each page on the upper left-hand corner, you testified
       16
             earlier this is a lineup that included James Dean Cloud,
       17
             correct?
       18
                  Correct.
       19
             Α
                  Okay. And this lineup, did you use the same single-blinded
09:59:25 20
       21
             administration technique that you described for us earlier?
       22
                  Yes.
                  Okay. And as you handed these photos to JV, did he pause
       23
       24
             at any of them to indicate that he recognized any individuals?
09:59:47 25
             Α
                  No.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 46 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. So he made no identification for this?
	2	A Correct.
	3	Q And, indeed, Government Exhibit 3, next to "5033" indicates
	4	none.
10:00:06	5	Is that right?
	6	A No identification, correct.
	7	Q Okay. Now, you administered lineups to his wife and his
	8	son that day as well, correct?
	9	A I yes.
10:00:26	10	Q They made no identification?
	11	A Correct.
	12	Q After you administered the lineups that day at JV's
	13	residence with JV and his family, did you depart the residence?
	14	A Yes.
10:00:45	15	Q Where did you go?
	16	A I don't remember exactly where we went next, but later I
	17	know later in that day we, um, administered a lineup with LL,
	18	and we also ended up back on JV's property later that day as
	19	well.
10:01:02	20	Q Okay. So I want to focus on the ending up back at JV's
	21	property.
	22	How did you end up I should say, why did you end up back
	23	on JV's property?
	24	A Based on the investigation at that point, we believed that
10:01:17	25	there might be some other evidence out in one of their fields,

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              47
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             so we wanted to go take a look.
        1
                  Okay. And so you were -- you actually were on JV's
        2
        3
             property?
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And do you remember approximate time of day?
10:01:28
        6
                  I would guess 6:00 or 7:00 at night.
                  When you were on JV's property, did you make contact with
        7
             0
             JV?
                  We did.
        9
             Α
                  Okay. How did that come about?
10:01:46 10
                  We were -- I think we were driving through -- to get on his
       11
             property, which is kind of a farm, we had to drive through part
       12
             of the driveway. And as we were driving through the driveway, I
       13
             think on the way in -- could have been on the way out, but I
       14
10:02:04 15
             think on the way in, he -- I picture him kind of like running,
             kind of shaking his hands, so we stopped to see what he wanted.
       16
       17
                  Okay. And what -- and what did he want?
                  Uh, he wanted to talk to us because he had seen pictures on
       18
             the Internet of who he thought were the suspects.
       19
                  Okay. And did he make any statements about those photos
10:02:23 20
             that he'd seen on the Internet?
       21
                  He did. He said that, uh, the photos that he saw on the
       22
             Internet he was 100 percent sure were the two individuals that
       23
       24
             carjacked him and his family the previous day.
                  Okay. And you just used the phrase "100 percent sure."
10:02:42 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 48 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Are those his words or yours?
	2	A His words.
	3	Q Verbatim?
	4	A Yes. I didn't even ask. He said, "100 percent." And he
10:02:55	5	was kind of mad.
	6	Q Okay. And you said you didn't ask. You didn't ask for a
	7	degree of certainty. He volunteered 100 percent?
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q Okay. So you said he was kind of mad.
10:03:05	10	Why would he be did he indicate why he was mad?
	11	A He did. He said, "Why couldn't you use those photos?" And
	12	I hadn't seen the photos that he had seen at that point, but,
	13	um, he was sort of describing that, you know, one of them was
	14	like a Facebook picture, I think he said like a guy, you know,
10:03:23	15	flexing his muscles in front of a waterfall or
	16	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I can't hear the
	17	witness' testimony.
	18	THE COURT: Okay.
	19	MR. SMITH: If you could bring it a little closer and
10:03:32	20	speak up.
	21	THE COURT: Sure.
	22	Could you repeat your last answer.
	23	MR. SMITH: Please.
	24	THE WITNESS: I don't remember where I was at. Um
	25	

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 49 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	2	Q You were just saying that he indicated that at least one of
	3	them was a Facebook photo.
	4	A Yes. He kind of described the photos; that one he
10:03:48	5	described, in my memory, as being kind of like a Facebook photo,
	6	um, of a guy like posing in front of a waterfall. His point was
	7	that these were new, fresh, you know, pictures. It looked like
	8	the two people that were there.
	9	Q Okay. Now, he didn't show you the pictures that day, I
10:04:18	10	believe you just indicated.
	11	A No.
	12	Q All right. At some point thereafter were you able to see
	13	what pictures he was referring to that he had he had seen and
	14	was, quote, 100 percent certain that those those were the
10:04:32	15	suspects?
	16	A Yes. He provided them to us at a later date.
	17	Q Okay. How did he provide them to you?
	18	A Uh, he sent them in a text message.
	19	Q All right. Showing you what has been marked as Government
10:04:55	20	Exhibit 8, albeit very small at the bottom.
	21	Do you recognize this photo?
	22	A Yes, that's that's what he provided to me.
	23	Q Okay. When you say this appears to be like a text
	24	message string.
10:05:09	25	Is that right?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 50 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q Okay. So when you say he provided it to you, you mean he
	3	sent you a text?
	4	A Yes.
10:05:17	5	Q Okay. And so this is an exchange between you and JV; is
	6	that right?
	7	A That's correct.
	8	Q Okay. And is this like a screen-shot of your phone?
	9	A Yes.
10:05:29	10	Q Okay. And and so this would be you asking for a web
	11	page link?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. And is this the did he indicate that this is the
	14	photo that he had seen online?
10:05:43	15	A Yes.
	16	Q All right. He didn't provide a name, correct?
	17	A This is all he provided, yes. Correct.
	18	Q Okay. And what I mean is he didn't provide a name of "This
	19	person named X, this is his picture"?
10:05:57	20	A Not not that I recall, no.
	21	Q Okay. Do you know now who this who the individual
	22	pictured in this photo is?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Could you tell us?
10:06:06	25	A It's Donovan Cloud.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               51
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
Ribail/D/Burson
                  Okay. And JV had already indicated that a photo of Donovan
        1
        2
             Cloud in the lineup was the person who held a gun to his son's
        3
             head, correct?
                  Yes.
                  Okay. Now, he also sent you this link here.
10:06:23
        6
                  Do you recall what that link is to, as best as you recall?
                         It's I think it's called Yakima Scan. I can't
        7
             remember if it's attached -- I mean, it's attached to Facebook,
             but it's a local place where people -- you can get on there and,
        9
             you know, say, "My neighbor's house is on fire" or "the police
10:06:48 10
             are at this address." It's just a way to report crime and --
       11
       12
             and fires and other dangerous situations, I quess.
                     MR. BURSON: Okay. Your Honor, Government moves to
       13
             admit Exhibit 8.
       14
10:07:03 15
                     MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.
       16
                     MR. McENTIRE: And no objection from James Cloud.
       17
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
                   (Government Exhibit No. 8 admitted into evidence.)
       18
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       19
                  Showing you what's marked as Government Exhibit 7.
10:07:35 20
       21
                  Is this -- is this the photo that JV had sent you a link
       22
             to?
       23
             Α
                  No.
       24
             0
                  So --
                  That's not the link. That's --
10:07:51 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 52 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Sorry. Okay. Is this a reproduction of the photo that JV
	2	had sent you a link to?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Okay. But it was on something called Yakima Scan, I
10:08:05	5	believe you testified to.
	6	A Scan and/or the Yakama Nation website.
	7	Q Okay. Are you familiar with this image here?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Including, you know, the the information up top, the
10:08:18	10	imagery?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Okay. And so where does this come from?
	13	A Uh, the Yakama Nation Tribal Police put this bulletin out.
	14	Q Okay. Now, this is a photo of James Cloud, correct?
10:08:35	15	A Correct.
	16	Q Your understanding is this is the photo that JV saw
	17	sometime prior to him coming out and flagging you down?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q This was the photo that he was referring to, it's your
10:08:45	20	understanding, when he said, "Why didn't you guys use these
	21	photos"?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q This is one of them.
	24	A That's correct.
10:08:51	25	Q Okay. And when he said he was 100 percent certain that

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               53
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             these were the guys, your understanding is these were one of the
        1
             guys that he was referring to?
        2
                  Yes.
        3
             Α
                  Okay. So have you become familiar with the origins of
             this -- well, first, you've viewed this, right, online?
10:09:06
        6
                  Yes.
                          In and around the time that JV provided you the
        7
                  Okav.
             information?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And it's substantially in the same form as it was when you
10:09:16 10
             viewed it?
       11
       12
                  Yes.
                  Okay. And, again, you viewed this on the Yakama Nation's
       13
             Facebook page?
       14
10:09:26 15
             Α
                  Yes.
                     MR. BURSON: Okay. Your Honor, move to admit Government
       16
       17
             Exhibit 7.
                     THE COURT: Any objection?
       18
                     MR. McENTIRE: The question was whether or not he viewed
       19
             it under the Yakama Nation's Facebook page, and I think it was
10:09:36 20
       21
             viewed on the Yakama Tribal Police. I just want to clarify
       22
             that.
                              (Continuing)
       23
             BY MR. BURSON:
                  Where did you originally view this?
       24
10:09:48 25
                  I saw it in multiple places, so I don't know which place I
             Α
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               54
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             saw it initially.
        1
        2
                  Okay.
                  I think this reproduction we actually got from either
        3
             Tribal directly or from their website.
                  Okay. But you obtained this from one of those sources?
             Q
10:10:00
        6
                  Yes.
                          Is it in substantially the same form as it was when
        7
                  Okav.
             you obtained it --
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  -- from one of those sources?
10:10:07 10
             Α
                  Yes.
       11
       12
                     MR. McENTIRE: No objection from James Cloud.
                     MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.
       13
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
       14
10:10:13 15
                   (Government Exhibit No. 7 admitted into evidence.)
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       16
       17
                  So I want to talk about this Facebook posting for a bit.
                  You know, it says (reading): One suspect remains at large
       18
             in reservation murders.
       19
                  Your understanding is that's referring to the murders that
10:10:28 20
       21
             we've been discussing, correct?
       2.2
                  Correct.
                  All right. And I just want to clarify one point: At this
       23
       24
             point in time, did the investigation indicated [sic] that the
             same people who were suspected of these reservation murders that
10:10:39 25
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 55 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson had occurred the day before this was posted, were they the same 1 suspects in the events at JV's residence that we've been talking 2 about? 3 At this point that's what we believed, yes. Okay. Still believe that? 0 10:10:57 6 Yes. So -- and what was that based on? 7 I think generally it was based on a vehicle was taken from where -- from John Cagle's residence, and that vehicle was near 9 this -- near JV's residence. And, you know, there were four 10:11:17 10 occupants at one point, and then two got picked up and the other 11 12 two didn't, so kind of narrowed down which two likely ended up at JV's residence. 13 Okay. And is there other -- as we stand here today, is 14 10:11:35 15 there other evidence to corroborate that the same individuals were involved in both incidents that you haven't detailed today 16 17 and --18 Α Yes. -- are the subject of another hearing? 19 10:11:44 20 А Yes. 21 Okay. So this post, that appears it was posted June 9th at 5:12 p.m., indicates that one suspect remains at large in the 22 reservation murders. 23 Was that your understanding as well, that there was one 24 subject at large? 10:12:04 25

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                56
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                         Ribail/D/Burson
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
                  Okay. And based on your investigation up to that point,
        2
             one of those suspects was James Cloud, the individual pictured
        3
             here --
             Α
                  Yes.
10:12:13
        6
                  -- correct?
        7
                  Okay. And so that's an accurate statement: June 9th,
             2019, 5:12 p.m., one suspect remained at large, and it was the
        8
             individual pictured here.
        9
                  Correct?
10:12:23 10
       11
             Α
                  Yes.
       12
                  And actually named in the -- in the post.
       13
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Okay. I'd like to show you another posting with the header
       14
             "Yakama Nation Info."
10:12:47 15
                  Are you familiar with this image here?
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  Did you obtain this image as well?
       18
                  The FBI did, yes.
       19
             Α
                  Okay. And where was it obtained from?
10:12:55 20
       21
                     THE COURT: What exhibit number, Counsel?
       22
                     MR. BURSON: This is marked as Government Exhibit No. 6,
             Your Honor.
       23
       24
                     THE COURT: Thank you.
       25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                57
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        1
                  Are you familiar with this image?
        2
             Α
        3
                  Yes.
                  Okay. Do you know where it came from?
             Α
                  The Yakama -- Yakama Tribal Police.
10:13:08
        6
                  Okay. And so was this -- and in substantially the same
             form as it was when you originally viewed it after it was
        7
             obtained by another agent?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And your understanding is it's also a Facebook post?
10:13:24 10
             Α
                  Yes.
       11
       12
                     MR. BURSON: Okay. Move to admit Government Exhibit 6.
                     THE COURT: Any objection?
       13
                     MR. McENTIRE: No objection from James Cloud.
       14
10:13:36 15
                     MR. SMITH: No objection, Your Honor.
                                 It will be admitted.
                     THE COURT:
       16
       17
                   (Government Exhibit No. 6 admitted into evidence.)
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       18
                  So this post indicates that it was put up on the Facebook
       19
             account on June 9th, 2019, at 3:19 p.m.
10:13:43 20
       21
                  You'd agree with that, right?
       2.2
                  Yes.
                  That's two hours prior to this post in Exhibit 7 saying
       23
       24
             that one suspect is at large.
10:14:02 25
             Α
                  Yes.
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 58 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson And even though it's two hours prior, this one says all 1 suspects connected to five murders have been apprehended. 2 That's correct. 3 Okay. So you would agree the later post, in Exhibit 7, indicating one suspect, James Cloud, pictured here is at large, 5 10:14:17 is a correction of this earlier post saying that all suspects 6 are -- have been captured. 7 Yes. Okay. Did you do any investigation or did a co-agent do 9 any investigation, that you've spoken with on this matter, do 10:14:37 10 any looking into exactly how that happens, that the Yakama 11 Nation posted all suspects in custody at 3:00 p.m. and then two 12 hours later another post saying, actually, that's not the case; 13 there's one suspect at large? 14 10:15:00 15 Α Yes. Could you briefly describe how it is that that happened. 16 17 Yes. Generally, Oregon State Police arrested Donovan Cloud and there were a couple other people in the car or in the area, 18 and I think when that information was relayed to law enforcement 19 up here, you know, through Tribal, through Sheriff's Office, 10:15:18 20 21 through us, somehow it got twisted that there were two people in custody. And I think, uh, somebody told Tribal, or they made 22 the leap themselves, that because two people -- two people, 23 including Donovan Cloud, were in custody, that they both were in 24 10:15:38 25 custody.

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 59 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson Okay. 1 Q And then they released this memo. 2 3 So Oregon State Police had apprehended Donovan Cloud --Q THE COURT: Counsel, let me stop you here. This is normally the time when we take our morning 5 10:15:50 6 recess when we're in trial, so we're going to follow a normal trial schedule. We'll take a 15-minute recess at this time, 7 give the witness and everyone an opportunity to take a little break. 9 One thing I failed to mention, and I want to make sure 10:16:12 10 that the record is, in fact, also clear on this: Mr. -- Messrs. 11 12 Cloud, your attorneys are seated in a socially distant way, approximately at least 6 feet from you. However, if you need to 13 communicate with them at any point, you can do so at any point. 14 10:16:36 15 If there's something that is -- you cannot hear, it is mumbled because of a person wearing facemasks or whatever, let me know, 16 17 and I will make sure that whatever is not understood by you is repeated. 18 Is that clear? First with Mr. James Cloud? 19 DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: Yes. Yes. 10:16:56 20 21 THE COURT: Mr. Donovan Cloud? DEFENDANT DONOVAN CLOUD: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And, also, have you had an opportunity to 23 24 communicate with your lawyers, if you wished to do so, during the hearing this morning? If you wished to do so, did you have 10:17:07 25

	ŕ	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 60 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	an opportunity to communicate with them during this hearing?
	2	DEFENDANT DONOVAN CLOUD: No. Yeah. No.
	3	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's not a trick question.
	4	Okay? Let me start with Mr. James Cloud.
10:17:27	5	During this hearing, did you have an opportunity to, if you
	6	wanted to, not to say that you were compelled to, but if you
	7	wanted to talk to your attorney, did you have an opportunity to
	8	do so if you wanted to?
	9	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: Yeah, briefly. But, um
10:17:43	10	THE COURT: I can't hear you.
	11	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: I said yeah, briefly, when I
	12	first got here. But like I just got a big stack of papers to
	13	review yesterday, and we don't actually got
	14	THE COURT: Okay.
10:17:59	15	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
	16	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: I got a bunch of copies of
	17	motions
	18	THE COURT: Sure.
	19	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: well over 100 pages.
10:18:04	20	THE COURT: Sure.
	21	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: We can't we want to take part
	22	in our own defense. We don't get actually all of that here.
	23	THE COURT: Okay. And that is the subject of probably
	24	another motion that is going to come to me, but I appreciate you
10:18:19	25	telling me that. But just with regards to this hearing, have

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 61 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	you had an opportunity to talk to your attorney during the
	2	course of this hearing, if you wanted to?
	3	DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: Um, well, yeah, right here in
	4	the courtroom.
10:18:32	5	THE COURT: Okay. That's all I'm asking. Thank you,
	6	sir.
	7	Sir, with regards to you?
	8	DEFENDANT DONOVAN CLOUD: Yeah.
	9	THE COURT: You have?
10:18:40	10	DEFENDANT DONOVAN CLOUD: Yes.
	11	THE COURT: All right. Okay. And, again, feel free
	12	that you are able to talk to your attorneys. If we need to stop
	13	this proceeding in order for you to do that, know that you can.
	14	Is that clear to you? Sir, first to you, Mr. James
10:18:54	15	Cloud.
	16	THE DEFENDANT JAMES CLOUD: Yes. Thank you.
	17	THE COURT: Mr. Donovan Cloud?
	18	DEFENDANT DONOVAN CLOUD: Yes.
	19	THE COURT: All right. We'll take a short recess at
10:19:00	20	this time. Thank you.
	21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is in recess.
	22	(Recess taken: 10:19 a.m. to 10:34 a.m.)
	23	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
	24	(Call to Order of the Court.)
10:35:11	25	THE COURT: Please be seated.

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 62 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson Mr. Burson, you can continue. 1 MR. BURSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 3 BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing) So, Agent Ribail, just to get us back on track here, we were talking about these two Facebook posts by Yakama Nation, 10:35:47 6 right? 7 Α Yes. And we were discussing the fact that two hours before they posted -- posted a picture of James Cloud saying one suspect at 9 large still, they had posted a posting saying that all suspects 10:36:10 10 were in custody. 11 12 Yes. And I believe you testified that that was basically the 13 result of some miscommunication between Oregon State Police 14 10:36:24 15 and -- what was the other -- what's the entity that posts -- is responsible for this posting, as you know? 16 Yakama Nation. 17 Okay. Yakama Tribal Police or Yakama Nation? 18 They're one in the same, but I think Yakama Nation Tribal 19 Police ultimately were the ones that either asked to have this 10:36:43 20 21 posted or posted it directly. Okay. And that was because they had heard from Oregon 22 State Police that two people were in custody, correct? 23 24 Yes, but -- there could have been a middle agency in between, but, generally yes. 10:36:58 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 63 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Are you familiar with the phrase "game of telephone"?
	4	A Yes.
10:37:03	5	Q Okay. Is it your understanding that is what occurred here?
	6	There was a game of telephone, and two suspects in custody
	7	became these two suspects in custody?
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q Okay. And is it your understanding that at some point in
10:37:18	10	time, within two hours, I suppose, the Yakama Nation became
	11	aware that their earlier posting was incorrect?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And this subsequent posting, indicating that James Cloud
	14	was still at large, was that issued to correct the prior
10:37:38	15	posting?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Okay. And you you who is the other agent working
	18	with you closely on this?
	19	A Uh, Special Agent Jennifer Terami.
10:37:48	20	Q Okay. And one or both of you has had conversations with
	21	Yakama Nation about this Facebook posting?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Okay. And you've discussed it amongst each other.
	24	A Yes.
10:37:56	25	Q Okay. And so is it your understanding well, let me ask

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 64 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	you, where this post says "due to misidentification," what is
	2	your understanding of what is being referred to?
	3	A The fact that they believed they were both in custody, and
	4	then they later learned that only one was.
10:38:13	5	Q Okay. So we touched briefly earlier on FBI policy at the
	6	beginning of your testimony.
	7	And, again, at the time that you were conducting the
	8	lineups that we've been discussing, you were operating under FBI
	9	policy guidance issued in November of 2013
10:38:54	10	A Correct.
	11	Q is that right?
	12	And you testified you're familiar with that policy.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Okay. And we also talked earlier about the procedure that
10:39:03	15	you went through with JV's lineup, as well as other lineups, and
	16	another lineup that we haven't talked about yet.
	17	Is it your understanding that those lineups were conducted
	18	in compliance with the FBI November 2013 policy guidance?
	19	A Yes.
10:39:25	20	Q Okay. And so based on the policy guidance, you would
	21	agree right? the policy guidance requires you to include
	22	only one suspect per photo lineup?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Did you do that?
10:39:44	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 65 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q It requires you to use a minimum of five filler
	2	photographs.
	3	Did you do that?
	4	A Yes.
10:39:49	5	Q It requires you to use fillers that are similar in
	6	appearance to not only themselves but to the suspect that's
	7	included.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Did you do that?
10:40:01	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Okay. Now, you're aware that it says that you should
	12	request the witness not to have any contacts with the media,
	13	correct?
	14	A Yes.
10:40:13	15	Q Okay. So focusing on on the lineup administered to JV
	16	and the instructions you gave him before and after the lineup,
	17	if any, you testified earlier that you told him not to discuss
	18	it with his family, correct, the lineup?
	19	A Correct.
10:40:31	20	Q Okay. Did you tell him not to contact any media?
	21	A No.
	22	Q And why not?
	23	A I think generally I sort of forgot. I also felt like he
	24	was not the type of person that was going to go do interviews
10:40:48	25	with the media.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 66 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. And is that your understanding of what the policy
	2	means when it says "contact the media," actually go do
	3	interviews and and things of that nature?
	4	A Absolutely.
10:40:59	5	Q Okay. And this policy, as written by the FBI, this is to
	6	provide guidance to agents, correct?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Not lawyers?
	9	A Correct.
10:41:14	10	Q And so I want to kind of get your understanding, as an FBI
	11	agent governed by this policy, what that means, "contact the
	12	media."
	13	Do you have a smartphone?
	14	A Yes.
10:41:28	15	Q You're an FBI agent. I assume you're probably not very
	16	active on social media.
	17	A I am not.
	18	Q Okay. But do you look at the news?
	19	A Every day, yes.
10:41:37	20	Q Okay. Through a web browser on your smartphone?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Did you do it this morning?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Did you have any contact with media in the last 24 hours?
10:41:47	25	A No.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               67
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  Okay. And because, as you understand it, "contact" means
        1
             engage with, speak to; things of that --
        2
                  Yes.
        3
             Α
                  -- nature? Okay.
                  So you didn't tell JV to not -- to -- you didn't request
        5
10:41:58
        6
             that he not contact media.
                  First of all, is it your understanding from the policy that
        7
             you can only request?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  You can't, like, tell them not to, correct --
10:42:11 10
             Α
                  That's correct.
       11
                  -- the same way you can tell them, "Don't speak to other
       12
             witnesses"?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
10:42:19 15
                  Okay. You're aware that JV saw these Facebook posts that
             we've been talking about.
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  Okay. Given that, are you aware of JV having contact with
       18
             media, as you understand it?
       19
                  No.
10:42:40 20
             Α
       21
                  Okay. Moving on to some other guidance that's included in
             the FBI policy regarding instructing the witness, other than the
       22
             contacting the media thing, it says, you know, you're supposed
       23
       24
             to inform them that they're going to be asked to view a series
             of photographs.
10:42:59 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 68 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Did you tell JV that?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q It also requires or advises that you should inform them
	4	that it's just as important to clear innocent people from
10:43:10	5	suspicion as it is to identify guilty people.
	6	Did you inform JV of that?
	7	A Yes. And, I'm sorry, I'm trying to remember the you
	8	know, we read the sheriff's form, so it's a little different
	9	than our policy, but yes.
10:43:22	10	Q Okay. So when you say that you read the sheriff's form,
	11	your referring to Government Exhibit 3?
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q Okay. So you read this verbatim, 1 through 5.
	14	A Yes.
10:43:35	15	Q Okay. And I believe one of those advisals is it's just as
	16	important to eliminate innocent persons as it is to identify
	17	those persons responsible.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q The policy also advises that you should tell the individual
10:43:55	20	that the person who committed the crime may or may not be in the
	21	lineup.
	22	Did you inform JV of that, either through a written
	23	document or verbatim?
	24	A Yes.
10:44:04	25	Q Okay. Now, it also requires you to ask how certain an

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 69 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson identification is, correct? 1 Correct. 2 Now, with the lineup of Donovan Cloud, he made an 3 identification, as you recall; is that right? Α Yes. 10:44:19 And we've seen the indications on Government Exhibit 3. 6 Did you indicate, when you took your notes on Government 7 Exhibit 3 -- and I'll put them back up here so you can see them -- did you indicate on there, when he identified someone in 9 lineup 5032, which we've already discussed, which is the one 10:44:40 10 that included defendant Donovan Cloud, did you indicate what his 11 level of certainty was with respect to that identification? 12 In my opinion, yes. 13 Okay. In your -- and how did you make that indication? 14 10:45:03 15 The -- him tearing up almost instantly, and just talking to him afterwards, I didn't -- I had no doubt that he was certain 16 17 that that was, uh, Donovan Cloud. Okay. And so why make that indication, that he teared up 18 almost immediately? Why -- why include that note there? Why 19 not just say, "Yes. Guy who held a gun to my son's head"? 10:45:30 20 21 Well, it was very notable. I mean, when we do interviews, people have different reactions, and it was a very unique 22 reaction. 23 How many -- how many victim witness interviews do you think 24 10:45:48 25 you've conducted over the past 17 years?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              70
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  At least 100, probably.
        1
                         In your opinion, when a witness sees something in a
        2
                  Okav.
        3
             lineup, sees an individual in a lineup and you've observed them
             tearing up almost instantly, as you put it, is that an
             indication of certainty, in your mind?
        5
10:46:09
        6
                     MR. SMITH: Objection, Your Honor; lack of foundation.
             He hasn't been qualified as an expert on emotional responses or
        7
             what it means.
        8
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
        9
                     MR. BURSON: Could I be heard on that, Your Honor?
10:46:21 10
                     THE COURT: Have I heard of what?
       11
                     MR. BURSON: Can I be heard on that?
       12
       13
                     THE COURT: You can, yes.
                     MR. BURSON: I understand that. I'm asking --
       14
10:46:29 15
                     THE COURT: Counsel, I know what you're asking.
                                                                        If you
             could ask it a different way, you might be able to get the
       16
       17
             answer that I think you're trying to get. So go ahead. But you
             can be --
       18
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       19
10:46:39 20
             0
                  Agent Ribail --
       21
                     THE COURT: -- heard on that.
       22
                     MR. BURSON: Sorry, Your Honor, I didn't mean to
       23
             interrupt.
       24
                     THE COURT: No, that's okay.
       25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               71
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        1
                  Agent Ribail, when you indicated on there that the witness
        2
             had teared up almost instantly, were you doing -- was your
        3
             intent to record a level of certainty?
             Α
                  Yes.
10:46:56
        6
                  Thank you.
                  Now, since -- since the lineup was administered, and we
        7
             spoke earlier about the FBI policy that was published or --
        8
             published on June 9th, 2019, the same day the lineup was
        9
             administered with JV, have you become familiar with that policy?
10:47:30 10
       11
                  Yes.
             Α
       12
                  But it was sometime subsequent to the administration of the
             lineup?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
10:47:41 15
                  Okay. Now, does that policy suggest that you, when
             practicable, audio and video record lineups?
       16
       17
                  Yes, it does.
                          The policy that you were operating under, as you
       18
             testified, does that one require audio and video recording?
       19
                  No. And the new one does not require it.
10:48:03 20
             Α
       21
                  Okay. It doesn't require it.
                  What does it -- what does it say about it?
       22
                  It says it should be considered.
       23
       24
                  Okay. All right. Did you consider using audio or video
             equipment?
10:48:15 25
```

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 72 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A I would say "no."
	2	Q Okay. Other than the fact that that policy that you were
	3	operating under didn't require you to consider or suggest that
	4	you consider audio and video recording, is there any reason that
10:48:32	5	you would have considered it?
	6	A I'm sorry. I don't I don't think I understand the
	7	question.
	8	Q Let me ask it this way: Setting the policies aside, other
	9	than recording what happened, based on your experience with
10:48:56	10	lineups, do you think it adds value to the lineup?
	11	A Audio or video recording it?
	12	Q Yes.
	13	A I don't think it would have in this instance, no.
	14	Q Okay. Do you think that it would have increased or
10:49:13	15	decreased the chance that JV would have made either an
	16	identification, in one instance, or a non-identification in the
	17	other instance?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Okay. Other than JV's family, did you conduct any more
10:49:37	20	lineups that day?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. And who was that lineup administered with?
	23	A LL, initials.
	24	Q Okay. Now, the lineups that you showed LL, were they the
10:49:51	25	same lineups that you showed to JV?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               73
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
        2
                          So the lineups including Donovan Cloud and the
             lineup including -- sorry -- singular lineup including Donovan
        3
             Cloud and the lineup including James Cloud, those two lineups
             were also shown to LL --
10:50:08
        6
                  Yes.
                  -- later that day?
        7
                  Sometime that day, right?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Now, sticking to -- let's talk about the lineup you
10:50:21 10
             administered on LL with Donovan Cloud.
       11
                  Did he pick anybody out of that lineup as someone who
       12
             had -- he had witnessed committing a crime that day?
       13
             Α
                  No.
       14
10:50:36 15
                  Okay. And I think, for clarity purposes, we should talk
             about LL.
       16
       17
                  As you understand it, what was LL a witness to in Medicine
             Valley?
       18
                  He showed up in a truck with some other individuals at John
       19
             Cagle's property, um, and eventually the driver and one of the
10:50:56 20
       21
             passengers were shot and killed, and then LL and another
             passenger were also injured by a shotgun.
       22
                  Okay. And how many times did he arrive at Cagle's
       23
       24
             residence that day on separate occasions, if any?
             Α
                  Two times.
10:51:17 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 74 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. Regarding the first visit, did he describe any
	2	any crime occurring that you're aware of?
	3	A No.
	4	Q Okay. What did he describe?
10:51:28	5	A He said when they arrived, um, an individual had told them
	6	that Dobie wasn't having guests or, you know, not wasn't
	7	coming out to talk to anybody, generally.
	8	Q Okay.
	9	A And to go away, sort of.
10:51:42	10	Q And so that individual wasn't Cagle.
	11	A Correct.
	12	Q Or Dobie Jacks, as he's sometimes referred to.
	13	And then what did they do after that individual told them
	14	that?
10:51:55	15	A Um, so they left. Um, they picked up another person that
	16	they thought would help them, I guess, talk or see John Cagle,
	17	and then they returned.
	18	Q Okay. And then what happened when they returned, briefly?
	19	A That person went, I guess, onto the property, um,
10:52:16	20	eventually came back out, and that's when they shot everybody.
	21	Q Okay. So let's talk about the lineups then. Let's go back
	22	to that.
	23	So June 9th, 2019, the day after he was at Medicine Valley,
	24	you you administered a lineup including Donovan Cloud. He
10:52:36	25	made no identification, correct?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 75 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q Okay. What about the lineup including James Cloud; any
	3	identification of anyone in that lineup?
	4	A No.
10:52:43	5	Q And you showed him two other lineups that day, correct?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Four in total, just like just like JV and his family,
	8	right?
	9	A Yes.
10:52:50	10	Q Now, he did make an identification on one of those,
	11	correct?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. Of an individual not here today, right?
	14	A Correct.
10:52:58	15	Q Okay. Do you recall what he said about that individual
	16	that he had identified?
	17	A Generally, he described clothing and, uh, the weapon that
	18	person had.
	19	Q Okay. Do you recall the clothing?
10:53:19	20	A A blue shirt.
	21	Q Did you have an opportunity to interview LL after that
	22	initial lineup and interview?
	23	A Yes.
	24	MR. McENTIRE: I'm actually going to object on that,
10:53:36	25	based on it doesn't match with what the lineup instructions say.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               76
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/D/Burson
             It's mischaracterizing the evidence.
        1
                     THE COURT: One second.
        2
                     MR. BURSON: Referring to the lineup --
        3
                     THE COURT: Referring to what? I'm not sure --
                     MR. McENTIRE:
                                     It's --
        5
10:53:57
                     THE COURT: What mischaracterizes the evidence?
        6
                     MR. McENTIRE: It's a reference to blue shorts, not a
        7
             blue shirt --
        8
        9
                     THE COURT: Oh, I see.
                     MR. McENTIRE: -- according to the written lineup
10:54:05 10
             instructions.
       11
                     THE COURT: Well, that's certainly an error that the
       12
             witness might be making, and I'm glad you're pointing it out,
       13
             but you can ask that on cross, Counsel.
       14
10:54:16 15
                     Go ahead.
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       16
       17
                  So, Agent Ribail, did you have an opportunity to interview
             LL after this initial lineup and interview?
       18
                  Yes.
       19
             Α
                  And what was -- when -- when did that interview occur?
10:54:27 20
       21
             Α
                  That was January, I think, 27th of this year.
                  Okay. And so that's about six months after the lineup,
       22
             right?
       23
       24
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And what was the -- what was the purpose of that interview?
10:54:42 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 77 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A We wanted to clarify a couple things.
	2	Q Okay. A couple
	3	A Ask ask follow-up questions.
	4	Q Okay. About Medicine Valley?
10:54:57	5	A Correct.
	6	Q Okay. Did you do a second lineup during that time?
	7	A No.
	8	Q Okay. Did you ask him any questions to try and elicit
	9	identification of any particular individuals during that
10:55:11	10	interview?
	11	A No.
	12	Q Okay. So fair to say you were just asking for more details
	13	or to go over details about the incidents at Medicine Valley
	14	that we've been talking about?
10:55:23	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Regarding the individuals that were present at Medicine
	17	Valley other than his group, was he describing the clothing worn
	18	by any individuals during this January 2020 interview?
	19	A Yes.
10:55:42	20	Q Okay. Can you tell us how he was referring to individuals,
	21	referring to their clothing?
	22	A He referred to a male that had a blue shirt and a male that
	23	had a red shirt.
	24	Q Okay. So amongst other people; is that right?
10:55:58	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 78 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. But he just he said one male, red shirt; one
	2	male, blue shirt?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Okay. At any point in time did he refer to either of those
10:56:10	5	individuals by anything other than guy in blue shirt, guy in red
	6	shirt?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q How did he refer to them, and which person was he referring
	9	to?
10:56:20	10	A So it caught our attention that on multiple times he
	11	referred to one of them as James Cloud. So we clarified that he
	12	was talking about the person in the red shirt. And then we
	13	asked, "Why are you calling him James Cloud"
	14	Q Hold on.
10:56:38	15	So before we get to that, at some point during the
	16	interview he started referring to the man in the red shirt as
	17	James Cloud?
	18	A Correct.
	19	Q Okay. Did you ask him why he started referring to the man
10:56:51	20	in the red shirt as James Cloud during the interview?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. What was his answer? Well, first, do you remember
	23	his verbatim answer?
	24	A No.
10:57:03	25	Q Okay. What was his answer?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 79 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	A In the easiest sense, he said he saw James Cloud and the
	2	name on the news.
	3	Q Okay. And what was your understanding of what that meant?
	4	A What that meant to me was he saw a photo or a video or
10:57:23	5	whatever on the news
	6	MR. McENTIRE: Objection. It calls for speculation, not
	7	what the witness actually said.
	8	THE COURT: Sustained.
	9	MR. BURSON: One second, Your Honor.
10:57:40	10	THE COURT: Sure.
	11	(Pause in proceedings.)
	12	MR. BURSON: Apologies, Your Honor. I start out
	13	organized but
	14	THE COURT: We all have our own systems, Counsel.
10:58:23	15	(Pause in proceedings.)
	16	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	17	Q Following this January 2020 interview with LL, did you
	18	draft a report on a FD-302 form?
	19	A Yes.
10:59:08	20	Q Do you recall what you wrote on the FD-302 form regarding
	21	what LL had told you about why he was referring to the man in
	22	the red shirt as James Cloud?
	23	A Generally, I do. But not verbatim, no.
	24	Q You don't remember exactly what you wrote?
10:59:33	25	A No.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 80 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	Q But you did do the 302?
	2	A Correct.
	3	Q If you reviewed that 302, would that refresh your
	4	recollection about what you wrote?
10:59:42	5	A Yes.
	6	MR. BURSON: May I approach, Your Honor?
	7	THE COURT: Go ahead.
	8	MR. BURSON: For the record, defense has a copy of this
	9	document they've marked 1018, defense exhibit.
10:59:50	10	THE COURT: Any objection?
	11	MR. McENTIRE: None, Your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Okay.
	13	MR. BURSON: I'm not sure who to get closest to.
	14	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
11:00:03	15	Q Agent, take a minute to review it if you need to.
	16	A I'm good.
	17	Q Are you done?
	18	A Yes.
	19	THE COURT: Hold on, Counsel. Before you approach the
11:00:10	20	witness, would you please wear a mask.
	21	MR. BURSON: Yes.
	22	Thank you, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: We're all trying to deal with this new
	24	process. Go ahead.
	25	

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 81 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	2	Q Now that you've reviewed the report, do you recall what you
	3	wrote on your 302?
	4	A Yes.
11:00:39	5	Q Okay. And can you tell us now what you wrote on the 302?
	6	A That he was he LL told us that he was referring to
	7	the man in red as James Cloud because he saw him in the news.
	8	He saw James Cloud in the news.
	9	Q Okay.
11:01:01	10	A And the way I wrote it, it may not be clear to everyone,
	11	but the reason I wrote that was his answer is telling us that he
	12	saw James Cloud on the news, and that's the same person that he
	13	saw in the red shirt. That's why he's calling him James Cloud.
	14	Q Okay.
11:01:21	15	MR. McENTIRE: And objection; calls for speculation on
	16	what the witness actually said.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	19	Q Fair to say that on the 302, Agent Ribail, you reported
11:01:41	20	"after seeing Cloud and hearing the name on the news"?
	21	A Correct.
	22	Q That's what you wrote, right?
	23	A Correct.
	24	Q To your knowledge, at the time of this interview or did
11:02:21	25	you become aware during your investigation whether there was any

	r	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 82 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/D/Burson
	1	prior relationship between LL and James Cloud?
	2	A I was not aware of any relationship, no.
	3	Q Okay. Do you know if LL was ever asked during the
	4	investigation if by law enforcement if he had any prior
11:02:39	5	relationship with James Cloud?
	6	A Not specifically, no.
	7	Q Okay. Last question about your conversation with LL: Was
	8	there any indication let's back up.
	9	You testified earlier you've conducted hundreds of
11:03:03	10	interviews.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Okay. During these interviews, based on your interactions
	13	with folks during the interviews, are there are there certain
	14	times when someone is exhibiting signs of being on a controlled
11:03:18	15	substance?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Okay. So what are give me some examples, maybe, of some
	18	signs that someone might be on a controlled substance when
	19	you're interviewing them.
11:03:29	20	A They can be jittery, they could be tired, they could slur,
	21	um, off-balance.
	22	Q Okay. During your interview with LL, was he exhibiting any
	23	signs that he was on a controlled substance?
	24	THE COURT: Counsel, could you clarify what interview
11:03:42	25	you're talking about, the date or the time frame, so that I know

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 83 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	which interview we're talking about?
	2	MR. BURSON: Yes, Your Honor.
	3	THE COURT: Thank you.
	4	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
11.02.50	5	Q During your interview with LL in January of 2020, was he
11:03:50	6	exhibiting any signs that he was on a controlled substance?
	7	A No.
	8	Q Okay. During the investigation, though, have you become
	9	aware of information, whether from other witnesses or elsewhere,
11:04:07	10	indicating that he may have been on a controlled substance
	11	sometime around the incident on June 8th, 2019?
	12	A Possibly, yes.
	13	Q Okay.
	14	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, the Government has no further
11:04:22	15	questions for this witness at this time.
	16	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.
	17	Go ahead, Mr. McEntire.
	18	MR. McENTIRE: May I please have the technology
	19	activated to the computer station, please?
11:04:43	20	May I proceed, Your Honor?
	21	THE COURT: You may. Go ahead.
	22	
	23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	24	BY MR. McENTIRE:
11:05:24	25	Q Good morning, Agent Ribail.

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 84 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	А	Good morning.
	2	Q	I just want to make sure that I'm not missing information.
	3	We'1	l start first with some questions about JV's lineup, and
	4	then	we'll proceed over to LL's lineup, just to keep things
11:05:40	5	orgai	nized and in the same sequence.
	6		Okay?
	7	А	Okay.
	8	Q	So I want to start out by just making sure that I'm not
	9	miss	ing any information about JV's lineup or the other lineup of
11:05:52	10	JV's	family.
	11		The reports that you prepare are called 302s.
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	And you've prepared many 302s in this case.
	14	A	Yes.
11:06:04	15	Q	And let's just focus on the ones dealing with JV and his
	16	fami	ly.
	17		You prepared a 302 for a lineup that you did with SV.
	18	A	Yes.
	19	Q	One of the sons.
11:06:20	20		And that was a 302 documenting a lineup that you did.
	21	А	I'm sorry. I couldn't hear.
	22	Q	That was a 302 documenting a lineup that you administered.
	23	А	Yes.
	24	Q	That lineup occurred on June 9th.
11:06:35	25	А	Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 85 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Sunday.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q And you drafted the report on June 19th.
	4	A I'd have to see the report.
11:07:02	5	THE COURT: Counsel, could you identify the document
	6	that you're showing?
	7	MR. McENTIRE: I can, Your Honor. I am and this is
	8	not to be admitted. This is just for refreshing recollection.
	9	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
11:07:12	10	Q Agent Ribail, do you recognize this document?
	11	A Yes, I do.
	12	Q And this is a 302 that you prepared?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Involving the lineup that you administered on SV.
11:07:22	15	A On what?
	16	Q On SV.
	17	A There's no name, so I'd have to take your word for it.
	18	Q The names are redacted, and fair to say that that's how the
	19	discovery was provided from the United States to Mr. Cloud?
11:07:40	20	A I'll have to take your word for that on too. I don't
	21	I don't
	22	Q Fair enough.
	23	A turn over discovery to you.
	24	Q Turning to the bottom of this particular report, there's a
11:07:54	25	few different date locations. Fair?

	_	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 86 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q One is when the investigation occurred on.
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And you documented June 9th.
11:08:03	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And then there's a separate date for when you actually
	7	entered the notes themselves into a report.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And that reflects June 19th.
11:08:12	10	A Yes.
	11	Q So with respect to the report administered on or drafted
	12	on SV's lineup, there was a ten-day delay between when the
	13	lineup occurred and when you wrote the report.
	14	A Yes.
11:08:32	15	Q You also prepared a 302 for a lineup that you administered
	16	on MV.
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And that lineup was also administered on June 9th.
	19	A Yes.
11:08:42	20	Q And you also prepared that report, again, ten days later,
	21	on June 19th?
	22	A I'd have to see the report to to know.
	23	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, again, I'm pulling up not for
	24	admissibility but just for identification purposes to refresh
11:09:01	25	recollection another 302.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 87 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	2	Q Agent Ribail, do you recognize this 302?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And this, again, reflects the lineup that you administered
11:09:12	5	on one of the other children of JV.
	6	A I'll have to take your word for it. Yes.
	7	Q And, again, this one also was redacted, making it difficult
	8	to find out who administered the lineup and to whom it was
	9	administered on. Fair?
11:09:32	10	A It's clear who administered it. My name is on it.
	11	Q Who you administered the lineup
	12	A Okay.
	13	Q to is difficult to tell from this report.
	14	A Yes.
11:09:46	15	Q Down at the bottom there's also the series of dates that
	16	document when the investigation happened, as well as the date
	17	that you wrote this report, correct?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And then, again, it reflects a ten-day delay from June 9th
11:10:00	20	until June 19th when you actually wrote up this report.
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q You also prepared a report for the lineup that you did with
	23	NV, the wife of JV.
	24	A Yes.
11:10:17	25	Q And, again, that lineup occurred on June 9th.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 88 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Since I'm going to anticipate probably your next answer, do
	3	you remember that there was a ten-day delay between that report
	4	and when you when the lineup occurred and when you documented
11:10:34	5	it?
	6	A Yes, I see that.
	7	Q A ten-day delay as well.
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q And you also prepared a 302 for JV's lineup as well.
11:10:49	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And, again, that lineup occurred on June 9th.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And I'm showing you what's been marked as Defense
	14	Exhibit 1004.
11:11:11	15	Agent Ribail, do you recognize this?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q This is the 302 that you prepared to document your lineup
	18	administration on JV.
	19	A That's correct.
11:11:25	20	Q I'm going to draw your attention to this exhibit, on 1004,
	21	at the bottom. Again, the date that the lineup occurred, which
	22	was June 9th.
	23	A Correct.
	24	Q And then the date that you entered the report, which was
11:11:38	25	June 13th.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                89
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/McEntire
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
        2
                   So there was a four-day delay on -- from when the lineup
             occurred to when you actually wrote down or typed up this
        3
             report.
             Α
                  That's correct.
11:11:48
        6
                  Now, there was one additional report that you prepared with
             respect to your contact with JV --
        7
                   Yes.
        9
                  -- correct?
             Α
                  Yes.
11:12:09 10
                  And that was an encounter that you had with him the
       11
             following month, in July, regarding the subject of the Facebook
       12
             postings.
       13
                  Yes.
       14
11:12:22 15
                   And, Agent Ribail, I am pulling up what has been marked as
             Defense Exhibit 1005.
       16
       17
                   Do you recognize that report?
                   I do.
       18
                  And that's the report that you prepared regarding the
       19
             subsequent contact that you had with JV.
11:12:34 20
       21
             Α
                  Yes.
                   And that contact reflects, again, at the bottom on the
       22
             dates, that you contacted or spoke with JV on July 12th.
       23
       24
             Α
                   Yes.
                  Roughly a month later.
11:12:54 25
             Q
```

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 90 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	А	Yes.
	2	Q	So if I understand it correctly in terms of the reports
	3	that	you prepared, Agent Ribail, there were two total reports
	4	with	respect to JV; one that occurred that you typed up on June
11:13:15	5	13th	documenting the lineup, correct?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	And a second that was prepared in July regarding contact on
	8	the I	Facebook posts.
	9	A	Correct.
11:13:29	10	Q	There's no other reports that you can recall preparing
	11	invo	lving your contact with JV?
	12	А	Not that I recall.
	13	Q	I want to focus on the delay between the June 9th lineup
	14	and t	the June 13th in terms of when you documented when what
11:13:57	15	happe	ened during that lineup.
	16		Fair to say between June 9th and June 13th, during that
	17	four-	-day window, you were pretty busy involved with this case?
	18	A	Yes.
	19	Q	Interviewing witnesses?
11:14:12	20	A	Yes.
	21	Q	Writing up search warrants?
	22	A	Yes.
	23	Q	Executing search warrants?
	24	A	Yes.
11:14:17	25	Q	Searching for evidence?

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 91 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q You indicated that even on the first day, that you were at
	3	one of the scenes until midnight.
	4	A Yes.
11:14:25	5	Q So during that four-day time period, a lot was going on.
	6	A Absolutely.
	7	Q You're coordinating with different law enforcement agencies
	8	during that time as well.
	9	A Yes.
11:14:35	10	Q Oregon State Police?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Yakama Nation Tribal Police?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Washington State Patrol?
11:14:42	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Yakima County Sheriff's Office?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Fair to call this a multiagency investigation?
	19	A Yes.
11:14:55	20	Q During the time, that four-day window or during the time
	21	of the lineup, I should say, lineups on June 9th, in addition to
	22	the handwritten notes that you wrote on the lineup instructions,
	23	did you have other notes, handwritten notes that you were taking
	24	to track what was happening?
11:15:16	25	A With the regarding the person that I'm interviewing?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 92
		Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Correct. Regarding JV specifically.
	2	A I don't believe so.
	3	Q So there were no handwritten notes. So your only
	4	handwritten notes were the ones written on the lineup
11:15:33	5	instructions.
	6	A Yes, my notes are written on the instruction sheet. Yes.
	7	Q No other handwritten notes.
	8	A Not that I not that I'm aware of.
	9	Q So when you typed up your report on June 13th documenting
11:15:51	10	the lineup from June 9th, the only handwritten notes that you
	11	had available to you were the notes written just on the Yakima
	12	County Sheriff's Office lineup instruction form.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q There were no other notes documenting the information or
11:16:17	15	what you discussed with JV?
	16	A Not that I'm aware of.
	17	Q Agent Ribail, let's talk about your role in this case.
	18	My understanding from at least reading the United States'
	19	briefing is that, quote, you took lead in this case.
11:16:50	20	A Was there a question? Sorry.
	21	Q Were you the lead investigator in this case?
	22	A I am, yes.
	23	Q Okay. And so there were multiple agencies that you were
	24	coordinating with a response.
11:17:02	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 93 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q And you were in charge the FBI principally was in
	2	charge.
	3	A At some point. Initially, there was we weren't sure who
	4	was going to be the lead agency.
11:17:17	5	Q Once you got the call, you had mentioned that you were on
	6	duty?
	7	A Correct.
	8	Q And that you had showed up to the scene.
	9	A Correct.
11:17:25	10	Q Shortly after that, the FBI took lead in investigating this
	11	case.
	12	A No. It was not until, I would say, 10:00, 11 o'clock at
	13	night that that final decision was made.
	14	Q Ah. That day, on June 9th, a decision was made to have the
11:17:44	15	FBI be the lead agency on this case.
	16	A On June 8th at about
	17	Q June 8th, same day.
	18	A 10:00 p.m.
	19	Q Understood.
11:17:53	20	A On that case. But the carjacking, I think, was still in
	21	debate somewhat, who may take that.
	22	Q And ultimately there was a decision made to have the FBI
	23	take lead on that case as well.
	24	A That's correct.
11:18:06	25	Q So between both the incident at Medicine Valley as well as

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 94 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	the incident at JV's house, the FBI ultimately became the lead
	2	investigative agency.
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And you became the lead agent over that investigation.
11:18:17	5	A Yes.
	6	Q So fair to say, from an investigative standpoint, you were
	7	in charge?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And you mention that there was another FBI agent, Special
11:18:30	10	Agent Jennifer Terami.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And she was assisting.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q But, again, that you were taking charge.
11:18:35	15	A Yes.
	16	Q So you were taking the lead in, again, coordinating with
	17	all of these agencies that we've talked about.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q You were taking the lead in making decisions on who to
11:18:48	20	interview.
	21	A Some of the time, yes. Sometimes interviews just happen,
	22	so it you'd have to be more specific on what what decision
	23	I made or didn't make.
	24	Q After the FBI was designated or officially asserted itself
11:19:07	25	as the lead investigative agency, you took the lead in

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 95 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	coord	dinating where to search.
	2	А	Generally, yes.
	3	Q	You took the lead in filling out search warrant affidavits.
	4	А	I wrote some, yes.
11:19:29	5	Q	And you indicated that with respect to JV, you were the one
	6	that	was responsible for preparing the four Spillman lineups
	7	that	were used not only for JV but also for LL.
	8	А	I was involved in the preparation.
	9	Q	And fair point.
11:19:48	10		So, to clarify, you indicated that there's a technology
	11	that	assembles the lineups called Spillman.
	12	А	That's true.
	13	Q	That there was, presumably, someone with Yakima County
	14	Sheri	iff's Office that was using the software.
11:20:04	15	А	Yes.
	16	Q	And you were standing there facilitating in the ultimate
	17	seled	ction of those lineups.
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	You indicated you enter an individual's name and it spits
11:20:14	20	out o	options.
	21	А	That's my understanding, yes.
	22	Q	And you can see even other options, and as you testified
	23	earli	ier, you can sort of select or add or replace as you see
	24	fit.	
11:20:25	25	A	Yes.
		I	, and the second se

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 96 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q	And you weren't sure how long that process took; somewhere
	2	roug!	hly between one to two hours.
	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	Done at the Yakima station substation in Zillah.
11:20:39	5	A	Yes.
	6	Q	For the Yakima County Sheriff's Office.
	7	А	Yes.
	8	Q	As the lead investigator, Special Agent Ribail, I mean,
	9	it's	your responsibility to make sure that evidence is selected
11:20:52	10	corr	rectly.
	11	А	Yes.
	12	Q	Witnesses are interviewed properly.
	13	А	Yes.
	14	Q	And lineups are administered correctly.
11:20:58	15	A	Yes.
	16	Q	And, importantly, that policies are adhered to.
	17	А	Yes.
	18	Q	You touched previously upon your experience regarding
	19	eyew	ritness identifications, but I'd like to develop that a
11:21:15	20	litt	le bit further.
	21		You indicated that you have a 17-year history with the FBI.
	22	А	Yes.
	23	Q	I don't believe I caught how long you've been in Yakima.
	24	А	Uh, I would say four-and-a-half-ish years.
11:21:30	25	Q	Before Yakima, the four-and-a-half-year stint that you've

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 97
		Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	had here, where were you?
	2	A I was in Newport News, Virginia.
	3	Q And before that?
	4	A El Centro, California.
11:21:47	5	Q And anywhere else before that?
	6	A I was in Modesto, California.
	7	Q And before that?
	8	A With the FBI? Nowhere. Well, Quantico.
	9	Q So during your 17-year tenure with the FBI, you've moved to
11:22:06	10	four different locations.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q After graduating from Quantico.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And you indicated that you've personally conducted
11:22:16	15	approximately 20 lineups? Photographic lineups.
	16	A Yes. Yes.
	17	Q And that you've observed another ten.
	18	A Yes.
	19	MR. BURSON: Actually, I'm going to object. That
11:22:27	20	misstates prior testimony.
	21	THE COURT: It's 10 to 20, but sustained.
	22	Go ahead.
	23	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	24	Q My understanding: As part of your training, you've
11:22:45	25	received training on how to conduct and administer lineups.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 98 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A I I believe at Quantico we did, yes.
	2	Q Have you received ongoing training since your time at
	3	Quantico on how to properly administer lineups?
	4	A I don't honestly know that I have or haven't received any
11:23:04	5	since. We receive training all the time, but I don't know that
	6	I've received any specific only to like that policy manual, for
	7	example.
	8	Q So you've received ongoing training from the FBI since you
	9	graduated from Quantico.
11:23:23	10	A Yes.
	11	Q But you don't recall whether or not you've received any
	12	specific trainings on lineup administration.
	13	A Yes. That would be dependent on I don't remember any
	14	formal training, but probably with my training agent, um,
11:23:40	15	on-the-job training. But I can't specifically say that I've had
	16	photo lineup training since the academy.
	17	Q Fair to say you didn't attend a class that was a refresher
	18	course on how to attend a lineup or how to administer a lineup?
	19	A I can't say for sure one way or another.
11:23:58	20	Q No specific trainings dedicated exclusively to dealing with
	21	the proper administration of a lineup.
	22	A I can't say one way or the other.
	23	Q In reviewing the United States' briefing, my understanding
	24	is that you actually teach other agents how to administer
11:24:19	25	lineups.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 99 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes, I've taught new agents and demonstrated how I
	2	administer lineups. Yes.
	3	Q Does it take any particular qualifications or certification
	4	in order to become an instructor with the FBI on how to teach
11:24:33	5	new agents how to administer a lineup?
	6	A No.
	7	Q So there's no certification of advanced training that you
	8	received.
	9	A No.
11:24:44	10	Q Simply on-the-job training.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q So based on the in-person lineups that you've done, it's
	13	your experience from that that was the basis for providing
	14	instruction to other agents.
11:25:00	15	A Well, when I say that, usually I've had a new agent
	16	assigned to work with me, so my job is to train them in whatever
	17	we do as a whole. So it's not specifically training them for
	18	photo lineups. It's day-in and day-out work. You're a training
	19	agent.
11:25:23	20	Q So when you are training new agents, it's just on how to do
	21	the job, not necessarily you've been training them how to
	22	specifically do a lineup?
	23	A Correct. You teach them yes.
	24	Q And taking you back to your training at Quantico, did they
11:25:43	25	teach you how to construct a photo montage?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 100 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Generally, yes.
	2	Q Did they instruct you how to instruct a witness before a
	3	lineup?
	4	A Yes.
11:26:02	5	Q Did they instruct you on how to provide instructions to a
	6	witness during the lineup itself?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And did it provide instruction on how to instruct a witness
	9	after a lineup?
11:26:18	10	A I don't recall.
	11	Q Agent Ribail, fair to say, so your training at Quantico
	12	taught you how to do a lineup?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Did they talk about the why?
11:26:44	15	A I believe so.
	16	Q Why it's important to follow certain procedures.
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Why it's important to follow certain instructions.
	19	A Yes.
11:26:56	20	Q So during your training, they talked to you about why it's
	21	important to create a photo montage in a particular way.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q They talked to you about why it's important to instruct a
	24	witness in a particular way before the lineup begins.
11:27:11	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 101 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Talked to you about why it's important to instruct a
	2	witness in a particular way during the lineup.
	3	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
	4	Q During your training, you received instruction or guidance
11:27:27	5	or information on why it's important to provide witnesses with
	6	instructions during the lineup.
	7	A Yes. Well, we're I don't know what you mean by
	8	"during." I'm sorry. We read them instructions, and then we
	9	perform the lineup, generally. I don't know what you mean
11:27:45	10	"during."
	11	Q Did you receive instructions on if a witness is making
	12	comments, for example, how you should react?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q So that's the how. Did
11:27:55	15	A They should not react.
	16	Q you receive the why that's important?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Why it's important to provide an answer in a specific way.
	19	A I don't understand that question.
11:28:17	20	Q Agent Ribail Ribail, excuse me, in the training that
	21	you've received, you'd agree it would be inappropriate to ask a
	22	witness during a lineup, "What about this witness? What about
	23	this suspect right here?" That would be inappropriate.
	24	A Correct.
11:28:34	25	Q Did they talk to you about why?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 102 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. So during the lineup, you received instruction on
	3	why it's important in the feedback that you provide to an
	4	eyewitness.
11:28:47	5	A No, we don't provide feedback.
	6	Q You received instruction why it's important not to do that.
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Okay. Did your trainings cover the science behind lineup
	9	administration during this "why" component?
11:29:11	10	A I don't believe so.
	11	Q So during your training on how to administer lineups and
	12	why you should administer lineups in a certain way, you received
	13	no training on the science behind human memory.
	14	A Well, it's a tough question to answer, because we did
11:29:28	15	receive training on, uh, the human brain, people how their
	16	memory, at different blocks of instruction. So, yeah, I think
	17	overall we I would say I have received some training overall,
	18	but not specifically pointed at a photo lineup necessarily.
	19	Q So you've received instruction overall on how memories are
11:29:51	20	made.
	21	A A little bit.
	22	Q How memories are stored?
	23	A Sure.
	24	Q How memories are retrieved?
11:30:01	25	A Sure.

	[	USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 103
		Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020  Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Did you receive instruction on the science behind how
	2	memory does not work like a Polaroid picture?
	3	A I don't know.
	4	Q Did you cover, during your lineup training, how memory can
11:30:25	5	be impacted or affected by what a lineup administrator says?
	6	A Not specifically, no.
	7	Q Did you receive any training on how memory could be
	8	impacted based upon what a lineup administrator does in terms of
	9	behaviors?
11:30:45	10	A No.
	11	Q Sounds?
	12	A I don't know what you mean by "sounds."
	13	Q Did you receive any training on on why it's problematic,
	14	for example, if a lineup administrator makes sounds or speaks up
11:31:02	15	during the lineup process?
	16	A We were trained to not be yes, not affect the process;
	17	don't make a sound, don't make a gesture, don't do anything that
	18	would lead somebody one way or another.
	19	Q And did you receive training on why that's important?
11:31:19	20	A Because we don't want a false identification.
	21	Q And did you cover the science behind why that can happen if
	22	you speak up or make gestures or communicate to the witness
	23	during the process?
	24	A Not that I recall.
11:31:43	25	Q Special Agent Ribail, you mentioned during your direct

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 104 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	testimony that you are familiar with the November FBI lineup
	2	policy from 2013.
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q You also reflected that you are familiar with well, on
11:32:38	5	that, you mentioned that you're familiar with that 2013 policy,
	6	correct?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q But you didn't review it cover to cover.
	9	A No, not that I can recall.
11:32:56	10	Q Special Agent Ribail, I want to draw your attention to
	11	what's been marked for identification purposes as Defense
	12	Exhibit 1009, which is appearing on your monitor.
	13	Are you familiar with this memorandum that was sent out to
	14	all law enforcement agencies from the deputy attorney general,
11:33:17	15	on eyewitness identifications?
	16	A I am.
	17	Q You are familiar with it.
	18	A I am.
	19	Q Do you recall when you first reviewed this or saw this
11:33:29	20	memo?
	21	A Probably shortly after you filed your motion.
	22	Q So shortly after filing the motion, you had an opportunity
	23	to review this update from the deputy attorney general on lineup
	24	policies?
11:34:03	25	A That's correct.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 105 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	MR. BURSON: Objection. Counsel just referred to it as
	2	an update. I'm not sure it's an update to anything.
	3	THE COURT: Sustained.
	4	THE WITNESS: And let me clarify. I can't say that I've
11:34:14	5	never seen this before, but my my my familiarization with
	6	it currently is reviewing it after you submitted your motion.
	7	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	8	Q Did you have an opportunity to review the document?
	9	A Yes.
11:34:48	10	Q Special Agent Ribail, referring to first page of this
	11	memorandum, it discusses (reading): The Department of Justice
	12	last addressed procedures for photo arrays in its 1999
	13	publication, Eyewitness Evidence, a Guide For Law Enforcement
	14	THE COURT: Counsel, we're reading off of a document
11:35:11	15	that has not been admitted.
	16	Do you wish to either lay the foundation, or if you feel
	17	it sufficient, then move to admit.
	18	MR. McENTIRE: Certainly, Your Honor.
	19	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
11:35:21	20	Q Special Agent Ribail, this document, you indicated that you
	21	have recently reviewed it.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Is what you're seeing on the screen a fair and accurate
	24	representation of the January 6th, 2017, memorandum sent out by
11:35:35	25	the deputy attorney general?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                             106
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
             Α
                  Yes.
        1
                     MR. McENTIRE: I'd move to admit it.
        2
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
        3
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
                  (Defense Exhibit No. 1009 admitted into evidence.)
11:35:43
        6
                     THE COURT: Go ahead. Sorry to have interrupted.
                                    Thank you, Your Honor.
        7
                     MR. McENTIRE:
             BY MR. McENTIRE:
                              (Continuing)
                  Drawing your attention to the third paragraph, Special
        9
             Agent Ribail, second sentence, it indicates that (reading):
11:35:52 10
             Research and practice have both evolved significantly since
       11
             then, referring back to 1999. Fair?
       12
                  Are you asking me what it says? I'm sorry.
       13
                  Correct. Did I accurately read that?
       14
11:36:09 15
                  Yes.
                  So it's been awhile since main Justice had sent out a
       16
       17
             directive or an update, if you will, on guidance, shall we say,
             on eyewitness identification. Since 1999.
       18
                     MR. BURSON: Objection; calls for speculation.
       19
             Ribail can't be familiar with every document that the Department
11:36:29 20
       21
             of Justice has issued.
                     THE COURT: Sustained.
       22
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
       23
       24
                  Special Agent Ribail, the first sentence in that paragraph
             says, (reading): The Department of Justice last addressed
11:36:38 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 107 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	procedures for photo arrays in its 1999 publication.
	2	Did I read that correctly?
	3	A You read that correctly, yes.
	4	Q So based on the deputy attorney general's memorandum, the
11:36:51	5	Department of Justice believes the last time it issued guidance
	6	on this topic was in 1999.
	7	A Sure.
	8	Q Turning your attention, Special Agent Ribail, to 1009-2,
	9	the second page of this memorandum, it talks about first of
11:37:30	10	all, there's there's some policies that are attached to this
	11	memorandum, correct?
	12	A I don't know.
	13	Q Turning to Page turning your attention, excuse me, to
	14	1009-3, attached to this memorandum is a document that reads:
11:37:55	15	"U.S. Department of Justice Eyewitness Identification Procedures
	16	For Conducting Photo Arrays."
	17	Did I read that correctly?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And this is attached to that memorandum.
11:38:07	20	A Yes.
	21	Q So there are procedures attached to this memorandum
	22	addressing eyewitness identification.
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Turning your attention back to the previous page, 1009-2,
11:38:26	25	the deputy attorney general is discussing the work that went

	r	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 108 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	into updating these policies and procedures, reading, quote:
	2	Over the past year, a team of department experts, including
	3	prosecutors, law enforcement personnel, and social scientists,
	4	have worked together to study the research and identify best
11:38:47	5	practices. Their work culminated in the attached document,
	6	which outlines procedures for the administration of photo
	7	arrays.
	8	Did I read that correctly?
	9	A Yes.
11:38:58	10	Q So this is a document prepared by the Department of
	11	Justice.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q In conjunction with researchers.
	14	A Sure.
11:39:09	15	Q In updating the procedures for photo identification or
	16	lineup policies.
	17	A Sure.
	18	Q What I'd like to do, Special Agent Ribail, is draw your
	19	attention to a few different sections of this particular
11:39:33	20	policy policies, plural.
	21	Turn your attention to 1009-3. First section is discussing
	22	location of the photo array. Fair?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Quote: Unless impracticable, the witness should view the
11:39:59	25	photo array out of earshot and view of others and in a location

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 109 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire that avoids exposing the witness to information or evidence that 1 2 could influence the witness' identification, including 3 information about the case, the progress of the investigation, or the suspect. Correct? 11:40:13 6 Yes. And you would agree, based on what you testified earlier, 7 that keeping witnesses away from other witnesses from a lineup is important. 9 Α Yes. 11:40:27 10 In fact, you indicated that during the administration of 11 JV's lineup, that you segregated, if you will, the rest of the 12 family while you were administering the lineup on JV. 13 Α Yes. 14 11:40:39 15 And you did the same for each individual from JV's family. Yes. Α 16 17 And you would agree that this is a best practice or something that should be followed when administering a lineup. 18 Yes. 19 Α And so what this policy memorializes, if you will, is the 11:40:56 20 21 recognition that outside information can influence an eyewitness's identification. 22 MR. BURSON: Objection, Your Honor. Agent Ribail has 23 24 received some training from the FBI on lineup procedures, as he's indicated. He is not an expert on the science behind it, 11:41:15 25

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               110
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/McEntire
        1
             nor the reasons for it.
        2
                     THE COURT:
                                  Sustained.
        3
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
                  Agent Ribail, during your training, you've testified that
        4
             you received training not only in how to administer a lineup --
        5
11:41:33
             fair?
        6
        7
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And one of those trainings that you received was involving
             keeping witnesses separate from each other.
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
11:41:48 10
                  You also testified that you received not only instruction
       11
       12
             on the how but the why that's important.
       13
             Α
                  Some, yes.
                  And you would agree, based upon your 17-year experience
       14
11:41:59 15
             with the FBI, having conducted many lineups, that keeping
             witnesses separate or segregated during a lineup is something
       16
       17
             that is important.
                  Yes.
       18
                  And you've even agreed -- testified that it is, in fact, a
       19
             best practice.
11:42:14 20
       21
             Α
                  Yes.
                  So you are familiar with lineup best practices.
       22
       23
             Α
                  Yes.
       24
                   Including this one.
             Α
11:42:20 25
                   Yes.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 111 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q And you would agree, Special Agent Ribail, that from your
	2	training and experience in 17 years with the FBI, having
	3	administered many lineups, receiving training on many lineups,
	4	and teaching others on how to do lineups, that outside
11:42:41	5	information that a witness may hear could impact the integrity
	6	of that lineup.
	7	A While I'm administering them, yes.
	8	Q Special Agent Ribail, drawing your attention to
	9	Paragraph 1.2 of that same section under 1009-3, quote: Neither
11:43:13	10	the suspect nor any photographs of the suspect, including wanted
	11	posters, should be visible in any area where the witness will be
	12	present.
	13	Did I read that correctly?
	14	A Yes.
11:43:24	15	Q And based upon your 17-year history with the FBI and your
	16	training, that's an important guideline to follow.
	17	You'd agree?
	18	A Yes. But this is not the FBI's policy.
	19	Q Hmm.
11:43:47	20	A I'm not disagreeing with 1.2, but this is
	21	Q I'm sorry. I couldn't hear that.
	22	A I said I still agree with 1.2, but this is not the FBI's
	23	policy.
	24	Q Hmm. Fair.
11:43:57	25	So you agree with what 1.2 articulates regarding this

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 112 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	procedure.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q You'd agree that that would be a best practice.
	4	A Yes.
11:44:05	5	Q And something that should be implemented with all lineups.
	6	A I think it's a best practice, yes.
	7	Q And, again, there's a recognition, for example, that a
	8	wanted poster would be outside information that could influence
	9	or corrupt the lineup's integrity.
11:44:22	10	A Are you talking while administering a photo lineup or
	11	anytime ever?
	12	Q While administering the photo lineup.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Now, you mention, turning to 1009-2, that the attached
11:44:41	15	document is, as you indicated, not a, quote, FBI policy,
	16	correct?
	17	A Correct.
	18	Q I'm drawing your attention to the second paragraph of
	19	1009-2 which states (reading): The heads of the department's
11:44:56	20	law enforcement components should review these procedures and,
	21	to the extent necessary, update their own internal policies to
	22	ensure they are consistent with the procedures described in this
	23	document.
	24	Did I read that correctly?
11:45:07	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 113 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Now, talking about agency structure, the FBI is part of the
	2	Department of Justice.
	3	A We are a component, yes.
	4	Q As is the Bureau of Prisons, correct?
11:45:23	5	A Yes.
	6	Q As is the U.S. Marshal Service?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q As is the ATF.
	9	A Yes.
11:45:29	10	Q They are all agencies underneath the umbrella of the
	11	Department of Justice.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q So this is a directive coming from the Department of
	14	Justice.
11:45:40	15	MR. BURSON: Objection to the characterization as a
	16	"directive."
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	19	Q This is a memorandum issued by the deputy attorney general
11:45:48	20	to all law enforcement heads.
	21	A I didn't see who it was sent to, but I'll take your word
	22	for it.
	23	Q Turning back to the first page, the title of this
	24	memorandum is "Memorandum For Heads of Department Law
11:46:06	25	Enforcement Components, All Department Prosecutors."

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 114 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Did I read that correctly?
	2	A Sure.
	3	Q Issued from Sally Yates, the deputy attorney general.
	4	A Yes.
11:46:18	5	Q And so this was sent out to heads of department law
	6	enforcement, correct?
	7	A It is, but I don't know what that means. Anyway
	8	Q Returning to this point, though, the FBI is an agency
	9	within the overall branch of the Department of Justice.
11:46:48	10	A Yes.
	11	Q So the head law enforcement, if you will, would be the
	12	attorney general.
	13	A The head what?
	14	Q The head of the Department of Justice is the attorney
11:47:01	15	general.
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And the Department of Justice oversees many law enforcement
	18	components, including the FBI.
	19	A I'm not I'm not an expert in what the relationship
11:47:21	20	between DOJ and FBI is, but we do have our own director, so I
	21	don't know that one is above the other, but go go ahead.
	22	Q So, to clarify, I'm sorry, are you are you unclear as to
	23	whether or not the Department of Justice is over the FBI with
	24	respect to administrative agencies?
11:47:41	25	A They are an overarching yes, DOJ as a whole is over the

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 115 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	FBI, but I don't know that the director or that the AG can
	2	tell the director of the FBI how to administer policies, I
	3	guess.
	4	But, anyway, I would so I would agree that this was sent
11:47:57	5	to the FBI, yes. That's what you're really getting at.
	6	Q And turning back to 1009-2 in this first paragraph, you
	7	would agree that in that first sentence it's reflecting that the
	8	heads of department law enforcement components should review
	9	these procedures and, if necessary, update them to be
11:48:19	10	consistent.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q So it sounds like, based on your reading of this, this was
	13	a directive to bring all of the agencies underneath the
	14	Department of Justice in line with this memorandum.
11:48:35	15	MR. BURSON: Objection to the characterization as
	16	"directive" once again.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	19	Q Agent Ribail, I would like to direct your attention to
11:49:26	20	1009-6 further down in this policy.
	21	One of the instructions from this procedure is under 6.3.7,
	22	(reading): Please do not discuss the procedure or any
	23	photograph that you may pick with any other witness in this
	24	case.
11:49:53	25	Did I read that correctly?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 116 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
		Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And these are instructions that should be provided to a
	3	witness during a lineup.
	4	A Yes.
11:50:03	5	Q And this is, as you testified, consistent with one of the
	6	instructions that you provided to JV.
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And, again, this is a recognition, your recognition, that
	9	if JV spoke with the other witnesses, it could impact the
11:50:21	10	integrity of his identification or vice versa.
	11	MR. BURSON: Objection. I don't believe the witness
	12	testified to that earlier. And I frankly don't see how it's
	13	possible that speaking to a witness after the lineup has been
	14	administered would impact the lineup that already occurred. So
11:50:38	15	I think it's an incorrect statement as well.
	16	THE COURT: Overruled. He may answer if he knows.
	17	A I'm sorry. Could you repeat.
	18	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	19	Q Sure.
11:50:48	20	Special Agent Ribail, based on your 17-year experience with
	21	the FBI, you would agree that it is important for one witness
	22	not to discuss the procedure or any photograph that you may pick
	23	with any other witness in the case.
	24	A Yes.
11:51:24	25	Q And that's based on the recognition that if, for example,

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 117 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	SV wa	as the first person to engage in the lineup and immediately
	2	walke	ed back and spoke with JV, who is next to participate in the
	3	lineu	up, that communication could impact the integrity of JV's
	4	subse	equent participation in the lineup.
11:51:49	5	А	Yes.
	6	Q	Again, this is a recognition that outside information could
	7	influ	uence what a witness selects or who a witness selects.
	8	А	Yes.
	9	Q	I'm turning your attention to 1009-7. Under a section
11:52:23	10	entit	tled "Administrator Feedback," (reading): The administrator
	11	must	avoid any words, sounds, expressions, actions or behaviors
	12	that	suggest who the suspect is.
	13		Did I read that correctly?
	14	А	Yes.
11:52:37	15	Q	Would you agree, Special Agent Ribail, based upon your
	16	expe	rience and training on lineup administration, it is
	17	impoı	rtant for a lineup administrator to do just that?
	18	А	Yes.
	19	Q	And that's based on the recognition that words that a
11:52:57	20	lineu	up administrator could say could impact what an
	21	eyewi	itness who an eyewitness picks.
	22	А	Yes.
	23	Q	It's a recognition that sounds that a lineup administrator
	24	bring	gs up could impact who an eyewitness selects.
11:53:16	25	А	Hypothetically, yes.

		_	USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 118 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q	Expressions could impact who an eyewitness selects.
	2	А	Yes.
	3	Q	The same with actions.
	4	А	Yes.
11:53:29	5	Q	The same with behaviors.
	6	А	Yes.
	7	Q	So there's a recognition by the Department of Justice that
	8	even	nonverbal behaviors could impact the integrity of a lineup
	9	admin	nistration.
11:53:46	10	А	That's what they say, yes.
	11	Q	Do you agree, Special Agent Ribail, with what is said here,
	12	that	it's important and those things could impact the integrity
	13	of a	lineup?
	14	А	Yes.
11:53:59	15	Q	So you would agree with this statement.
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	Special Agent Ribail, I would like to direct your attention
	18	to De	efense Exhibit 1011, which is appearing up on your monitor.
	19	A	Yes.
11:54:36	20	Q	This is the FBI's 2013 policy.
	21	A	Yes.
	22	Q	And this is the one that you testified earlier that you had
	23	recei	ived you were familiar with.
	24	A	Yes.
11:54:48	25	Q	But you had not reviewed cover to cover.
		i	

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 119 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A I don't believe so. And the one we if my memory serves
	2	me correctly, the one we had been using and referring to is
	3	actually this but with an updated or reviewed revised 2016
	4	version, I think. I think.
11:55:12	5	MR. BURSON: Could you repeat that one more time, Agent
	6	Ribail?
	7	THE WITNESS: I feel like on the cover, I could be
	8	wrong, but ours is November 26, 2013, but reviewed, revised,
	9	something, at a later date. 2016 revisions? No?
11:55:32	10	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	11	Q Special Agent Ribail, is it your testimony that this 2013
	12	policy has been updated or amended since this time?
	13	A Since 2013?
	14	Q Besides the update on June 9th, 2019.
11:55:46	15	A That's why I'm cautiously saying I could be mixing which
	16	one I'm thinking of. A policy that I reviewed in the last few
	17	months had a revision, and I thought it was possibly this one.
	18	I could be incorrect.
	19	THE COURT: Counsel, it is noon, and so it might be a
11:56:08	20	good opportunity that we take a recess at this time, and people
	21	can confer about what things exist and don't exist. Why don't
	22	we take our recess. We'll come back at 1:30, and continue the
	23	testimony at that time.
	24	Any before we do that, anything we need to address?
11:56:30	25	MR. BURSON: Typically, the Government wouldn't have

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 120 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	ongoing conversation with a witness who is in the middle of
	2	cross-examination. Probably best practice. But I think the
	3	Court wanted the Government to clarify that issue or
	4	THE COURT: Why don't you all confer, and then and
11:56:49	5	then if there's a disagreement, then no. If there is an
	6	agreement, then yes. And we'll go from there. All right.
	7	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
	8	THE COURT: We'll be in recess. Thank you.
	9	(Recess taken: 11:57 a.m. to 1:31 p.m.)
11:57:03	10	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
	11	(Call to Order of the Court.)
	12	THE COURT: Please be seated.
	13	Mr. McEntire, are you ready to proceed?
	14	MR. McENTIRE: I am, Your Honor.
01:32:06	15	THE COURT: Very well. Go ahead.
	16	MR. McENTIRE: And, Your Honor, I will need to approach
	17	the Elmo, if that's okay with the Court.
	18	THE COURT: Yes.
	19	
01:32:22	20	CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
	21	BY MR. McENTIRE:
	22	Q Good afternoon, Special Agent Ribail.
	23	A Good afternoon.
	24	Q Shortly before we broke for lunch there was a discussion on
01:32:41	25	policy dates.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 121 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q And your memory was is that there was an update beyond,
	3	or I should say, in between the FBI's November 2013 policy and
	4	the FBI's June 2019 policy, correct?
01:33:01	5	A Possibly, yes.
	6	MR. BURSON: Objection. I actually believe that
	7	question misstates the testimony. The testimony was that Agent
	8	Ribail remembers reviewing a document that had a different date
	9	after the November 2013 date. I don't believe he characterized
01:33:15	10	it as an update to the policies.
	11	THE COURT: I understand your point. Overruled.
	12	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	13	Q Special Agent Ribail, I'm showing you what's been marked
	14	newly as Defense Exhibit 1023.
01:33:36	15	Do you recognize this document?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And this, in fact, is the FBI policy that was first
	18	published on November 26th, 2013, according to the title page.
	19	A Yes.
01:33:45	20	Q And updated November 26th, 2016.
	21	MR. BURSON: Objection. It doesn't say "updated" on
	22	that cover, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Sustained.
	24	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
01:33:54	25	Q It has a review date of November 26, 2016.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 122 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A That's correct.
	2	Q And this was what you were recalling before we broke for
	3	lunch.
	4	A I believe so, yes.
01:34:06	5	Q That there was a policy that had that new date from 2016.
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And to your knowledge, was that a document that was
	8	previously provided to defense counsel?
	9	A I don't know what was provided to defense counsel.
01:34:23	10	Q Based on discussions over the lunch hour, are you aware
	11	that this document was e-mailed to defense counsel over the
	12	lunch hour?
	13	A I had no discussions with anybody during lunch.
	14	Q Fair enough.
01:34:36	15	What I'd like to do, Special Agent Ribail, is draw your
	16	attention to a particular page
	17	THE COURT: Counsel, I'm going to stop the testimony.
	18	I'm confused by your question, and I need clarification from the
	19	parties.
01:34:49	20	Are you indicating that you have that the defense has
	21	never received this document before?
	22	MR. McENTIRE: True.
	23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I guess then I have a
	24	question for the Government.
01:35:00	25	Why is that the case?

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
Ribail/X/McEntire

123

MR. BURSON: Well, Your Honor, I think it's important to look at the document in question. The only difference is that there is a new cover sheet with some administrative materials, two entire pages, in between it and the start of the cover sheet, which was provided to the defense and is entered as an exhibit. So that's the only difference.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BURSON: And then the Government, at least the U.S. Attorney's Office was not aware of the presence that -- of this particular cover sheet and two administrative pages.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'll hear more about this. I just wanted to make sure that it wasn't anything more -- if there are nominal changes, we'll get into that at some point later, but let's hear testimony on this at this point.

Thank you.

MR. BURSON: The other clarification I do want to make, in case it does comes up, is that it appears as though earlier in discovery we released, at the request of the defense, policies governing eyewitness procedures and photo lineups. In the interest of time, and to get it to defense, we didn't narrow the scope for this disclosure, and so it's a little more broad and covers things not at issue here, such as mugshot lineups, live lineups, things of that nature, that aren't really at issue in this case.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll get to that when we

01:35:45 15

01:35:26 10

16

18

19

17

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

01:35:15

01:35:58 20

21

22

23

01:36:15 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 124 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	get to that.
	2	Thank you.
	3	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	4	Q Special Agent Ribail, in the morning you had indicated that
01:36:22	5	it was your understanding that the lineup that you had
	6	administered to JV was conducted in compliance with the
	7	November 2013 policy.
	8	A Generally, yes. I'm not going to say it was perfect, but
	9	yes.
01:36:54	10	Q So, Special Agent Ribail, I'm directing your attention to
	11	defense exhibit marked for identification purposes as 1023.
	12	And in this vein, you recognize this document?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q This is a 21-page document that has this title page again
01:37:26	15	with the publish date of November 26th.
	16	MS. YOUNGCOURT: Your Honor, this monitor is not on for
	17	them to see.
	18	Thank you.
	19	THE COURT: Oh, apologies.
01:37:37	20	Is that working now?
	21	Thank you. Thank you for letting us know.
	22	Go ahead.
	23	MR. McENTIRE: Thank you, Your Honor.
	24	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
01:37:47	25	Q And, again, the review date of November 26th, 2016.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               125
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
                  Does this document, 21-page document fairly and accurately
        2
             represent the FBI's lineup policy as reviewed on November 26,
        3
             2016?
             Α
                  Yes.
01:38:02
        6
                     MR. McENTIRE: And I'd move to admit this exhibit, Your
             Honor?
        7
                     THE COURT: Any objection?
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
        9
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
01:38:06 10
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1023 admitted into evidence.)
       11
       12
             BY MR. MCENTIRE:
                               (Continuing)
                  Turning, Special Agent Ribail, to Page 13 of Exhibit 1023,
       13
             under Section 3.6.4, that title is "Sequential Live Lineup"
       14
             Identification Procedures."
01:38:30 15
                  Did I read that correctly?
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  And this is a series of instructions or guidance for
       18
             special agents administering lineups in the -- in the field or
       19
             wherever you happen to be administering a lineup.
01:38:44 20
       21
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And it's -- indicates that "investigators are responsible
       2.2
             that the lineup should do," and, again, a series of bullet point
       23
       24
             instructions.
01:38:59 25
             Α
                  Yes.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 126 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Near the bottom, third from bottom (reading): Request the
	2	witness not to discuss the identification procedure or its
	3	results with other witnesses involved in the case.
	4	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, I'm going to make an objection
01:39:12	5	to relevance. This case doesn't deal with live lineups. This
	6	was a photo lineup.
	7	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
	8	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	9	Q And this is an instruction that you provided to JV.
01:39:25	10	A Can you repeat? I couldn't hear part of
	11	Q Certainly.
	12	Essentially the "do not contact" or "do not discuss your
	13	lineup with other witnesses," this is an instruction that you
	14	mentioned earlier this morning that you had provided to JV.
01:39:38	15	A Yes.
	16	Q The second part of this is that "and request that the
	17	witness not have contact with the media."
	18	A What was the question, that that's in the policy?
	19	Q Correct.
01:39:52	20	A Yes. Yes.
	21	Q And you had indicated this morning, when asked about that
	22	second part of the policy, that, quote, generally you sort of
	23	forgot, unquote, as to giving that last part of the instruction.
	24	A That's correct.
01:40:08	25	Q And, quote, felt like this wasn't the type of guy that

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 127 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	would go and contact the media, unquote.
	2	A Yes, due if I can elaborate, I can't quote, but he made
	3	a statement like, you know, "I don't want to talk to anybody
	4	about this," or something that yeah. So, yes, between me not
01:40:26	5	having, obviously, this policy with me, using the sheriff's
	6	policy, which does not contain this language, and I generally
	7	not feeling it necessary, we did not tell him to contact the
	8	media.
	9	Q So this is a procedure that is set forth in the FBI's
01:40:43	10	policy manual, correct?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q That you agree you did not follow.
	13	A Correct.
	14	Q I'd like to turn your attention to a different section from
01:40:59	15	this lineup or from this policy manual, excuse me.
	16	MR. McENTIRE: Again, Your Honor, this is Defense
	17	Exhibit 1023, Page 10.
	18	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	19	Q I'm under Section 3.5.6, "Recording Showup Results."
01:41:26	20	Do you recognize this section of the lineup procedure?
	21	A I do. I'd like to point out that this is not the lineup
	22	section; neither was the previous.
	23	Q Under the second section here (reading): For each witness,
	24	document a statement regarding the degree of certainty for both
01:41:47	25	identification and nonidentification results.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               128
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                                 Objection; relevance, Your Honor.
        1
                     MR. BURSON:
             was no show-up here. That's not what any testimony has been
        2
        3
             about.
                     THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
        4
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
        5
01:41:58
                  You indicated, with respect to lineup 5032, the one for
        6
             Donovan Cloud, that -- and I'm paraphrasing your testimony from
        7
             this morning -- that you felt JV's response was -- in terms of
             tearing up was your interpretation of his level of certainty.
        9
             Α
                  That and other factors, yes.
01:42:23 10
                  And you had documented that in your 302 regarding that
       11
       12
             lineup.
                  Documented what?
       13
             Α
                  His response, his reaction.
       14
01:42:36 15
                  Yes.
                  And you had written that down again on the YCO lineup
       16
       17
             instructions.
                  Yes.
       18
             Α
                  You indicated that that morning -- or afternoon, excuse me,
       19
             you had administered three other lineups besides the one to JV.
01:42:49 20
       21
             To MV, correct?
       22
                  Yes.
                  As well as SV?
       23
             0
       24
             Α
                  Yes.
01:43:05 25
                  As well as NV.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 129 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And in each of those other lineups besides JV, no one
	3	selected either James Cloud or Donovan Cloud from those lineups.
	4	A That is correct.
01:43:27	5	Q And in your 302, you did not document the level of
	6	certainty of the nonidentification presented by NV.
	7	A I'm not aware how you document a nonidentification. I
	8	wrote NV, or whoever we're discussing, did not identify anybody.
	9	So there's a level of certainty when they say, "I can't identify
01:43:54	10	anybody" or "I don't recognize anybody."
	11	But I did, uh I don't want to go too far, unless you
	12	want to show me some of the other reports, but I did note things
	13	they observed and other statements they made. But they did not
	14	identify anybody.
01:44:12	15	Q When you administered the lineup to JV, he did not identify
	16	James Cloud.
	17	A Correct.
	18	Q And in your 302 documenting that, you did not record the
	19	level of certainty of that nonidentification.
01:44:35	20	A I feel like I already answered that. No, I didn't. I
	21	don't know how you document my level of certainty that "I don't
	22	recognize anybody."
	23	Q So, for example, "definitely not No. 2," that would be a
	24	level of certainty indicated by an eyewitness.
01:44:55	25	Agreed?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 130 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And that is information relevant to writing down or
	3	recording as part of your documentation of a lineup.
	4	A It could be.
01:45:09	5	Q But you did not document the level of certainty regarding
	6	JV for the nonidentifications.
	7	A I wouldn't say that's true, no. I documented statements
	8	that the witness said. In general, if I hand somebody a photo,
	9	and they just hand it back, I hand them another one until we're
01:45:34	10	done. And then when we're done, "Do you recognize anybody?"
	11	"No."
	12	So I I I write my report, and I say, "Witness did not
	13	identify anybody."
	14	If I hand it, and they say, "No, no, no, no, no, no," I
01:45:46	15	would still I would still write, "The witness did not
	16	identify anybody."
	17	If I hand it to them and they say, "He looks similar to,"
	18	then I document that. And that's how my reports are written.
	19	Q Okay. Special Agent Ribail, going back to the instruction
01:46:35	20	from the FBI's policy that asking witnesses, not directing
	21	but asking witnesses not to speak with other individuals
	22	MR. McENTIRE: And may I have the technology, actually,
	23	switched over to the table, please.
	24	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
01:47:33	25	Q I want to actually just pivot for a moment to, you had

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 131 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire mentioned there is also an FBI 2019 policy. 1 Yes. 2 And that came into effect on June 9th, 2019. 3 That is the date of the policy. I don't know when it was published or put into effect, but that is the date of the 5 01:47:52 6 policy, yes. So I am drawing your attention, Special Agent Ribail, to 7 Defense Exhibit 1012, which is the policy that we were just discussing, this June 2019 policy. 9 Α Yes. 01:48:24 10 So is it your testimony that the date June 9th, 2019, is 11 not the date that that policy went into effect? 12 It may be, but the FBI may have put it -- for like my -- I 13 may not have had the ability to view this policy until Monday, 14 01:48:44 15 Tuesday, Wednesday, who knows? Because this was a Sunday, and most government employees don't work on Sundays. So who knows 16 17 when it was actually made available to review. Fair. 18 So you're drawing a distinction between maybe when the 19 policy, the date it went into effect versus when you were 01:48:58 20 21 actually able to view it. 22 Correct. So you have no disagreement with the idea that this policy 23 24 went into effect on June 9th, 2019, but you may have reviewed it 01:49:12 25 later.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               132
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/McEntire
                  It's possible, yes.
        1
             Α
                  And you've reviewed this policy.
        2
             Α
                  Yes.
        3
                  I want to draw your attention to 1012-6 --
                     THE COURT: Counsel, has this document been identified
        5
01:49:33
        6
             and admitted?
                     MR. McENTIRE: Good point, Your Honor.
        7
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
                  With respect to 1012, Special Agent Ribail, do you
        9
             recognize this document?
01:49:43 10
                  Yes.
       11
             Α
                  Is it a fair and accurate representation of the FBI's
       12
             June 2019 policy?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
01:49:51 15
                     MR. McENTIRE: I'd move to admit it.
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
       16
       17
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1012 admitted into evidence.)
       18
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
       19
                   I'm going to draw your attention to 1012-6, which is the
01:50:00 20
       21
             sixth page of this policy manual. This is the Authorities
             section.
       2.2
       23
                  Agreed?
       24
             Α
                  Yes.
                  This is the justification, or authority, if you will, for
01:50:13 25
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 133 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	where these policies are coming from.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q It lists three things. The first is the Constitution of
	4	the United States.
01:50:27	5	A Is that a question?
	6	Q Agreed?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q The second is the Attorney General's Guidelines For
	9	Domestic FBI Operations, As Amended?
01:50:35	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And the third is the Department of Justice Memorandum,
	12	Eyewitness Identification Procedures For Conducting Photo
	13	Arrays, January 6th, 2017.
	14	A Yes.
01:50:46	15	Q So the authority for the amendments to the FBI policy come
	16	from the January 6th, 2017, memo from the deputy attorney
	17	general.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q So this was essentially the FBI revising their policies and
01:51:05	20	using as authority for those amendments what was contained in
	21	that memorandum.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q I want to direct your attention back to back to Defense
	24	Exhibit 1009, which is that policy that we excuse me, that
01:51:40	25	memorandum that we had just discussed.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              134
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                  I've got it up on your monitor right now. And I'd like to
        1
             draw your attention specifically to 1009-7. There's a section
        2
             from this memorandum specifically title "Documentation."
        3
                  Fair? Correct?
             Α
                  I'm sorry. I'm reading.
01:52:09
        6
                  What was your question?
                  There's a section here that is contained in this policy
        7
             called "Documentation"?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And under 9.1, it specifically states that (reading):
01:52:20 10
             witness' identification of a photo, if any, and the
       11
             corresponding statement of confidence should be clearly
       12
             documented by video or audio recording the photo array.
       13
                  Did I read that correctly?
       14
01:52:38 15
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And so this is the policy section attached to the memo sent
       16
       17
             out in January of 2017.
                  Yes, from the DOJ policy. Yes.
       18
                  Recording is not something that's referenced back from the
       19
             Q
             2013 FBI policy.
01:53:03 20
       21
             Α
                  Correct.
                  So this is something that's new.
       22
                  For the FBI in the 2019 policy, yes.
       23
                  I'd like to draw your attention to a footnote specifically
       24
             from that section entitled "Documentation."
01:53:39 25
```

	ŗ	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 135 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	(Reading): Electronic recording serves several important
	2	purposes. It preserves the identification process for later
	3	review in court.
	4	Is that something that you would agree with?
01:53:54	5	A Sure.
	6	Q (Reading): It protects officers from unfounded claims of
	7	misconduct.
	8	A True.
	9	Q (Reading): And it allows fact finders to directly evaluate
01:54:04	10	the witness' verbal and nonverbal reactions and any aspects of
	11	the array procedure that would help contextualize or explain the
	12	witness' selection.
	13	A Sure. I do apologize, but sometimes I feel like you're
	14	making a statement versus asking me a question. So
01:54:24	15	Q Based on your experience and training, Special Agent
	16	Ribail, what this footnote says makes sense. Fair?
	17	A I think it makes sense, yeah. I don't know that it makes
	18	sense in every instance, but I have no problem with, uh, this
	19	policy generally.
01:54:42	20	Q Would you agree that a video recording of a lineup would
	21	allow a fact finder to hear everything that was said during a
	22	lineup?
	23	A Yeah, it would.
	24	Q All the words exchanged?
01:54:53	25	A Yes.

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 136 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q All the sounds made?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q All the behaviors and gesticulations that the lineup
	4	administrator made?
01:55:02	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And all the behaviors and gesticulations that the
	7	eyewitness made?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q So a video recording would allow a judge to see the
01:55:11	10	witness' expressions, which may be important.
	11	A Possibly.
	12	Q A video recording would allow the judge to see your
	13	expressions as the lineup administrator, which may be important.
	14	A Yes.
01:55:25	15	Q Effectively, a recording gives the fact finder greater
	16	context to assess what happened.
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And greater context, Special Agent Ribail, you'd agree,
	19	means that the fact finder is more fully informed.
01:55:46	20	A I'm sorry. I couldn't hear the last part.
	21	Q It means the fact finder would be more fully informed
	22	regarding what happened that day.
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q I want to direct your attention, Special Agent Ribail, to
01:56:09	25	Defense Exhibit 1009, Page 12.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 137 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	There's a section from this memorandum specifically titled
	2	"Recording the Photo Array."
	3	A I'm sorry. Can I interrupt? I thought you said Page 12.
	4	I'm on Page 10.
01:56:41	5	Q Fair. The defense exhibit marking is 1009-12.
	6	A Okay. I'm sorry.
	7	Q And there's a section here entitled "Recording the Photo
	8	Array."
	9	A Yes.
01:56:55	10	Q And down there at the bottom, last sentence, it reads
	11	(reading): If video is impracticable, however, an audiotape may
	12	be useful, because it allows judges and jurors to hear exactly
	13	what was said by both the administrator and the witness rather
	14	than relying exclusively on an oral or written report about the
01:57:14	15	procedure.
	16	Correct?
	17	A That's what it says, yes.
	18	Q And you'd agree, Special Agent Ribail, that an audio
	19	recording would allow a fact finder to hear everything that
01:57:28	20	occurred during that lineup.
	21	MR. BURSON: Objection; calls for speculation about the
	22	quality of the audio recording at issue.
	23	THE COURT: Overruled.
	24	A Yes. I mean, assuming, yeah, it works. Sometimes you do
01:57:43	25	interviews outside in the wind and other things make it so you

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 138 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	cannot hear everything, but yes.
	2	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	3	Q Put differently, an audio recording, when coupled with your
	4	notes or report, would give the fact finder greater context on
01:57:59	5	what happened during that lineup.
	6	MR. BURSON: Objection, Your Honor. This applies to a
	7	lot of the questions so far, but we're getting into
	8	argumentative territory at this point. Counsel is essentially
	9	testifying here.
01:58:09	10	THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer.
	11	A I'd like to define who a "fact finder" is. Am I a fact
	12	finder? Who are you referring to?
	13	MR. SMITH: Would you please pull the microphone a
	14	little closer to you?
01:58:22	15	THE WITNESS: For me?
	16	MR. SMITH: Yes.
	17	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
	18	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
	19	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
01:58:37	20	Q Turning back to 1009-7 under the section titled
	21	"Documentation," Footnote 3 (reading): It preserves the
	22	identification process for later review in court, it protects
	23	officers against unfounded claims of misconduct, and it allows
	24	fact finders to directly evaluate a witness' verbal and
01:58:57	25	nonverbal reactions.

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 139 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire Special Agent Ribail, is it fair to say that when this 1 memorandum is referring to a "fact finder," it would be 2 referring to either the Court or a jury? 3 I don't know what the definition of a "fact finder" is in this context. It's not a term we use in -- like in the FBI 5 01:59:12 6 policy, I don't think you'd see that term, so I'm unsure what that means. But, yeah, I think it would make -- the recording 7 would serve myself, the Court, uh, a jury, defense attorneys; 8 everybody, I quess, if that's what it means. 9 So in that respect, an audio recording would help provide 01:59:31 10 additional context to that fact finder, let's say a judge. 11 12 Possibly. You had mentioned earlier this morning that you were at the 13 Zillah substation preparing the lineup for one, maybe up to two 14 01:59:52 15 hours. 16 Α Yes. 17 When you left that morning -- or when you headed out to JV's residence, it wasn't just you. 18 Correct. 19 Α There was another detective that was with you. 02:00:03 20 21 Α Yes. Dan Cypher from the Yakima County Sheriff's Office. 22 23 Α Yes. 24 When you went out there, Special Agent Ribail, did you have your phone with you? 02:00:14 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 140 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Does your phone have the capability of video recording?
	3	A Generally, yes.
	4	Q Does it have the capability of audio recording?
02:00:30	5	A I don't know. That's a good question. I used to have it
	6	turned off. But I would assume we can make a video with audio,
	7	that maybe the audio mic works. I don't know. It's a good
	8	question.
	9	Q Do you know whether or not Detective Dan Cypher had a phone
02:00:45	10	with him that day?
	11	A I'm sure he did.
	12	Q Do you know whether or not his phone was capable of video
	13	or audio recording?
	14	A That I don't know.
02:00:57	15	Q So you had a phone with you capable of doing some form of
	16	recording.
	17	A Yes. But I don't believe, if I can clarify, that me
	18	recording an interview with my phone would be within FBI policy.
	19	Nowhere is it required to record the lineups at that point,
02:01:23	20	period.
	21	Q Special Agent Ribail, do you remember going to the Benton
	22	County Jail to collect DNA from James Cloud?
	23	A To collect DNA?
	24	Q (Nodded.)
02:01:59	25	A Was it DNA or fingerprints? I think

	_	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 141 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Do you remember going to the Benton County Jail?
	2	A I've been there a lot. Sorry.
	3	Q Do you remember going to the Benton County Jail in this
	4	case and present were not only James Cloud but also one of his
02:02:16	5	attorneys, Lorinda Youngcourt?
	6	A Yes. We did fingerprints and and DNA, yes.
	7	Q Do you remember using your phone to take photographs of
	8	James Cloud that day?
	9	A Yes. If I can clarify, I am allowed to take a photograph
02:02:34	10	with my phone. I am not authorized, in general, to record an
	11	interview with my phone. I would need permission, various
	12	permissions. And I'm not even sure I could would be allowed
	13	to use my phone, but to do a recorded interview I need
	14	permission in advance.
02:02:56	15	Q And that's permission that you did not seek to obtain
	16	before heading out to administer either the lineup with JV or
	17	his family or LL.
	18	A No, I did not.
	19	Q And nor did you bring any type of recording equipment with
02:03:14	20	you.
	21	A I don't believe I had any, because I believe I was riding
	22	with Dan Cypher, and any recording device I would have would
	23	have been in my my vehicle.
	24	Q Special Agent Ribail, you had mentioned the amount of time
02:03:42	25	that you had spent at the Zillah substation.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 142 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Was that information that you had reflected in your 302?
	2	A Which information?
	3	Q That you had spent one to two hours compiling a a lineup
	4	there.
02:03:54	5	A No.
	6	Q You had mentioned that you had used the Spillman technology
	7	to help assemble the lineup, correct?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Was that information that you had included in your 302?
02:04:04	10	A Indirectly, yes, by attaching the, in my opinion, by
	11	attaching the lineups with the numbers and all of that. To me,
	12	that is that makes it, I guess, reproducible or, for me,
	13	obvious what how we made them, and they're had the ability
	14	to retrieve them again. That's why we kept the numbers and
02:04:27	15	stuff like that of the photo lineups.
	16	Q You had mentioned and testified earlier today that the
	17	lineups were conducted in the driveway of JV's
	18	residence.
	19	A Yes.
02:04:45	20	Q Was that information that was reflected in your 302?
	21	A No.
	22	Q You had mentioned that the family members were interviewed
	23	and shown lineups separately and one at a time.
	24	A I'm sorry?
02:04:56	25	Q You had testified earlier today that the family members

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 143 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	were interviewed and showed lineups separately and one at a
	2	time.
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Was that information that was reflected in your 302?
02:05:06	5	A Yes.
	6	Q It was.
	7	I'm pulling up Defense Exhibit 1004, which is your 302
	8	documenting the lineup with JV that day.
	9	Can you point to me where that's reflected in your 302.
02:05:31	10	A It's I you want me to point? I'm sorry. What do you
	11	mean, "point"?
	12	Q Where is that information reflected in your report?
	13	A So if there were more than one person present, their name
	14	would be listed as being present during the interview, and they
02:05:45	15	are not.
	16	Q So it doesn't state that.
	17	A To me, it does. As the author of this report, if there
	18	were more people there, I would put that there were more people
	19	there. I don't I don't write a report, if I go to somebody's
02:06:02	20	house, and they're by themselves, I don't write "no one else is
	21	present." I just write who is there and who is a party to the
	22	interview.
	23	Q You testified earlier today that while one member was being
	24	interviewed, the others were sequestered and out of earshot.
02:06:20	25	Was that reflected in your 302?

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 144 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire Α No. 1 You testified earlier today that the photos were shown to 2 JV one at a time. 3 Α Yes. And that's referred to as a sequential technique? 02:06:34 Yes. 6 Was that reflected in your 302? 7 It's reflected in my report, my overall report, which is, to me, the 302 and the corresponding documents that are attached 9 to or made part of the file with the interview report. 02:06:55 10 Special Agent Ribail, I want to draw your attention back to 11 the FBI's 2019 policy. This is Exhibit 1012-4. 12 Section 4.7.4, under the section "Documenting the Use of a 13 Photographic Lineup," under the third bullet point one of the 14 02:07:34 15 things the documentation should include are, quote, the procedures used in the photographic lineup, i.e. sequential or 16 17 simultaneous. So going back to my question: Is whether or not this is 18 was a sequential or simultaneous lineup reflected anywhere in 19 02:07:52 20 your report? 21 My opinion stands, is, yes, it's -- it is documented. And this is not the policy that I was operating under when these 22 took place. This is the policy that came out on Sunday. It's 23 dated Sunday. 24 So this policy that came out on June 9th, 2019, it's your 02:08:11 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 145 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	testimony that you do not believe that that policy controls.
	2	A I don't think it controlled my actions during that day
	3	because I was unaware of the policy on June 9th. That policy
	4	could have come out at 11:00 p.m. on June 9th or, like I said,
02:08:34	5	it could have been published for my access on June 10th. I
	6	don't know. I just know that I was in White Swan with no
	7	access even if I had even thought to review if there had been
	8	a policy change, I wasn't in the office to do so, period, nor
	9	was I notified by e-mail, or any other means, that there was a
02:08:52	10	new policy to be reviewed on that day.
	11	Q Your testimony this morning is that you conducted each
	12	lineup by placing the photographs down on in a stack on the
	13	hood of a truck.
	14	A Car.
02:09:05	15	Q Car.
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Is that information that was reflected in your report?
	18	A No.
	19	Q And, Special Agent Ribail, you mention that you've been
02:09:41	20	with the FBI for 17 years.
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And so you started with the agency, the Bureau sometime
	23	around 2003?
	24	A Yes.
02:09:55	25	Q So directing your attention back to Defense Exhibit 1009,

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 146 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	turning to that third paragraph, first sentence, that (reading):
	2	The Department of Justice last addressed procedures for photo
	3	arrays in its 1999 publication Eyewitness Evidence, a Guide For
	4	Law Enforcement.
02:10:20	5	So that was the last DOJ guidance before this 2017
	6	memorandum.
	7	Is that something that you had received training on at the
	8	academy?
	9	A The 1999?
02:10:34	10	Q Correct.
	11	A I don't know. I know we received training. I don't know
	12	where it came from.
	13	Q I'm pulling up what's been marked Defense Exhibit 1010,
	14	which is the Eyewitness Evidence, a Guide For Law Enforcement
02:10:59	15	from 1999.
	16	Special Agent Ribail, do you recognize this document?
	17	A I can't say that I do.
	18	Q So during your experience or training, this is not a
	19	document this procedures outlined in this document is not
02:11:14	20	something that you are familiar with or can recall having
	21	reviewed?
	22	A No.
	23	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, what I'd like to do is offer
	24	this under again, Defense Exhibit 1010 for purposes of asking
02:11:31	25	a few questions.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              147
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                     THE COURT: Counsel?
        1
                     MR. BURSON: Sorry. Is it being admitted into evidence
        2
             or is it --
        3
                     MR. McENTIRE: Yeah, right now just as a basis to ask a
             few questions.
        5
02:11:42
        6
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
        7
                     THE COURT: Okay.
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1010 admitted conditionally into
        8
             evidence.)
        9
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
02:12:00 10
                  Special Agent Ribail, I'm directing your attention to
       11
             Defense Exhibit 1010-26, which has a section titled "Obtaining
       12
             Information From the Witnesses."
       13
                  And on the very next page, on a continuation, there's two
       14
02:12:22 15
             instructions there, or quidelines, No. 8 being -- excuse me,
             No. 7 being (reading): Encourage the witness to avoid contact
       16
       17
             with the media or exposure to media accounts concerning the
             incident.
       18
                  Is that something that you're familiar with?
       19
                  I am not familiar with this manual, so no.
02:12:41 20
       21
                  During your training with the FBI, as we've talked about
             from this morning --
       2.2
       23
             Α
                  Correct.
       24
                  -- we talked about various forms of outside influence and
             how they could impact an eyewitness.
02:12:56 25
```

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 148 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A We did.
	2	Q And we talked about how it's important to minimize outside
	3	influence as much as possible, as an agent conducting a lineup.
	4	A Was that a question?
02:13:10	5	Q Yes.
	6	A I didn't I'm sorry. Can you rephrase it then? I heard
	7	a statement.
	8	Q Sure.
	9	We talked about minimizing, the importance of minimizing
02:13:20	10	outside influence as a lineup administrator, correct?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And you would agree that that's something that is, a lineup
	13	administrator should endeavor to do.
	14	A I couldn't hear the last part.
02:13:36	15	Q That a lineup administrator should endeavor to do.
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q So, Special Agent Ribail, when you were going through
	18	Quantico, you had indicated that you had received generalized
	19	training on lineup administrations.
02:14:03	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And how to conduct them.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And why the procedures of administering a lineup are
	24	particularly important.
02:14:13	25	A Yes.

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 149 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire To follow them. 1 2 Yes. And one of the things, again, that is always a goal is to 3 minimize outside influence. Α Yes. 02:14:21 6 And this is a guide from the Department of Justice on encouraging a witness to avoid contact with media or exposure to 7 media accounts concerning the incident. 8 THE COURT: Well, Counsel, this document has not been 9 identified, so I -- that's an improper question. If you could 02:14:35 10 11 rephrase that. 12 (Counsel conferring.) THE COURT: And the reason I interrupted is because 13 you're referring to this document, and the Government hasn't 14 02:14:58 15 objected, which is fine, but this document has not been admitted, so referring to it anything other than a document that 16 17 this witness is reviewing would be improper. MR. McENTIRE: And, Your Honor, what I would ask for is 18 conditional admissibility on the grounds that our expert witness 19 will be laying foundation for this document and admitting it 02:15:11 20 21 through her. Any objection to that? 22 THE COURT: 23 MR. BURSON: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. It will be admitted for that purpose with that caveat. 02:15:20 25

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 150 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire Go ahead. 1 BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing) 2 So, Special Agent Ribail, in your investigation and 3 training as an agent, it's your testimony that this is not an instruction or guidance that you ever received. 5 02:15:32 That I don't know. We -- I'm sure when I got into the FBI 6 in 2003 there was a policy, an FBI policy, and then at some 7 point it changed, and maybe even changed again, until we had the -- the 2013 policy that we've been reviewing, and that's 9 what I was operating under up until learning that there was a 02:15:52 10 new policy that came out in 2019. 11 But as far as DOJ policies and memos, they don't -- I'm not 12 saying they shouldn't affect me, but they don't. I'm not -- DOJ 13 does not e-mail us their ideas of policies. They go to FBI 14 02:16:15 15 management, and FBI management makes a decision whether to enact some of those policies or ideas or not, and then they push them 16 17 down to us. So anything other than FBI policy I can't -- I can't say --18 I don't have to follow, um, or -- and I may not even be aware 19 that it exists. So this -- I don't know whether this existed or 02:16:31 20 21 not. This could have been something they used at the academy. I don't know. 2.2 You would agree that exposure to media counts as a form of 23 24 outside information. Watching the news, for example. 02:16:48 25 MR. BURSON: Objection. We keep talking about exposure

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 151 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	to outside information. Without some sort of time limitation
	2	here, it's a vague question. There's outside information that
	3	comes in during the lineup, and there's outside information
	4	after the lineup.
02:17:01	5	THE COURT: Sustained.
	6	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	7	Q Special Agent Ribail, one of the policies that you're
	8	supposed to do or follow is to instruct witnesses not to
	9	communicate with other witnesses. We've talked about this.
02:17:23	10	A In this context, I take that as, yes, if I show you
	11	something, and then I'm going to show somebody else something
	12	immediately, that you two should not speak in between, yes.
	13	Q Perfect.
	14	So building on that, so there's a recognition there that
02:17:43	15	instructing, for example, JV not to immediately leave the lineup
	16	and go and talk to his wife, NV, would be a policy worth
	17	following.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And that is an influence that could happen on NV that
02:18:01	20	occurs after the lineup is done.
	21	A I'm sorry. If JV told NV something after JV reviewed it
	22	but before NV reviewed it?
	23	Q Correct.
	24	A Yes. Absolutely.
02:18:16	25	Q So in that case, there is a policy that helps control

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              152
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
             outside information that occurs after that individual's lineup.
        1
                     MR. BURSON: Objection. The question is vaque without
        2
             referencing the direction of the information. Is it coming from
        3
             the person who just took the lineup, going to the person who
        4
             hasn't taken the lineup ...
        5
02:18:33
        6
                     THE COURT: If you'd clarify the question.
        7
                     MR. McENTIRE: Certainly.
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
                  If you are conducting a lineup on JV -- okay? -- and that's
        9
             the first lineup that you've administered, you would agree one
02:18:46 10
             of the instructions you should provide JV is to not speak with
       11
       12
             other witnesses.
                  Yes, about what they did. Yes.
       13
                  And the reason that you would provide that instruction is
       14
02:19:05 15
             the concern that JV could then leave that lineup, walk over to
             his wife, NV, and provide information on what occurred.
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  Before she comes and does the lineup.
       18
       19
             Α
                  Yes.
                  So the FBI policy of not speaking to other witnesses is
02:19:18 20
       21
             meant to protect against a taint that occurs after that
             witness', JV's, lineup.
       22
       23
             Α
                  Yes.
                     MR. BURSON: Objection; vague. Taint of which lineup,
       24
             Your Honor?
02:19:39 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              153
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
        1
                                    I apologize.
        2
                     MR. McENTIRE:
        3
             BY MR. MCENTIRE:
                                (Continuing)
                  Protects future witnesses' lineups.
             0
             Α
                  Yes.
02:19:47
        6
                  You would agree that social media is -- let me rephrase
        7
             that.
                  Special Agent Ribail, I'm pulling back up, this is Defense
        8
             Exhibit 1011 dash -- 1011, which has been previously admitted.
        9
             This is the 2013 FBI policy.
02:20:41 10
             Α
                  Okay.
       11
                  I'm referring you to Section 3.8 dealing with photographic
       12
             lineups, and I'm reading the highlighted section.
       13
                   (Reading): The primary objective of these procedures are
       14
02:21:08 15
             to minimize suggestiveness by ensuring nothing is said or done
             by the FBI to distinguish the suspect's photograph from other
       16
       17
             photographs?
                  Correct?
       18
                  That you read that correctly? Yes.
       19
                  And immediately before that (reading): The investigator --
02:21:20 20
       21
             I'll highlight this for ease. (Reading): The investigator or
             administering agent, if not the lead investigator, must conduct
       22
             the photographic lineup in a nonsuggestive manner conducive to
       23
       24
             obtaining accurate identification or nonidentification results
             based on the witness' memory, while minimizing the effect of
02:21:49 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 154 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	outside influences.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q That's from the policy.
	4	A Yes.
02:21:58	5	Q So the goal, again, as an agent administering lineups, you
	6	are trying to minimize outside influences.
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And you would agree, as we've discussed before, that the
	9	environment could be an outside influence.
02:22:13	10	A Can you define "environment"?
	11	Q For example, a wanted poster on a wall.
	12	A Yeah.
	13	Q Which is why you were making sure that there was nothing in
	14	or around where you were conducting the lineup on JV.
02:22:27	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Other witnesses could be, again, this outside influences.
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q What you say and do as a lineup administrator could be
	19	outside influences.
02:22:39	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And so there these are all categories of outside
	22	influences that you, as an agent, are trying to minimize.
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Special Agent Ribail, would you agree that news is a form
02:22:56	25	of outside influence?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 155 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A No.
	2	Q You don't believe news is a form of outside influence.
	3	A Not after the lineup is already administered, no. They've
	4	already they've already answered the question, they've
02:23:09	5	already reviewed the photos, and and picked one or not picked
	6	one. And I'm
	7	Q I want to pivot and bring up lineup 5033, which is the
	8	lineup you administered that day to JV, among the other
	9	witnesses. This is Defense Exhibit 1000.
02:23:45	10	Do you recognize this, Special Agent Ribail?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And directing your attention to 1000-3, this is the
	13	photograph that you included of James Cloud.
	14	A Yes.
02:24:04	15	Q And this is what Spillman produced.
	16	A That's my understanding, yes.
	17	Q And he has short hair in this photograph. Based upon you
	18	looking at the photograph, would you agree he has short hair?
	19	A Sure. I'd call it medium, but
02:24:26	20	Q And it creates, I guess, what I would describe as sort of
	21	a kind of a bump, rounded bump in his hair at the top.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q This photograph does not depict James Cloud in any form of
	24	visible jail uniform.
02:24:47	25	A No.
	Į.	

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 156 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q	There's no height markings behind him.
	2	A 1	No.
	3	Q T	There's nothing that suggests that this was actually, in
	4	fact,	a booking photo.
02:24:56	5	Α (	Correct.
	6	Q 7	The photographs that you were showing, Special Agent
	7	Ribail	l, these were full-size, 8 1/2 x 11 photographs?
	8	A ]	It's the paper is 8 1/2 x 11.
	9	Q S	Sure.
02:25:21	10	Ç	So the photograph is, as depicted here, slightly smaller
	11	than a	an 8 1/2 x 11-sized photograph.
	12	A 5	Yes.
	13	Q (	Color?
	14	A 5	Yes.
02:25:37	15	Q A	And you indicated there are numbers in this lineup down at
	16	the bo	ottom. This one indicating, under 1000-3, there's a "2"
	17	underr	neath James Cloud's photograph.
	18	A 5	Yes.
	19	Q A	And if I go back one, to 1000-2, there's a "1" there.
02:25:56	20	A 5	Yes.
	21	Q A	And you indicated, testifying earlier today, that these
	22	number	rs were essentially the printed order of the lineup.
	23	A 5	Yes.
	24	Q I	It was also the order in which you provided the lineup to
02:26:10	25	each c	of the individual witnesses.

			USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 157 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Ye	es.
	2	Q S <sub>1</sub>	pecial Agent Ribail, as the lead investigator in this
	3	case,	you had an opportunity to review other police reports
	4	involv	ing other agencies that were doing investigation as well.
02:27:02	5	A Ye	es.
	6	Q T	here are quite a few police reports.
	7	A I	'm sorry?
	8	Q T	here are quite a few police reports.
	9	A Ye	es.
02:27:14	10	Q Yo	ou had an opportunity you yourself did not interview JV
	11	beside	s this lineup.
	12	A Co	orrect.
	13	Q T	here was a different law enforcement officer that did
	14	that.	
02:27:29	15	A Ye	es.
	16	Q A	Deputy Jay Heinz? Does that ring a bell?
	17	A I	don't recall.
	18	Q F	rom your review of the reports, Special Agent Ribail, you
	19	would a	agree that what the reports reflect from JV, as well as
02:27:47	20	your d	iscussions with JV, is that this incident that happened at
	21	JV's h	ome elapsed over several minutes.
	22	A I	t what over seven minutes?
	23	Q I	t elapsed over several over several minutes.
	24	A Ye	es.
02:28:05	25	Q F	rom reviewing, again, police reports and speaking with JV,

	İ	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 158 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	JV indicated that he interacted with the individuals, spoke with
	2	them.
	3	A The two people that carjacked the car?
	4	Q Correct.
02:28:29	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Spoke with not only the individual with the pistol that was
	7	holding it to his son's head.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q He also interacted with the other individual with a
02:28:39	10	shotgun.
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q In fact, the individual with the shotgun followed him into
	13	the house while he was exchanging keys.
	14	A Yes.
02:28:48	15	Q The keys from initially he pulled the keys from a van?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q But the individuals wanted a truck instead.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And so over this several-minute interaction, he's in close
02:29:02	20	proximity to the individual with the shotgun who's following
	21	him.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And he was actually talking to him, the individual with the
	24	shotgun.
02:29:15	25	A I my based on reading the reports, yes, I know some

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 159 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	discussion. Yes.
	2	Q According to JV, that the man with the shotgun was
	3	apologetic and continually told him he was very sorry for what
	4	he was doing.
02:29:32	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Do you recall that from the reports?
	7	A I recall that from the reports, yes.
	8	Q JV also ended up negotiating with them essentially about
	9	where his son would sit in the truck.
02:29:41	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Initially they wanted him inside the cab.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Later they agreed that the son could be in the bed of the
	14	truck.
02:29:51	15	A Yes.
	16	Q So this was more than a fleeting encounter, if you will.
	17	We're not talking seconds. We're talking minutes.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And you'd agree that JV had a good opportunity to view both
02:30:11	20	suspects that day.
	21	A I personally I don't know, because I am not JV, but my
	22	opinion is actually, no, I don't agree with that. If somebody
	23	is following you, you can't see them. And number two, if
	24	somebody my opinion, based on my training and experience,
02:30:32	25	that if somebody has a gun to my son's head, I'm going to be

## USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 160 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire focused on them more than somebody that's on my side or behind 1 So I -- my opinion, which is only worth my opinion, is that 2 JV more likely got a better look at the man holding the pistol 3 to his son's head, my opinion. You'd agree with the -- that the second individual followed 02:30:55 6 JV inside the home, as we talked about. That's what the report says. 7 And the other individual didn't follow him inside with his 9 son. That's correct. But you can't see somebody when they're 02:31:07 10 behind you. 11 Is it your testimony that JV specifically told you exactly 12 where the second individual was positioned during this 13 interaction? 14 02:31:23 15 I told you that's my opinion from reading the reports. So this is not something that JV said. This is your 16 17 opinion based on your review of the reports. It was -- it's my opinion based on you just saying that --18 it sounded like you were reading a report that said that the man 19 with the shotgun followed him into the house. 02:31:39 20 21 0 Ah. 22 Your words. So this is not something based upon your discussions or a 23 24 conversation with JV himself. Α That's correct. 02:31:50 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 161 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Draw your attention to Defense Exhibit 1006.
	2	There was some testimony about this earlier today. And
	3	this is something that you testified to that the Yakama Nation,
	4	be it the police department, I think, or the Tribal Police is
02:32:30	5	what you were guesstimating had issued.
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And based on the testimony, there was first a statement
	8	saying that everyone was arrested, and that was published
	9	sometime around 2 o'clock.
02:32:42	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And then there was a clarification saying that, in fact,
	12	that was not true, and that came out shortly after 5 o'clock.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And that subsequent statement, that's where this bulletin,
02:32:55	15	if you will, Defense Exhibit 1006, came from.
	16	A Yes.
	17	MR. McENTIRE: I don't believe, Your Honor, that this
	18	has been admitted.
	19	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
02:33:05	20	Q But just in case it hasn't, Special Agent Ribail, do you
	21	recognize this document?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the bulletin that
	24	was issued?
02:33:15	25	A Yes.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              162
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
                     MR. McENTIRE: I'd move to admit this.
        1
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
        2
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted. Counsel, I just want
        3
             to be clear. The Government has its own exhibit of the same
             document.
        5
02:33:25
        6
                     MR. BURSON: That's correct, Your Honor. There's
             actually multiple overlapping exhibits.
        7
                     THE COURT: Okay. If you all want to have multiple
        8
             exhibits, that's fine.
        9
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1006 admitted into evidence.)
02:33:35 10
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
       11
                  On timing, Special Agent Ribail, you had indicated that
       12
             this document, Defense Exhibit 1006, was something that JV had
       13
             seen that day on June 9th.
       14
02:34:15 15
             Α
                  Yes.
                  In the afternoon.
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  And JV had actually contacted you as you were driving into
       18
             his property or around his property to let you know about this
       19
             identification.
02:34:28 20
       21
             Α
                  Yes.
                  This document that JV described seeing, he encountered it
       22
             on the Yakama Nation's Facebook page?
       23
             Α
                  That's my understanding, yes.
       24
02:34:57 25
                  And this appears to be on the Yakama Nation's letterhead.
             Q
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                  163
                     Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                         Ribail/X/McEntire
                   Yes.
        1
             Α
                   And it contains two photos.
        2
        3
             Α
                   Yes.
                   Tile photos, if you will.
             Α
                   Sure.
        5
02:35:05
        6
                   A picture of James Cloud's front.
        7
             Α
                   Yes.
                   And side.
        9
             Α
                   Yes.
                   So these aren't the same size photos that JV had looked at
02:35:12 10
             with respect to the lineup.
       11
       12
                   Correct.
                   These are smaller.
       13
             Α
                   Yes.
       14
02:35:19 15
             Q
                   Quite a bit.
             Α
                   Yes.
       16
                   This photo does not contain a neutral background. You'd
       17
             agree?
       18
       19
             Α
                   I agree.
                   It contains a height marking, indicative of a booking
02:35:41 20
       21
             photo.
                   Is that a question?
       22
                   It is.
       23
             0
       24
             Α
                   It does.
                   It also contains what appears to be -- James Cloud is
02:35:51 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 164 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	wearing jail garb.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q And it contains a paragraph at the top. Starting out with
	4	(reading): Toppenish, Washington. Due to misidentification.
02:36:08	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And there was some testimony about that earlier on the
	7	reason why the "due to misidentification" was inserted in there.
	8	A You mean why this bulletin was put out in yes.
	9	Q Correct.
02:36:30	10	And your testimony from earlier today is that was an error
	11	communicated somewhere along the way as information made it from
	12	the Oregon State Patrol or state police to various law
	13	enforcement agencies to the Yakama Nation that ultimately
	14	resulted in an incorrect bulletin being issued.
02:36:49	15	A Yes.
	16	Q In this paragraph, "due to misidentification," it does not
	17	say "due to misidentification as a result of law enforcement
	18	error," correct?
	19	A Correct.
02:37:04	20	Q So there's no clarification on who made the mistake. It
	21	just states "due to misidentification."
	22	A You are correct. The first three words are "due to
	23	misidentification," yes. I'm not sure I understood the
	24	question.
02:37:25	25	Q And, again, it goes on to state, identifying James Cloud as

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 165 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	wanted for the reservation murders.
	2	A Correct.
	3	Q This information regarding the miscommunication along the
	4	way by law enforcement, that's not information that was public
02:37:50	5	knowledge.
	6	A No.
	7	Q So it was not communicated to JV.
	8	A Which part, that that they were in custody and they
	9	weren't? Or I don't know what
02:38:03	10	Q Who made who made the mistake.
	11	A Who made the mistake?
	12	Q Correct.
	13	MR. BURSON: Objection; calls for speculation.
	14	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it. If you could
02:38:14	15	rephrase it to clarify the question.
	16	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	17	Q Special Agent Ribail, to your knowledge, JV was not aware
	18	of the miscommunication that had happened between the Oregon
	19	State Patrol and the Yakama Nation police. That's something
02:38:36	20	that was within law enforcement.
	21	MR. BURSON: Objection. I believe the final link in the
	22	chain indicated on the letterhead was the Confederated Tribes
	23	and Bands of the Yakama Nation, which is not the Yakama Tribal
	24	Police.
02:38:54	25	THE COURT: Overruled.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 166 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	A Can you repeat?
	2	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	3	Q JV was not aware of the miscommunication that occurred
	4	between the various law enforcement agencies.
02:39:09	5	A I don't know what he knows and doesn't know.
	6	Q Was that information that was made publicly available as to
	7	the internal error, to your knowledge as the lead investigator?
	8	A I actually think it's painfully obvious that, yes, there
	9	was internal error, because there was a memo that went out that
02:39:26	10	said that they're both in custody, and then it had to be fixed
	11	to notify the public that they weren't both in custody. So to
	12	me, that's very obvious, if I'm a member of the public, that an
	13	error was made. So I would say yes, he is aware.
	14	Q Special Agent Ribail, were you present at the July 18th,
02:40:00	15	2019, arraignment in this case?
	16	A I believe so, but I I don't remember.
	17	Q Do you remember a court hearing occurring over in Richland?
	18	A I don't remember. I don't know if I attended or not.
	19	Q Special Agent
02:40:30	20	A Which it would have been
	21	Q Ribail, is it your practice, as the lead agent on in
	22	a case like this, to attend court hearings?
	23	A Generally, but if I'm busy, which I would have been in that
	24	time frame, I wouldn't attend something that I didn't have a
02:40:51	25	purpose, necessarily.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 167 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q Do you remember a two-part hearing, one where the Clouds
	2	were arraigned on the superseding indictment, and then there was
	3	actually a substantive hearing before the Court immediately
	4	afterwards?
02:41:11	5	A I don't remember.
	6	Q I'm going to pivot, Special Agent Ribail, to there was two
	7	identifications that you excuse me.
	8	You did a second lineup procedure on a different individual
	9	by the name of LL that day, on June 9th.
02:42:04	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And you where did that lineup occur?
	12	A At his residence.
	13	Q Did you employ the same techniques that you did at with
	14	the various individuals at JV's residence as you did with LL?
02:42:27	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Including a sequential lineup procedure.
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Did you use the same lineup photos?
	19	A Yes.
02:42:47	20	Q Did you use the same blinded procedure that you described
	21	in terms of taking a stack and putting them facedown?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Did you use the same numerical 1 through 6 order that you
	24	described from before?
02:43:03	25	A Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 168 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q I'm going to draw your attention to Defense Exhibit 1013.
	2	Do you recognize and I'm going to scan through it so you
	3	can see it in total this lineup?
	4	A Yes.
02:43:40	5	Q And this is lineup 5032.
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And you administered this lineup on LL.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And he reviewed all of the photos.
02:43:51	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And he did not identify anyone.
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q And this was the lineup containing Donovan Cloud.
	14	A Correct.
02:44:03	15	Q I'm going to turn your attention to exhibit Defense
	16	Exhibit 1000. This is lineup 5033. Again, scanning through it.
	17	Do you recognize this lineup?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And you showed this lineup to LL?
02:44:21	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And this is the lineup containing James Cloud.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And he did not identify James Cloud.
	24	A Correct.
02:44:36	25	Q Here's a different lineup. This is lineup 5034. This is

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              169
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/X/McEntire
             one we haven't reviewed yet today. I'm going to scan through
        1
                  This is Defense Exhibit 1014.
        2
                  Do you recognize this lineup?
        3
                  Yes.
             Α
                  And this is the lineup that you prepared, under 5034,
02:44:54
        6
             containing Morris Jackson?
        7
             Α
                  Yes.
                     MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, I'd move to admit Defense
        8
             Exhibit 1014.
        9
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
02:45:10 10
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
       11
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1014 admitted into evidence.)
       12
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
       13
                  Now, there was an identification on this lineup.
       14
02:45:18 15
             А
                  Yes.
                  Specifically Photo No. 4, right here?
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  LL identified this individual as the blue-shirted male that
       18
             shot him.
       19
                  To the best of my knowledge, that's my recollection, but if
02:45:32 20
       21
             you would -- if I could review my 302, I could answer it better.
                  I'm pulling up Exhibit 1016, which is your 302. For lineup
       22
             5034, (reading): LL stated that No. 4, Morris Jackson, possibly
       23
       24
             looks like the guy --
02:46:05 25
                     THE COURT: Counsel, this is an exhibit that you're
```

	,	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 170 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	asking him to review to refresh his recollection?
	2	MR. McENTIRE: Recollection.
	3	THE COURT: And so he can do that without you reading
	4	that into the record.
02:46:15	5	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	6	Q Special Agent Ribail, would you mind taking a look at this.
	7	A Yes. I read it.
	8	Q Okay. Is your recollection refreshed regarding this
	9	lineup?
02:46:26	10	A Generally, yes.
	11	Q Okay. Based on what you recorded, did LL identify Morris
	12	Jackson as the person that shot him and had the shotgun?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Special Agent Ribail, as your lead investigator, you've
02:46:51	15	participated in several different interviews over the course of
	16	this investigation?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q You've also reviewed reports from several different
	19	witnesses as well.
02:47:06	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Are there other witnesses that identified Morris Jackson as
	22	an individual with a shotgun that shot LL?
	23	MR. BURSON: Objection; relevance.
	24	THE COURT: Overruled.
02:47:27	25	A The short answer is I believe so, yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 171 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	2	Q Do you recall saying that?
	3	A I'd have to review my report.
	4	Q I apologize. Do you recall EZ saying that?
02:47:54	5	A I'd have to review my report.
	6	Q Do you recall Natasha Jackson saying that?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q How long did you spend doing the lineups with LL?
	9	A Maybe 20 minutes.
02:48:30	10	Q You were used the same Yakima County Sheriff's Office
	11	procedure, lineup procedure with LL as well?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q I'm pulling up Defense Exhibit 1017.
	14	Do you recognize this document?
02:48:46	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Is this the lineup instructions that were provided to LL?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Are are these your notes?
	19	A Yes.
02:48:52	20	Q Regarding his responses to the lineup.
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Does this document fairly and accurately represent the
	23	original from that day?
	24	A Yes.
02:49:03	25	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, I'd move to admit Defense

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                                172
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/McEntire
             Exhibit 1017.
        1
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
        2
                     THE COURT: It will be admitted.
        3
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1017 admitted into evidence.)
        4
             BY MR. MCENTIRE:
                                 (Continuing)
        5
02:49:11
        6
                   You indicated that you provided these procedures, these
             same cautionary instructions to LL as well. You read them to
        7
             him.
        8
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Did you provide an instruction to LL regarding contacting
02:49:32 10
             the media?
       11
                   I don't recall, but probably not.
       12
                  Are you aware that shortly after this interview, this
       13
             lineup procedure, that LL, in fact, did have contact with the
       14
02:50:06 15
             press?
                  Yes.
       16
       17
                  With the Yakima Herald Republic.
             Α
       18
                  Yes.
                  And that brings us to the January 27th, 2020, interview
       19
             Q
             that you testified to briefly this morning.
02:50:17 20
       21
             Α
                  That brings us to?
                  To that interview.
       2.2
             0
                  You recall that interview.
       23
       24
             Α
                  Yes.
                  And you had testified that you went out there to do some --
02:50:29 25
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 173 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	sk some follow-up questions.
	2	Correct.
	3	And you were with Special Agent Jennifer Terami?
	4	Correct.
02:50:45	5	And Special Agent Terami did not prepare a report from that
	6	follow-up.
	7	She did. We share a report.
	8	One report, two authors.
	9	Correct.
02:51:04	10	You mentioned earlier this morning that LL referred to the
	11	red-shirted male as James Cloud, which caught you off guard.
	12	Yes.
	13	And it caught you off guard because during the previous
	14	ineup, he had not identified James Cloud.
02:51:24	15	Yes.
	16	And when you asked Special Agent or excuse me, when you
	17	sked LL to explain, you had recorded in your report that LL
	18	responded after seeing Cloud and hearing the name on the news.
	19	Yes.
02:52:14	20	Did you follow up with what news he was referring to?
	21	No.
	22	Did you ask him whether or not it was on social media?
	23	No.
	24	Did you ask him whether it was in the newspaper?
02:52:30	25	No.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 174 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	Q You moved on.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q And you indicated that Special Agent Terami was also
	4	present.
02:52:44	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Did Special Agent Terami ask she didn't ask, excuse me,
	7	whether or not LL saw this on the news or on the what news he
	8	was referring to.
	9	A Not specifically. My recollection is it was like watching
02:53:06	10	TV news. That's that's how I recall the answer.
	11	Q But, again, she didn't ask specific follow-up questions
	12	either.
	13	A She could have she could have. She could have said,
	14	"When you say the news, what do you mean?"
02:53:22	15	"Oh, on TV."
	16	I don't know. Something like that. We were both
	17	interviewing. I don't know who asked what.
	18	Q But that's not those specific follow-ups aren't
	19	documented in your 302.
02:53:33	20	A No. We didn't ask, like, what channel? Was it a day ago,
	21	a month ago, a year ago? No, we didn't ask any of that.
	22	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, may I have just a moment?
	23	THE COURT: Sure.
	24	(Counsel conferring.)
02:54:23	25	MR. McENTIRE: No further questions, Your Honor.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 175 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/McEntire
	1	THE COURT: Mr. Smith?
	2	MR. SMITH: Pardon me, Your Honor?
	3	THE COURT: Any questions for this witness?
	4	MR. SMITH: Yes. Sorry. I was doing something else.
02:54:33	5	Your Honor, I do have some questions, but I want to clarify
02.01.00	6	something.
	7	The we have we filed a motion to join the motion
	8	to suppress the identification of LL. And I think and that
	9	is set for today. We also have our own motion to suppress,
02:54:54	10	which is set for December 7th for a hearing on that, and it
	11	would probably involve testimony from from this agent at that
	12	time.
	13	I was contacted by the U.S. Attorney, and I told him
	14	that we would not we had set our motion to suppress the
02:55:15	15	identification for December 7th, our separate motion, and that I
	16	wouldn't be pursuing it today because it was set for another
	17	day. So I I don't want to I don't want to ask questions
	18	of this witness and then be prohibited from having having
	19	THE COURT: Two cracks at the apple, Mr. Smith?
02:55:37		MR. SMITH: I'm not trying I'm not trying to do that.
	21	In fact, I'm trying to avoid that, Your Honor. I don't want
	22	I don't want the Court to to prohibit me from asking
	23	questions. I can I can save my questions for this
	24	agent for that motion for that date, every one of them.
02:55:54		The Government did, in its inquiry to this witness, did
	_	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 176 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	kind of open up an area of of questioning. But then again, I
	2	can I'm happy to refrain from asking him questions with
	3	regard to our motion and ask him questions with regard to our
	4	motion that is set for today, which is that motion to join and
02:56:15	5	would involve his interview of Mr. LL.
	6	THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead with your questions
	7	with regard to LL.
	8	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
	9	It's a it's a new world, Your Honor, when we can ask
02:56:25	10	questions sitting down.
	11	THE COURT: Yeah, I know. I know.
	12	
	-	
	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	13 14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
02:56:40		
02:56:40	14	BY MR. SMITH:
02:56:40	14 15	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions
02:56:40	14 15 16	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent
02:56:40	14 15 16 17	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just
02:56:40	14 15 16 17	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just because I need to have a little lead-in for the questions that I
	14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just because I need to have a little lead-in for the questions that I am asking you.
	14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just because I need to have a little lead-in for the questions that I am asking you.  So, as I understand it, and what you reported, was that you
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just because I need to have a little lead-in for the questions that I am asking you.  So, as I understand it, and what you reported, was that you administered a photo array to LL on June 9th.
	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. SMITH:  Q The I'm going to try not to to repeat the questions that have been asked by by co-defendant's counsel here, Agent Ribail, but there may be a few questions that I ask you just because I need to have a little lead-in for the questions that I am asking you.  So, as I understand it, and what you reported, was that you administered a photo array to LL on June 9th.  Correct?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 177 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	remember
	2	A I don't have all of the numbers memorized. I'm sorry.
	3	Q All right. Well, let's
	4	A I'm sure you guys prepare, and I'm sure you all memorize
02:57:49	5	them all now, but this was a year ago for me.
	6	MR. SMITH: Is
	7	THE COURT: Yes.
	8	BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
	9	Q I'm going to use a document of co-defendant's counsel.
02:58:08	10	MR. SMITH: I don't know how to turn it on, but if you
	11	do okay.
	12	BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
	13	Q This is Defendant's Exhibit 1016. I believe it has been
	14	admitted.
02:58:19	15	Do you recognize that document?
	16	THE COURT: Hold on one second, Counsel.
	17	MR. SMITH: I'll take care of that if it hasn't, Your
	18	Honor.
	19	MR. McENTIRE: And, Your Honor, this was just used for
02:58:36	20	refreshing recollection purposes.
	21	THE COURT: Right.
	22	MR. McENTIRE: This was not substantively admitted under
	23	a defense exhibit.
	24	THE COURT: That's what I thought.
02:58:43	25	MR. SMITH: Say what?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 178 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	THE COURT: It was not admitted, Counsel, but it was
	2	used to refresh the witness' recollection.
	3	MR. SMITH: Then I'm going to ask him some questions
	4	with regard to it.
02:58:53	5	THE COURT: Sure.
	6	BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
	7	Q All right. So do you see that up on the screen? It's
	8	Defendant's identification 1016.
	9	A Yes.
02:59:01	10	Q And do you recognize that document?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And is that a document that you created?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And you created that at or near the time of the events
02:59:15	15	that that are reflected on it?
	16	A Yeah, I can't see the date that was written, but
	17	Q I'll show you. June 19th.
	18	A Yes. Ten days later.
	19	Q Now, did you I mean, you created that document on
02:59:32	20	June 19th, but it is a record of the events that occurred on
	21	June 9th, correct?
	22	A Yes.
	23	MR. SMITH: All right. I move for the admission of this
	24	for the purposes of this hearing, Your Honor, 1016.
02:59:48	25	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, actually, I'm going to object.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 179 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
		Ribail/X/Smith
	1	Obviously hearsay is admissible in a hearing such as this, but
	2	where the witness is present and testifying, I don't know that
	3	the admission of this exhibit adds anything, and therefore would
	4	be irrelevant, unless I'll concede that if there is a prior
03:00:10	5	inconsistent statement, we might have a different admissibility
	6	question, but
	7	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection.
	8	Counsel, maybe you can ask the question, and if he
	9	doesn't remember, then you can deal with the document.
03:00:20	10	MR. SMITH: Very well.
	11	BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
	12	Q Agent Ribail, you indicated that you couldn't recall the
	13	number of the photo array that included a photo of Donovan
	14	Cloud.
03:00:32	15	Is that correct?
	16	A Of who?
	17	Q Of Donovan Cloud?
	18	A Correct.
	19	Q Okay. And would you please review identification 1016, and
03:00:42	20	tell me whether that refreshes your recollection as to the photo
	21	array, the number of the photo array.
	22	A It doesn't help me remember which one Donovan Cloud was
	23	contained in.
	24	Q All right.
03:01:23	25	THE COURT: Counsel, if you're looking for Exhibit 4 of

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              180
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/Smith
             the Government ...
        1
                                Pardon me?
        2
                     MR. SMITH:
                     THE COURT: Are you looking Exhibit 4, the Government's
        3
             Exhibit 4?
                     MR. SMITH: I was -- actually, I was looking through
        5
03:01:31
        6
             the -- the defense exhibits, but it may be easier to use the
             Government's, Your Honor. Thank you.
        7
                     THE COURT:
                                 Is that the one you're looking for?
        8
        9
                     MR. SMITH: I'm pretty sure.
                     And then I believe that this has been ...
03:02:07 10
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Counsel, yes, it has been.
       11
       12
                     MR. SMITH: Pardon me?
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It has been.
       13
                     MR. SMITH: It has been okay. Thank you.
       14
03:02:25 15
             BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
                  I'm showing you, Agent Ribail, Government's Exhibit 4,
       16
       17
             which has been admitted in evidence.
                  And do you recognize that document?
       18
       19
             Α
                  Yes.
                  All right. And does that refresh your recollection as to
03:02:34 20
       21
             the lineup number that included a photograph of Donovan Cloud?
       2.2
                  Yes.
                        Thank you.
                  All right. So -- and what number is that, please?
       23
             0
             Α
                  5032.
       24
                  Okay. Now, moving back to the -- your -- the report of
03:02:50 25
             Q
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                             181
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/X/Smith
        1
             your administration of the photo lineup to LL, identification
        2
             1016, so -- now, understanding that No. 5032 includes the
             photograph of Donovan Cloud, you indicated that he did not
        3
             identify anyone in that lineup, correct?
             Α
                  Correct.
03:03:23
        6
                  All right. And that's your -- your recollection; is that
             right?
        7
                  Yes.
                  Okay. The -- now, you -- you were -- when you administered
        9
             the Lineup 5032, you were aware that LL had identified -- had
03:04:22 10
             given some physical description of two individuals, correct?
       11
       12
                  Generally, no, because there were no reports written at
             that point, um, for me to read, for example. Um, there was
       13
             some -- I know I -- information was coming from a lot of
       14
03:04:54 15
             different places, from a lot of different agencies, interviewing
             different people, you know, including LL and others. So I don't
       16
       17
             recall what I knew of his physical description at that point.
                  Well, so are you saying that -- that when you -- when you
       18
             prepared -- you prepared this lineup, and this is the 5032
       19
             lineup, it was the same lineup, I think you testified earlier,
03:05:19 20
       21
             that you -- that you administered to JV, correct?
       22
                  Correct.
                  So is that also true for when you created the lineup, you
       23
       24
             didn't -- you didn't have any physical descriptors of the
             individuals that you placed in the lineup?
03:05:35 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 182 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	A No. What I'm saying is I heard physical descriptors from
	2	different agencies doing different interviews. Um, that's how
	3	we made the lineups. But I don't know that any of them came
	4	specifically from LL, or maybe all of them came from LL. I
03:05:52	5	don't recall where they all came from.
	6	Q Okay. All right. Well, okay. Let me ask you this.
	7	A To say it a different way, we developed names of suspects
	8	between Saturday and Sunday, and when we had the names, then we
	9	could get physical descriptions from the suspects' files,
03:06:12	10	compared to what descriptions we had had received as well.
	11	Q All right. So you're you're not you're saying that
	12	you were given a name, and then you attempted to determine the
	13	physical description of the people that you were given a name.
	14	A No. I'm saying it came from both.
03:06:29	15	Q Yeah. Okay.
	16	Well, what was the physical description descriptors that
	17	you used, independent of that which you attached to the name of
	18	the individual in the photo array?
	19	MR. BURSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor. The
03:06:44	20	witness earlier testified that the photo array was generated
	21	using the name of the suspect and then autopopulated from there
	22	so
	23	MR. SMITH: I agree. He seemed to contradict that with
	24	his testimony here.
03:06:57	25	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 183 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	MR. SMITH: Sustain?
	1	
	2	THE COURT: Yes.
	3	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
	4	BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
03:07:04	5	Q The when you after you had administered the photo
	6	array to to LL on June 9th, did you have any contact with LL
	7	between then and January 27th?
	8	A I think so, yes.
	9	Q Did you document your contact with LL in any report in that
03:07:35	10	interim period?
	11	A I don't believe so.
	12	Q Is it is it in some notes that you have, that you
	13	that you recorded your contact with LL?
	14	A No.
03:07:47	15	Q So you're you're basing your testimony that you did have
	16	contact with LL between the time that the photo array was
	17	administered and the time that you interviewed him on
	18	January 27th, 2020, based upon your recollection.
	19	A I think so. It could have been after January 27th. I know
03:08:06	20	one time I was in that area, and I just stopped by to see if he
	21	was alive, how he was doing.
	22	Q Okay.
	23	A And probably to get a phone number to coordinate like
	24	victim witness contact.
03:08:20	25	Q Was anybody with you?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 184 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	A I don't remember.
	2	Q Um
	3	A But I'm saying it was more administrative to get a number
	4	so the victim witness specialist could reach him or something to
03:08:37	5	that effect. It wasn't an interview.
	6	Q Well, is it your practice to to not record contact with
	7	a critical witness in a homicide investigation?
	8	A No, it's not my practice. My
	9	Q Is there anywhere where we can determine, or any document
03:08:57	10	or memorandum that exists that we can determine, the date of
	11	your contact with LL and the content of that that contact?
	12	A I doubt it.
	13	Q The and as you sit there today, you don't recall whether
	14	that was prior to the January 27th interview or after, between
03:09:25	15	now and then?
	16	A I think it was before.
	17	Q All right. When you and Agent Terami interviewed LL on
	18	January 27th, you didn't show him any pictures.
	19	A No.
03:09:50	20	Q You didn't you didn't ask him about any prior
	21	identification that he had made.
	22	A No.
	23	Q The purpose of your contact with LL was what?
	24	A It was to clarify information, um, from his other
03:10:15	25	interviews.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               185
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
Ribail/X/Smith
                  Well, what -- what exactly was it about the -- his -- his
        1
        2
             identification or nonidentification on June 9th that you wanted
             to clarify?
        3
                     MR. BURSON: Objection. That's not what the witness
        4
             testified to.
        5
03:10:31
        6
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
                     MR. SMITH: I couldn't hear the objection, Your Honor.
        7
             If it's overruled, it's okay.
        8
                     THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
        9
                     MR. SMITH: All right.
03:10:41 10
                  That was not the purpose.
       11
       12
             BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
                  So was it that you wanted to clarify his identification of
       13
             his identification?
       14
03:10:59 15
             Α
                  No.
                   I mean, you didn't need to clarify a nonidentification,
       16
       17
             correct?
                  Correct, I think.
       18
                  Well --
       19
             Q
                  It was a double negative. I'm sorry.
03:11:12 20
       21
                  Well, you had testified earlier that if a person says --
             says -- doesn't identify a person, that you take that as a
       22
             100 percent statement of certainty, non-ID; didn't identify
       23
       24
             anybody.
                  Correct?
03:11:27 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 186
		Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	A And, like I said, we were not there to clarify any
	2	identification or nonidentification. That's not why we went
	3	there.
	4	Q Okay. You went there to interview him for what?
03:11:38	5	A About the events that happened on June 8th.
	6	Q Now, when you went there on January 27th, if you went there
	7	to clarify his previous interviews, by other law enforcement?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q So at that time you knew you did have his prior
03:12:05	10	identification of at least two individuals, correct?
	11	A We had reports at that point, yes.
	12	Q All right. And did you did you
	13	A I'm sorry. I don't think I understood that last question.
	14	Q I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
03:12:20	15	A I don't think I understood your last question or heard it
	16	correctly. We went to clarify that he his previous two
	17	Q I'll ask it again.
	18	When you when you went to interview LL on January 27th,
	19	you said that you went there to clarify the identification
03:12:40	20	A No, that's not what I said.
	21	Q No?
	22	A No.
	23	Q You just wanted to ask him about the events.
	24	A Yes.
03:12:47	25	Q And those and your questions were related to well,

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              187
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                         Ribail/X/Smith
             let me ask you this: I'll back up.
        1
                     THE COURT: Actually, why don't we do this. It's 3:15.
        2
             This is when we typically take our afternoon break, and we'll do
        3
             that again. We'll be on break for 15 minutes, and we'll be back
             at 3:30. Thank you.
        5
03:13:09
        6
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
                   (Recess taken: 3:13 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.)
        7
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
        8
                   (Call to Order of the Court.)
        9
                     THE COURT: Please be seated.
03:30:26 10
                     Go ahead, Mr. Smith.
       11
                     MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
       12
             BY MR. SMITH: (Continuing)
       13
                  So, Agent Ribail, during the interview on January 27th,
       14
03:30:44 15
             2020, did you ever use the name Donovan Cloud?
                  I don't believe so.
       16
                  Well, do you know or do you not know?
       17
                  I would say "no."
       18
                  Is there -- did you -- did you -- did -- did LL ever say
       19
             the name Donovan Cloud?
03:31:09 20
       21
             Α
                  No, not that I recall.
                  The -- after you concluded your interview of LL on
       22
             January 27th, have you -- have you interviewed him further?
       23
             Α
                  I'm sorry. I couldn't hear the end.
       24
03:31:38 25
                  After January 27th, 2020, have you -- let me ask you it in
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 188 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/X/Smith
	1	a better way.
	2	Have you had any further contact with LL?
	3	A I don't believe so.
	4	Q Is there some reason why you answer "I don't believe so"
03:31:51	5	versus "yes" or "no"?
	6	A Uh, because I've done a lot of work in this case, and I
	7	can't remember every time I spoke to somebody, um, if for
	8	instance, I could point out with him we have an obligation for,
	9	uh, the victim witness people to reach out, so they want phone
03:32:07	10	numbers or addresses, and sometimes if they can't get ahold of
	11	them, so they the victim witness could say, "Hey, Troy, would
	12	you call LL, and tell him to get ahold of me, because he's not
	13	answering my phone." So it's possible I reach out, and he
	14	happens to answer, and I say, "Call the victim witness person."
03:32:25	15	So it's I mean, there's possibilities that I could have
	16	spoken to him afterwards that I don't recall. But if I did,
	17	there was nothing substantive or else I would have written a
	18	report.
	19	Q So at least to your best recollection, you haven't had any
03:32:42	20	contact with him after the January 27th interview.
	21	A Not of any substance, no.
	22	MR. SMITH: I have no other questions.
	23	THE COURT: No other questions?
	24	MR. SMITH: No other.
03:32:53	25	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 189 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	MR. BURSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
	2	
	3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	4	BY MR. BURSON:
03:33:03	5	Q Actually, I'll start seated.
	6	Agent Ribail, you work for a component of the Department of
	7	Justice, correct?
	8	A Correct.
	9	Q And that component is the FBI; is that right?
03:33:17	10	A Yes. Yes.
	11	Q And the FBI has a director; is that right?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. You've worked for the FBI for 17 years.
	14	A Yes.
03:33:30	15	Q And the director of the FBI is appointed by the president,
	16	right?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q So not the attorney general.
	19	A Correct.
03:33:37	20	Q And I assume not the deputy attorney general.
	21	A Correct.
	22	Q Do you know how long director of the FBI is appointed for?
	23	A Uh, I believe ten years.
	24	Q That's correct.
03:33:48	25	Do you know who can fire the director of the FBI?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               190
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/ReD/Burson
                  The president.
        1
             Α
        2
                  Okay.
        3
                     MR. BURSON: May I, Your Honor?
                     THE COURT: Yes.
        4
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        5
03:34:12
                  So this is Exhibit -- Defense Exhibit 1009. I assume
        6
             you've become acquainted with this previously and over the past
        7
             three hours.
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Okay. Could you read for me where it starts "Memorandum,"
03:34:25 10
             the title of this?
       11
       12
                  Sorry.
                  Go ahead.
       13
                  "Memorandum for Heads of Department Law Enforcement
       14
03:34:36 15
             Components, All Department Prosecutors."
                  Okay. Okay. So you previously testified that you're a
       16
             member of a law enforcement component.
       17
                   (No audible answer.)
       18
       19
             Q
                  Okay. Are you --
                     THE REPORTER: I didn't hear an answer.
03:34:57 20
       21
                     THE WITNESS: I already forgot the question.
                     MR. BURSON: He's a member of the law enforcement --
       22
       23
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
       24
                     MR. BURSON: -- component.
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
03:35:00 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               191
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Ribail/ReD/Burson
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        1
                  You are not the head of your law enforcement component, are
        2
        3
             you?
             Α
                  No.
                  Fair to say, not even close?
03:35:08
        6
                  No, not -- no.
                  And so was this memo addressed to you?
        7
                  No.
             Α
                  Okay. Now, the date of this memo was 2017, correct?
        9
             Α
                  Yes.
03:35:22 10
                  Okay. Can we agree it's impossible, then, that it was --
       11
             well, that it was an authority underlying the 2013 guidance,
       12
             considering it was issued after the time that the guidance
       13
             was -- after the date on the cover of that guidance and after
       14
03:35:42 15
             what is marked as the review date of that guidance which was
             2016?
       16
       17
             Α
                  Yes.
       18
                  Okay.
       19
                     MR. BURSON: One moment, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT:
03:36:10 20
                                  Sure.
       21
                   (Counsel conferring.)
       2.2
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
                   I'm showing you the same exhibit, Agent Ribail. And for
       23
       24
             the record, this has been highlighted by myself.
                  Could you read Footnote 1, please. Can you see it?
03:36:34 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 192 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	A I can't see it.
	2	Q Oh. There you are.
	3	A The entire or the highlighted?
	4	Q You know, why don't we just read the highlighted.
03:36:49	5	A (Reading): Nothing in these procedures implies that an
	6	identification not done in accordance with them is unreliable or
	7	inadmissible in court.
	8	Q So, Agent Ribail, I have a couple of clarifying questions
	9	here. I'm going to try and keep them in a sensible order, but
03:37:33	10	it is going to jump around quite a little bit.
	11	A Okay.
	12	Q We talked about your training earlier or, actually, we
	13	talked about it and you talked about it with counsel for the
	14	defendants as well.
03:37:45	15	I want to be clear: You testified that you train new
	16	agents, correct?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q On on lineups that you have trained
	19	A I've had new agents be part of lineups with me, yes.
03:37:57	20	Q Okay. And but that wasn't as an instructor per se.
	21	A Correct.
	22	Q Okay. So you've had agents conduct
	23	THE COURT: Counsel, do you have an exhibit that you're
	24	going to use at the Elmo or are you going to have a seat?
03:38:09	25	MR. BURSON: No, I can sit down.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              193
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/ReD/Burson
                     THE COURT: Okay.
        1
                                         Thank you.
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        2
                  And so this was -- when you say "train new agents," you
        3
             mean sort of like the equivalent of a field training officer at
             a local police department.
        5
03:38:24
        6
                  Is that right?
                  Yes, that's what we are.
        7
                  Okay. And is that how you were trained in lineups, aside
             from the formal training that you received from like the FBI
        9
             academy and any follow-on training?
03:38:35 10
                        When I got in the field, I had a training agent, and
       11
             he taught me to do a lot of things, and I think one of those
       12
             things was showing lineups as well.
       13
                  Okay. We talked a lot about outside influences, and -- and
       14
03:38:57 15
             how they can impact the lineup.
                  You testified you agree that outside influences can impact
       16
       17
             the lineup.
                  Yes.
       18
                  Can an outside influence, based on your training and
       19
             experience, affect a lineup after the lineup has been
03:39:14 20
             administered?
       21
       2.2
                  No.
                  We've agreed it can affect it while the lineup is being
       23
       24
             administered, correct?
             Α
                  Yes.
03:39:27 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               194
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/ReD/Burson
                  And so during your administration of the lineup with JV,
        1
             did you -- are you aware of anything in the surrounding area
        2
             that could have influenced that lineup?
        3
             Α
                  No.
                  Okay. So there was no wanted poster hanging around,
03:39:41
        6
             correct?
        7
             Α
                  Correct.
                     MR. BURSON: Could I just have one second, Your Honor?
        9
                     THE COURT:
                                 Sure.
                   (Pause in proceedings.)
03:40:03 10
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       11
       12
                  You -- you testified earlier that you didn't record the
             time you spent at the Yakima County Sheriff's Office compiling
       13
             the lineups, correct?
       14
03:41:18 15
             Α
                  That's correct.
                  And you testified it was one to two hours, right?
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                  All right. Now, were you the individual -- you were the
       18
             lead investigator. You've testified to that.
       19
                  But were you the individual who actually sat down with the
03:41:29 20
       21
             Spillman machine and created the lineups?
       2.2
                  No.
                  Okay. Who was that? That was Detective --
       23
             0
       24
             Α
                  Detective Cypher.
03:41:42 25
                  And your failure to record the amount of time that you
             Q
```

	r	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 195 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	spent at the Yakima County Sheriff's Office, did that appear,
	2	during your administration of the lineup of JV, to have any
	3	impact on whether or not he identified anyone in any of the
	4	lineups?
03:42:03	5	A No.
	6	Q You testified that earlier that you showed the photos to JV
	7	separately and one at a time, but you didn't record that
	8	specific detail on your 302 from this lineup.
	9	Is that right?
03:42:18	10	A Correct.
	11	Q During your administration of the lineup with JV, did that
	12	appear to impact whether or not he was able to identify anyone
	13	or not identify anyone?
	14	A No.
03:42:31	15	Q You testified that the other family members of JV were
	16	sequestered and out of earshot, but you failed to document that
	17	on the 302 by the way, that you drafted after the lineup,
	18	correct?
	19	A I couldn't hear the last part.
03:42:46	20	Q Sorry. That was a compound question.
	21	Just for clarity purposes, this 302 was drafted, I believe
	22	it was six days after the lineup I'm sorry, four days after
	23	the lineup.
	24	Correct?
03:43:02	25	A I don't remember. Something like that, yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 196 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	Q Okay. Several days after the lineup?
	2	A Several. JV's.
	3	Q JV's, yes.
	4	A Yes.
03:43:11	5	Q Okay. Now, on that 302, you didn't document the fact that
	6	the other family members were sequestered and out of earshot,
	7	correct?
	8	A I'm sorry. I argued earlier that I did. I didn't note
	9	that anyone else was there because there was no one to note.
03:43:27	10	I if there was a parrot there, I probably wouldn't write
	11	there was a parrot. But
	12	Q So is it your testimony, then, that their sequestration and
	13	being out of earshot was on the 302 by implication?
	14	A Yes.
03:43:40	15	Q The failure to explicitly include that on the 302, which
	16	was drafted after JV's lineup, during your administration of the
	17	lineup, did that seem to impact whether or not he could identify
	18	or not identify anyone?
	19	A No.
03:43:55	20	Q You testified that the photos were placed facedown on the
	21	hood of the car during the blinded technique. You failed to
	22	document that detail in the 302.
	23	Do you think that affected his ability to identify or not
	24	identify anyone in the lineup?
03:44:12	25	A No.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 197 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
		Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	Q Okay. Do you think that any of those things, those details
	2	that you either failed to document or failed to explicitly
	3	document, do you think any of them suggested in any manner who
	4	it was that JV should pick or not pick out of the lineup?
03:44:28	5	A No.
	6	Q You decided to administer the lineup with JV on the same
	7	day that you did administer the lineup, correct? In other
	8	words, you hadn't decided on June 8th, "Hey, tomorrow I'm going
	9	to interview or I'm going to administer a lineup on JV," right?
03:45:01	10	A Correct.
	11	Q Okay. And so you began the morning of June 9th, 2019,
	12	executing a search warrant. You testified to that earlier.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And you were there for several hours, correct?
03:45:13	15	A Yes.
	16	Q And was it towards the conclusion of those several hours
	17	that you decided to go create a lineup and then administer it on
	18	JV?
	19	A Yes.
03:45:26	20	Q Okay. And you went to the Yakima County Sheriff's Office
	21	to do that, right?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q How far away was that from Medicine Valley?
	24	A I'd say 30 minutes.
03:45:41	25	Q Okay. And that wasn't an FBI facility, correct?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 198 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q Okay. How how close by was the nearest FBI facility?
	3	A We're a little bit further. But we don't have Spillman.
	4	Q Okay. Now, when you went to JV's residence, you conducted
03:46:05	5	the lineup outside in the driveway, correct?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Outside. Was it windy or anything like that, breezy?
	8	A I think it was a little bit on and off, because I remember
	9	having to make sure papers weren't blowing off the hood of the
03:46:27	10	car.
	11	Q And this was June in the middle of Medicine Valley, right?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q It's a particularly open, large area, a lot of pastures
	14	A Yes.
03:46:36	15	Q things like that?
	16	And it's sort of right there in the in the valley,
	17	correct?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Do you think using an audio recording device outside in the
03:46:49	20	conditions, as you recall them, would have made a recording that
	21	was easy to hear later on?
	22	MR. McENTIRE: Objection; calls for speculation.
	23	THE COURT: Sustained.
	24	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
03:47:06	25	Q You testified earlier that other than your phone, you had
	Į.	

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              199
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Ribail/ReD/Burson
             no video recording equipment, correct --
        1
                  Correct.
        2
                  -- at JV's residence?
        3
                  So, Agent Ribail, you testified with counsel for the
        4
             defense about the value of an audio and/or video recording, and
        5
03:47:23
        6
             I believe you agreed with several statements regarding the value
             that an audio or video recording could have for a reviewer or a
        7
             subsequent fact finder.
        9
                  Possibly, yes.
                  Do you think the presence of video recording equipment or
03:47:51 10
             audio recording equipment, or both, would have an impact on the
       11
             lineup itself while you're administering the lineup?
       12
                  It could.
       13
                  Okay. Are you -- in what direction?
       14
03:48:19 15
                  I guess, in my experience, some people, when they know that
             they're being videotaped, they get nervous, for example.
       16
       17
             that would be an effect on somebody.
                  Okay. So we mentioned briefly there was a four-day delay
       18
             between the drafting of the 302 documenting the lineup
       19
             administration with JV.
03:48:52 20
       21
                  That four-day delay, is that typical or common with the
             drafting of FBI 302s?
       22
                  Four days, I would say, is very normal.
       23
             Α
                  Could you repeat that?
       24
03:49:07 25
             Α
                  I say, four days is normal.
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 200 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson
	1	Q Is normal
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q is that what you said? Okay.
	4	Agent Ribail, I'm showing you Government Exhibit 6, just
03:50:19	5	briefly.
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And Government Exhibit 7.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q These were previously admitted. Actually, I am going to
03:50:41	10	show you another exhibit.
	11	Well, actually, those will have to do.
	12	Those two Facebook posts, do you remember who it was that
	13	had posted those? In other words, what the letterhead was on
	14	the top, what entity?
03:51:39	15	A Yakama Nation.
	16	Q Okay. The Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama
	17	Nation, as it's stated on there?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Okay. Is that a separate entity than the Yakama Nation
03:51:50	20	Tribal Police?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. So does the chairman of the
	23	MR. McENTIRE: I'm going to object for foundation on
	24	understanding the nuance differences between the two.
03:52:06	25	THE COURT: Actually, if you could clarify that

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 201 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReD/Burson Earlier testimony, it is the Court's recollection 1 2 that you indicated that the Yakama Nation and the police, gave 3 the impression, at least to me, that they were one in the same in terms of producing that bulletin. If that's not the case, I need clarification on that. 5 03:52:28 6 THE WITNESS: I don't recall exactly what I said, Your 7 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: But what my recollection of what I 9 intended to say was I think Yakama Nation Tribal Police were 03:52:38 10 responsible for at least generating or wanting to put out the 11 memo. But who actually physically put it -- that's not a good 12 term, but put it on the Internet, onto Facebook, I don't know 13 who physically did it in the end. 14 03:52:59 15 MR. BURSON: Okay. All right. THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 BY MR. BURSON: 17 (Continuing) You also testified that with LL you may or may not have 18 contacted him outside of the initial lineup and the January 2020 19 interview. It could have happened before that January 2020 03:53:17 20 21 interview; it could have happened after. 2.2 Correct. And that was for purposes of -- or it could have been for 23 24 purposes of coordinating or helping the FBI's victim witness coordinator get in touch with LL. 03:53:37 25

	1	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 202 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReX/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. If LL had said anything relevant to witness
	3	identification, would you have documented that in a 302,
	4	typically?
03:53:53	5	A Yes. If he said anything relevant to anything, I would
	6	have, yes.
	7	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
	8	THE COURT: Mr. McEntire?
	9	MR. McENTIRE: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:54:24	10	
	11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
	12	BY MR. MCENTIRE:
	13	Q Special Agent Ribail, you testified that later on in the
	14	evening of June 9th, you and Detective Cypher were present at
03:54:52	15	JV's residence collecting evidence. Later on that
	16	A Attempting to. Looking for, yes.
	17	Q Looking for evidence.
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And that he had flagged you down.
03:55:04	20	A Yes.
	21	Q And when he had flagged you down, he wanted to talk to you
	22	about an update or some information that he wanted to provide to
	23	you.
	24	A At that point I didn't know why he flagged us down.
03:55:22	25	Q So you stopped to speak with him.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 203 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReX/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And he indicated that he had come across something on
	3	Facebook, correct?
	4	A Yes.
03:55:40	5	Q And it was
	6	MR. McENTIRE: May I please have it switched over to the
	7	port over here?
	8	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	9	Q And he advised you that he had come across this Defense
03:56:03	10	Exhibit 1006, and and seen this, and he wanted to update you
	11	that this was, in his opinion, the individual that he had saw
	12	the day before.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And that he was 100 percent certain, according to what you
03:56:17	15	had documented.
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And did you interpret that as essentially a statement of
	18	certainty?
	19	A My opinion, yes. I am not I am not JV, but that's how I
03:56:34	20	took it.
	21	Q A certainty regarding information that had caused him to
	22	reverse what he had told you earlier that day.
	23	A I don't
	24	MR. BURSON: Objection, Your Honor. He had told him
03:56:47	25	that day that he didn't identify anybody in the lineup. That's

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              204
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                      Ribail/ReX/McEntire
        1
             different than identifying a totally different picture.
                     THE COURT: Counsel, we already -- we already went
        2
             through this. Counsel didn't really go into this, so I don't
        3
             know why we're rehashing stuff that wasn't on --
                     MR. McENTIRE: Certainly, Your Honor. I'll keep my
        5
03:57:01
        6
             questions focused on the questions of outside influence that
             came up on redirect.
        7
                     THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
        8
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
        9
                  You testified on redirect that the cautionary instructions
03:57:11 10
             that you provide aren't designed to mitigate influence that
       11
       12
             occurs after a lineup.
                  Yes --
       13
             Α
                    MR. BURSON: That's --
       14
03:57:29 15
             Α
                  -- generally.
                  Let me clarify. Yeah, I don't know that that's what I
       16
       17
             testified to, but we did discuss that some things can't affect a
             lineup once it's done.
       18
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
       19
                  To narrow this down, do you agree that there's a difference
03:57:41 20
       21
             between influencing the lineup and influencing an
             identification?
       2.2
                  What's an identification?
       23
       24
                  After someone reviews a wanted ad and changes their mind.
03:57:57 25
             Α
                  So -- okay. So now you defined that, what -- can you
```

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 205 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReX/McEntire repeat the question? 1 2 Sure. Would you agree that there is a difference between 3 influencing a lineup and influencing an identification? I honestly don't know how to answer that, because like if 03:58:18 you're referring specifically to this, I'm not involved, I'm not 6 there to influence. So I'm not sure I understand the question 7 again. The cautionary instruction included in the FBI's policies 9 to caution someone against contacting the media has to do with 03:58:35 10 not influencing their identification. 11 I don't -- the -- that is not our policy. When our policy 12 says don't contact the media, that's to go -- intended -- my --13 my understanding from my training and experience, is that, uh, 14 03:58:57 15 any of these witnesses should not -- we're asking them not to go contact the media, to go give a statement to the media, not that 16 17 they can't ever use their phone. I mean, if I understand your point of view, our policy would -- would say that JV should not 18 have looked at his phone for the last 15 months, or whatever 19 it's been now. We're not asking people to do that. They're not 03:59:16 20 21 jurors sitting in a trial. You would agree that after JV viewed this wanted poster 22 involving James Cloud, he wanted to tell you that he had -- he 23 24 changed what he told you earlier when he -- when the lineup was administered. 03:59:52 25

	_	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 206 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Ribail/ReX/McEntire
	1	A He didn't change anything.
	2	MR. BURSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor. He's not
	3	changing JV is not changing what he told him. It was a
	4	lineup where he didn't make an identification, and then he
04:00:01	5	recognizes someone later on. That's not reversing what was
	6	said.
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.
	8	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
	9	Q You testified earlier this morning that when JV had
04:00:16	10	contacted you, he had indicated he was frustrated that this
	11	wanted poster was not shown to him because it was a better
	12	representation of James Cloud.
	13	MR. BURSON: Objection; outside the scope of redirect.
	14	THE COURT: Sustained.
04:00:36	15	MR. McENTIRE: No further questions, Your Honor.
	16	THE COURT: Mr. Smith?
	17	MR. SMITH: No, thank you. No, Your Honor. Thank you.
	18	Nothing.
	19	THE COURT: Very well, sir. Thank you for your
04:00:47	20	testimony. At this point you're excused.
	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	22	THE COURT: We have until 5:00. Do we have another
	23	witness?
	24	MR. BURSON: We do, Your Honor. We could probably
04:00:58	25	complete direct in that amount of time.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 207 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	THE COURT: Okay. Sounds good. Let's get started.
	2	(Witness approached.)
	3	MR. BURSON: Government calls Detective Michael Williams
	4	with the Yakima County Sheriff's Department, Your Honor.
04:01:40	5	THE COURT: Thank you. And we're going through our new
	6	procedure here, so bear with us a minute.
	7	(Pause in proceedings.)
	8	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Raise your right hand, please.
	9	
04:02:12	10	MICHAEL EDWARD WILLIAMS,
	11	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, having first
	12	sworn or affirmed, testified under oath as follows:
	13	THE WITNESS: I do.
	14	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. You can remove your mask
04:02:27	15	while you're testifying. There's a water there; make sure you
	16	take it with you when you leave.
	17	And if you will state your full name for the record, and
	18	spelling your first and last name.
	19	THE WITNESS: Michael Williams. I'm sorry.
04:02:39	20	THE COURT: And you can remove your mask or you can keep
	21	it on, whatever makes you most comfortable. But go ahead.
	22	THE WITNESS: Michael Edward Williams; M-I-C-H-A-E-L.
	23	THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.
	24	MR. BURSON: Thank you.
04:02:52	25	

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 208 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. BURSON:
	3	Q And it's Detective Williams, correct?
	4	A Yes.
04:02:58	5	Q And who do you work for, Detective Williams?
	6	A Yakima County Sheriff's Office.
	7	Q How long have you worked for Yakima County Sheriff's
	8	Office?
	9	A Um, this December will be six years.
04:03:10	10	Q Okay. And how long have you been a detective with Yakima
	11	County Sheriff's Office?
	12	A Um, almost two years.
	13	Q Okay. So relatively new to being a detective.
	14	A Yes.
04:03:25	15	Q When you became a detective, did you receive any training
	16	above and beyond what you had received as, I assume, a patrolman
	17	before then?
	18	A I, uh, had some. A homicide investigation class, uh, a
	19	Reid investigation interview investi class.
04:03:48	20	Q Okay.
	21	A I've taken the, um, child forensic interview class.
	22	There's been a few others; I just really can't recall off the
	23	top of my head right now.
	24	Q Okay. And what about lineup training, either on the job,
04:04:08	25	by observing other people's or formal training? Did you receive

	Ē	
		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 209 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	formal lineup training?
	2	A No formal training. From what I recall, this is, um,
	3	something that was probably shown to me through my field
	4	training officer, and that was it.
04:04:25	5	Q Okay. And how many lineups do you think you've conducted
	6	during your time with Yakima County Sheriff's Office?
	7	A Maybe five to ten at the most. Closer closer to five, I
	8	would say.
	9	Q Okay. All right. So on June 8th of 2019, were you
04:04:51	10	involved in an investigation or assisting in an investigation
	11	out of Medicine Valley in White Swan?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Okay. In what capacity were you assisting with the
	14	investigation?
04:05:04	15	A Um, well, I was called on into service by my sergeant.
	16	Um, he advised that he had, um, multiple victims out in that
	17	area, and at least two crime scenes. So I was instructed to,
	18	um, go to the sheriff's office to central, um, in Yakima, and
	19	get the crime scene van, um, which I then took out to the second
04:05:39	20	scene, which was the pickup truck, a black pickup truck, I
	21	believe.
	22	Q Okay. So where a black pickup truck was on the side of the
	23	road?
	24	A Correct. I don't remember the name of the road at this
04:05:52	25	time, but

## USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 210 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson Okay. And how long on June 8th were you out at White Swan 1 providing this assistance? 2 Um, that first -- that first day it was -- gosh, I don't 3 remember exactly. It was all -- all evening I'm sure. Okay. Were you working that Saturday or were you --Q 04:06:12 6 No. You were working. Did you start the day working? 7 It was my day off, yeah. And you were called in even though it was your day off? 9 Α Correct. 04:06:25 10 How many detectives at the time were working at the Yakima 11 12 County Sheriff's Office? Um, that day or just as a whole? 13 As a whole. 14 04:06:36 15 Um, we have six detectives; three in the upper valley, three in the lower valley, and one detective sergeant. 16 17 Okay. Of those six detectives -- and we'll go ahead and include the detective sergeant as well -- how many were called 18 out to Medicine Valley? 19 I believe it was three plus the -- plus the detective 04:06:52 20 21 sergeant. There may have been four plus the sergeant, but I 22 think it was three. Okay. And on June 9th the following day, did you return to 23 Medicine Valley to assist? 24 04:07:12 25 Α Yes.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 211 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. Was it in a similar capacity as June 8th?
	2	A Similar, yes.
	3	Q Okay. What was the last day that you let me rephrase
	4	that.
04:07:27	5	After June 9th, 2019, did you continue to assist in the
	6	investigation of the murders out at White Swan?
	7	A Um, and that was the
	8	Q So it would have been June 9th, 2019, was a Sunday.
	9	After that day, did that conclude your planned assistance in the
04:07:52	10	Medicine Valley murders?
	11	A Planned assistance.
	12	Q Yes.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q So come Monday, come Monday morning when you came to work,
04:08:02	15	were you still working on the Medicine Valley murder case?
	16	A Um, I may have been finishing a report but other than that,
	17	no.
	18	Q Okay. Now at some point
	19	MR. BURSON: And I'd like to sidestep here and make a
04:08:21	20	quick clarification, primarily for the benefit of the Court, but
	21	also counsel for the defense.
	22	In the Government's briefing, we indicated that a
	23	certain witness in this case, EZ, arrived at Yakima County
	24	Sheriff's Office at her own volition. Following the
04:08:37	25	Government's briefing, and, in fact, quite recently, we became

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               212
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
             aware that she was actually called in. So there will be an
        1
        2
             inconsistency in the testimony preceding this and the
             Government's prior briefing regarding EZ.
        3
                     THE COURT: Go ahead.
                     MR. BURSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
        5
04:08:54
        6
             BY MR. BURSON:
                             (Continuing)
                  So Monday, June 20th, 2019, were you working?
        7
                  Yeah.
             Α
                  Where did you report that day?
        9
                  I just went to my own office desk.
04:09:01 10
                  Okay. At some point you interviewed someone with the
       11
       12
             initials EZ; is that right?
                  Correct.
       13
             Α
                  How was it -- and that took place at the Yakima County
       14
             Sheriff's Office?
04:09:15 15
       16
                  Yes.
             Α
                  How was it that EZ came to arrive at the Yakima County
       17
             Sheriff's Office?
       18
                  Um, at some point early in my shift, I was called by --
       19
             excuse me -- I was called by a CPS caseworker. Um, she informed
04:09:26 20
       21
             me that, um, EZ was, um, the subject of one of her
             investigations, and -- um, I don't know if you want me to go
       22
             into that --
       23
                  Okay.
       24
                  -- that case.
04:09:49 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                             213
                   Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
                  All right.
        1
                  But I -- I came to have EZ as another case assigned to me
        2
             as a CPS follow-up.
        3
                  Okay. So --
             Α
                  So --
04:10:00
        6
                  Just to clarify for the record, CPS stands for Child
             Protective Services --
        7
                  Correct.
               -- right?
        9
                  And they called you and asked you to work this case
04:10:07 10
             involving EZ?
       11
                  They basically called me to, as is typical when I get a CPS
       12
             referral, um, whether it -- it could be all types of cases, but
       13
             in this case, I believe it was a child endangerment. Um, so she
       14
04:10:29 15
             called me, um, you know, introduced herself, and said, "This is
             a case I believe that was activated to you. Um, I was wondering
       16
       17
             if you could get into contact with EZ and interview her."
                  And I had just received this -- this case; it had just been
       18
             activated to me. I don't even think I knew it was activated to
       19
             me yet. And so I said sure. Um, and that's how she came about
04:10:53 20
       21
             coming to our office, EZ. I placed a call to her and asked her
             to so that I could interview her reference to that case.
       2.2
                  Okay. So the purpose of you calling her and then
       23
       24
             interviewing her that day was this CPS referral.
                  To my memory, yes, that was the sole purpose.
04:11:12 25
             Α
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 214 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. So were you calling her in to interview her about
	2	the Medicine Valley homicides two days prior?
	3	A At that point, no. At that point it was for the CPS.
	4	Q Okay. Now, at some point you did at some point you did
04:11:38	5	discuss the Medicine Valley murders with her, correct?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And the fact that she had been at the Cagle residence in
	8	White Swan, correct?
	9	A What happened is once she arrived, um, I don't know exactly
04:11:53	10	who found out that one of the other detectives found out that
	11	she was coming to our office, um, said, "Hey, we need to
	12	interview her for this other incident in Medicine Valley." So
	13	that's how that came about.
	14	Q Okay. Was the CPS referral related to the events
04:12:17	15	A Yes.
	16	Q at Medicine Valley two days prior?
	17	In what way?
	18	A Um, EZ, when she arrived at the Medicine Valley location,
	19	she was in the rear of a pickup truck, um, with her, I believe,
04:12:33	20	at the time six-month-old child. Um, and the the reason for
	21	the referral was, you know, to discuss this with her and to see,
	22	you know, did she know that she was, uh, going to this residence
	23	to do what? What was she bringing this child to with her to
	24	this residence for? What was her reasoning to go there?
04:13:02	25	Q Okay. Now, you then conducted an interview with EZ,

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 215 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	correct?
	2	A I did.
	3	Q Okay. And was anyone else in in the interview room?
	4	A Detective McIlrath.
04:13:16	5	Q Okay. Was the interview room equipped with audio and
	6	visual equipment?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Okay. Was that activated for the duration of this
	9	interview?
04:13:27	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Okay. You were provided a copy by the U.S. Attorney's
	12	Office of that video that they obtained from your office,
	13	correct?
	14	A Yes.
04:13:38	15	Q Have you reviewed that prior to this hearing?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Does it accurately depict the video?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Okay.
04:13:47	20	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, I know I haven't gone through
	21	all of the formalities typically associated with introducing a
	22	video, but since it's been introduced by, I believe, both
	23	parties at this point, I'm going to move to admit it.
	24	THE COURT: Is that Government's 10?
04:14:02	25	MR. BURSON: It is, Your Honor, yes.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                             216
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
                    THE COURT: Any objection?
        1
                                    None from James Cloud.
        2
                    MR. McENTIRE:
                                It will be admitted.
                    THE COURT:
        3
                    Oh, sorry.
                    MR. SMITH:
                                No, Your Honor.
04:14:13
                    THE COURT: It will be admitted. Sorry about that.
        6
                  (Government Exhibit No. 10 admitted into evidence.)
        7
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
                  So the primary purpose of you interviewing EZ that day was
        9
             related to the CPS referral.
04:14:23 10
                  The primary purpose became the Medicine Valley incident at
       11
       12
             that point. Uh, when I spoke to her about the CPS referral, um,
             that was -- that conversation was fairly brief. Um, I -- I
       13
             think looking at it -- looking at the referral and speaking to
       14
04:14:54 15
             her for -- for a few moments, I realized that it was going to be
             really difficult to show that she had that intent, uh, knowing
       16
       17
             that these things might happen and so on, and that she -- enough
             to prove that she put her child in danger recklessly or however,
       18
             you know, was going to be really hard to do, so that
       19
             conversation didn't last very long.
04:15:18 20
       21
                  Okay. And so let's back up a little bit.
                  When the interview started, what was the discussion about?
       22
                  The Medicine Valley incident. From what I recall, that's
       23
             how -- that's where the conversation -- conversation started.
       24
             That was the topic --
04:15:39 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 217 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay.
	2	A from what I recall. I don't remember mentioning
	3	anything about, you know, the child endangerment or anything
	4	like that in the beginning.
04:15:51	5	Q Okay. At some point at some point you decided to
	6	administer a lineup; is that right?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And why did you decide to administer a lineup?
	9	A Um, another detective asked. I believe it was Detective
04:16:17	10	Cypher, but I'm not positive about that. I I believe
	11	Detective McIlrath spoke with that person who was most likely
	12	was Detective Cypher, and he asked that we do that.
	13	Q Okay. And did you have a lineup compiled already then?
	14	A Not at that point, no.
04:16:39	15	Q So between you and Detective McIlrath, I believe is
	16	A McIlrath.
	17	Q which one of you compiled the lineup that would
	18	eventually be administered to EZ?
	19	A Detective McIlrath did.
04:16:57	20	Q And did he prepare the lineup in the interview room or did
	21	he have to leave the interview room to do that?
	22	A He left the room, and, to my best recollection, that's when
	23	I spoke with EZ about the CPS.
	24	Q Okay. Do you recall how long Detective McIlrath was
04:17:16	25	outside the interview room?

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 218 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	A I don't know exactly, but I would estimate ten minutes or
	2	more.
	3	Q Okay. And during this ten-minute-or-more period, what
	4	did I know you just testified it had to do with the CPS
04:17:33	5	thing, but could you tell us generally the topics that were
	6	discussed with EZ during this ten-or-more minute period while
	7	Detective McIlrath was outside the room preparing the lineup?
	8	A The topics that were discussed?
	9	Q Yes.
04:17:47	10	A Um, why she went to that address; um, drug use; did she
	11	know that you know, is that the reason they went out there,
	12	because that was kind of the assumption; um, just things along
	13	those lines.
	14	Q What was her demeanor like during this ten-minute period or
04:18:15	15	so?
	16	A Um, she was upset, but she was fairly composed. She was
	17	crying off and on, but she was able to speak pretty well and
	18	compose herself, but it was pretty obvious that she was upset.
	19	And she she had a wound to her back, um, that looked like a
04:18:35	20	shotgun blast. That's what was told to us, and
	21	Q And after ten-or-so minutes Detective McIlrath returned
	22	with the lineup?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q I'm showing you what is marked as Government Exhibit 9.
04:19:06	25	Do you recognize that cover

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              219
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
                  Yes.
        1
             Α
        2
                  -- cover sheet?
        3
             Α
                  Yes.
                  Can you see it?
             Α
                  Yes.
        5
04:19:17
        6
                        Could you briefly tell us what -- what this cover
        7
             sheet is?
                  Um, that is a -- well, as it says on the top, it's
             instructions for a photographic lineup. So anytime you present
        9
             a lineup, um, along with the photos, you're going to present
04:19:30 10
             this to the, uh, person who is receiving the lineup, and you're
       11
             going to read that out loud to them and let them read it
       12
             themselves and make sure they understand. And after they, um,
       13
             say that they understand it, you're going to, you know, present
       14
04:19:52 15
             the lineup to them. They're going to eventually sign the
             bottom. If they've selected a photo, they would indicate that
       16
             in the bottom left corner. If they have not, they will not.
       17
                  Okay. Do you recognize that marking there that I just
       18
             circled (indicating)?
       19
04:20:08 20
             Α
                  Yes, my.
       21
             0
                  Okay.
       22
                  My -- my signature.
                  What is that?
       23
             0
       24
             Α
                  My signature.
                  Okay. And it looks like there's a date next to it
04:20:13 25
             0
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 220 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	indicating that it was executed on June 10th, 1999.
	2	Is that correct?
	3	A I had messed up that "9".
	4	Q Okay.
04:20:29	5	A That should be a "1", of course, but
	6	Q All right. So June 10th, 2019, is what that should say?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Okay. And do you remember which lineup or who who this
	9	lineup was administered on?
04:20:46	10	A Um, I believe it was, um, on James.
	11	Q No, but, I mean, who was the person that you were who
	12	who were you showing this lineup to?
	13	A Oh, EZ.
	14	Q Okay. I'm going to flip through.
04:21:07	15	So you've seen all of the photos in this lineup before,
	16	correct?
	17	A Yes. It's been a little while, but yeah.
	18	Q Okay. So now, this lineup, now that you've seen all of the
	19	pictures, including all of the pictures that are included, this
04:21:25	20	is the lineup that you showed to EZ on June 9th, 2019.
	21	A I believe so, yes.
	22	Q And it's in substantially the same form as it was back
	23	then.
	24	A It should be, yes.
04:21:36	25	Q It should be or it is?

```
221
                            USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
                  I believe it is, yes, sir.
        1
                     MR. BURSON: Your Honor, move to admit Government
        2
             Exhibit 9.
        3
                     MR. McENTIRE: No objection from James Cloud.
                     MR. SMITH: No objection.
04:21:47
                                It will be admitted.
        6
                     THE COURT:
                  (Government Exhibit No. 9 admitted into evidence.)
        7
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
                  So you testified you typically give the person
        9
             instructions.
04:21:53 10
                  Did you or Detective McIlrath give instructions to EZ?
       11
       12
                  I did.
                  Okay. Could you describe how you gave those instructions.
       13
                  I read this -- this lineup, uh, instruction page to her,
       14
04:22:06 15
             and I explained to her that, um, you know, the important things
             were the person may or may not be in the -- in the photos, um,
       16
       17
             and, you know, that if she understood, that she'd mark on the
             bottom right-hand corner, and then I told her that she should
       18
             read it herself, um, just to make sure that she understood.
       19
                  Okay. And then she -- she executed on this front page here
04:22:31 20
       21
             where it says, "Person Viewing Photo Lineup"?
       22
                  Yes.
                         So when Detective McIlrath came into the interview
       23
       24
             room, he handed -- sorry -- he had the lineups with him,
             correct?
04:22:49 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 222 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Do you recall how many lineups he had?
	3	A I believe four.
	4	Q Okay. Do you remember which lineup this one, No. 5038, was
04:23:00	5	of the four that you administered?
	6	A That should be the first one that we administered.
	7	Q Okay. And when Detective McIlrath came in with the photo
	8	lineup, what did he do with this particular photo packet?
	9	A Um, I I believe he handed it to me first. I'm not
04:23:21	10	positive without
	11	Q Okay. But as you recall, he handed it to you?
	12	A I believe so.
	13	Q And do you recall if you did anything with it prior to
	14	administering the lineup?
04:23:33	15	A Prior to giving it to EZ?
	16	Q Yes, which we'll get to that.
	17	A Um, I think the only thing I did was after I read the
	18	instructions to her, I checked to make sure that the, um that
	19	the last sheet, which has the subjects in each spot, to make
04:23:52	20	sure that their names weren't to make sure that that page
	21	wasn't there, which has their name and their position and
	22	everything. This is generated through Spillman.
	23	Q This lineup was generated using a Spillman system; is that
	24	right?
04:24:05	25	A Correct.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 223 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. And
	2	A And it will have that page included, um, when it's printed
	3	off, and it will show each position and the name and the name
	4	number, which is their Spillman, uh Spillman-specific number
04:24:18	5	for each person. So I wanted to make sure that I didn't hand
	6	that to her.
	7	Q Okay.
	8	A So I did flip through and check.
	9	Q So you you looked at the photos prior to handing them to
04:24:32	10	EZ to find that sheet or make sure it wasn't in there.
	11	A Yeah, just to make sure that that wasn't in there. That
	12	would have been not good.
	13	Q As you were doing that were you focusing on the photos?
	14	A Focusing on them, no.
04:24:46	15	Q Okay. So were you making note of who was in there?
	16	A No.
	17	Q Okay. At the time, to the best of your recollection, at
	18	the time that you began actually administering the lineup, did
	19	you know which photo depicted the the suspect?
04:25:04	20	A I don't I don't think I did. I just after I just got
	21	it from him?
	22	Q Yeah.
	23	A No.
	24	Q Okay. Now, when you began administering the lineup to EZ,
04:25:17	25	what did you actually do with the photos, the six photos in this

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               224
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Williams/D/Burson
             particular lineup?
        1
                  When I -- I handed them to her. I don't know --
        2
        3
                  Did you hand them to her all at once?
             Q
                  Yes.
                  Okay. We're going to take a small diversion here.
04:25:29
        6
                  You've -- in between that lineup and now, you've become
             somewhat familiar with Yakima County Sheriff's Office's lineup
        7
             policy or best practices?
                  Yes, I have.
        9
                  Are you aware that those policies recommend that the
04:26:00 10
             lineups be administered sequentially?
       11
       12
                  I wasn't at the time, but --
                  You weren't at the time. You are now.
       13
             Α
                  Yes.
       14
04:26:11 15
                  Okay. And so the reason --
                     THE COURT: Counsel, are you done with the Elmo?
       16
       17
                     MR. BURSON: I am, Your Honor. I'll probably come back
             to it.
       18
                     THE COURT: All right.
       19
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
04:26:22 20
       21
             0
                  So you're aware of it.
       2.2
                  Yes.
                  But at the time you weren't -- you weren't aware of that
       23
       24
             best practice.
             Α
                  I was not.
04:26:27 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 225 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Q Okay. How long had you been a detective at that time?
	2	A Um
	3	Q In June of 2019.
	4	A I would say would that be five months, maybe?
04:26:47	5	Q Now, once EZ had the photos in her possession, what did she
	6	start doing with them?
	7	A She started looking through them.
	8	Q Okay. Was she looking through them one at a time?
	9	A Yes.
04:27:00	10	Q At any point did she start comparing photos, anything like
	11	that?
	12	A She just started looking through them one at a time, as I
	13	can remember.
	14	Q As you recall?
04:27:09	15	A Right.
	16	Q As you recall, did she identify did she point out
	17	sorry, did she make any identifications in that photo array?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Okay. Do you recall which photo it was?
04:27:33	20	A Um, which which number?
	21	Q Yes.
	22	A Uh, it was the second, I believe.
	23	Q Okay. Okay. And I'm showing you the cover sheet on
	24	Government Exhibit 9 again.
04:27:51	25	THE COURT: It's not showing up.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               226
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                        Williams/D/Burson
                     THE WITNESS: I don't have it up.
        1
             BY MR. BURSON:
                              (Continuing)
        2
                  Where it says "Photo Number Selected" with a number "2"
        3
             handwritten there, is that indicating that a selection was made
             and that it was Photo 2?
04:28:08
        6
                  Yes.
        7
                  Okay.
             0
                  She also made a notation on the photo itself.
                  Okay. And let's take a look at that.
        9
             0
                  This is Photo No. 2, correct (indicating)?
04:28:21 10
                  Correct.
       11
             Α
                  I mean, there's obviously a "2" at the bottom; is that
       12
             right?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
04:28:31 15
                  This handwriting here (indicating), whose handwriting is
             that, as you recall?
       16
       17
                  It's her handwriting.
                  By "her," you're referring to?
       18
       19
             Α
                  EZ.
                  And could you read that for us.
04:28:39 20
       21
             Α
                  Well, I've only got the first line, but it says (reading):
       22
             Guy who shot Dennis.
                  How about that (indicating)?
       23
       24
                  I can't really read what it says over the "Government"
             Exhibit 9," but it says (reading): Guy who shot Dennis.
04:28:54 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               227
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
Williams/D/Burson
                        So you can't tell what this marking is here
        1
                  Okay.
        2
             (indicating)?
                  I can't.
        3
                  Okay. Does it look similar to you, from what you can tell,
             to this mark here (indicating)?
04:29:13
        6
                  It does.
                  Okay. Do you recall if EZ made any remarks in reference to
        7
             Photograph No. 2?
                  She -- I don't recall exactly, but -- her -- her exact
        9
             words, but she said something to the effect of "that's him" or
04:29:34 10
             "this is him," something like that, when she got to that second
       11
       12
             photo.
                  Okay. Do you recall --
       13
                     MR. McENTIRE: I'm going to object as misstating what
       14
04:29:51 15
             she said during the recorded interview.
                     MR. BURSON: I didn't --
       16
       17
                     THE COURT: Well, but -- hold on. Hold on.
                     MR. BURSON: -- understand that because of the mask, I
       18
             think.
       19
                     THE COURT: Overruled. That's his recollection at this
04:30:01 20
       21
             point.
                     Go ahead.
       22
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       23
                  After she made the initial identification of the
       24
             photograph, did she make any more comments after that in
04:30:23 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              228
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
             returning to Photograph No. 2?
        1
                  I don't recall right now. I'd have to review the video
        2
        3
             again to --
                  I'm sorry?
             Α
                  I'd have to review the video again to remember that.
                                                                           Ι
04:30:36
        6
             don't remember at this point.
                  So you don't recall from your own memory.
        7
                  No.
             Α
                  So, Detective Williams, we're not going to go over the
        9
             entire video with you, but there is a particular moment that I
04:30:55 10
             would like to ask you some questions about. And just for
       11
             context sake, I think we'll start at a position of the video
       12
             where I think you'll see that it appears as though the beginning
       13
             of this lineup is being administered.
       14
04:31:27 15
                     MR. BURSON: Can you switch us over, please?
                     THE COURT: Just for the record, you're playing
       16
       17
             exhibit ...?
                     MR. BURSON: This is Exhibit 10, Your Honor.
       18
       19
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
04:31:40 20
       21
                  So as you can see -- you can see that on your screen,
             Detective?
       2.2
       23
             Α
                  Yes.
       24
                  All right. And you can see, this is mostly for the benefit
             of the record, but the time stamp in the bottom of the video,
04:31:48 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              229
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
             not the video player, is 13:47 and 17 seconds.
        1
                  Yes.
        2
                  Oh, and can you identify the person the front side of this
        3
             video with the red shirt?
             Α
                  That is me.
04:32:10
        6
                  That's you?
                  With the red shirt, that's me.
        7
                  Okay. And sitting across the table from you, that is?
        9
             Α
                  EZ.
                   (Whereupon a video clip was played.)
04:32:31 10
                  Let's pause it there for a second.
       11
             0
       12
                  You previously testified about going through the lineup to
             check for the -- the sheet that Spillman produces that has
       13
             identifying information on it.
       14
04:32:40 15
                  Is that what you were doing in the last five seconds or so
             there?
       16
                  That's what I was doing right there, yes.
       17
                   (Whereupon a video clip was played.)
       18
                     THE COURT: Does this have audio?
       19
                     MR. BURSON: It does, Your Honor, but we've been having
04:33:04 20
             some audio difficulties. I tried to work it out with court
       21
       22
             staff, but for some reason it's just not --
       23
                     THE COURT: Okay.
       24
                     MR. BURSON: -- very audible. I -- while we're on
             topic, it wasn't the Government's intent at any point during its
04:33:19 25
```

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                             230
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
             presentation today to play the entire video. We submitted it as
        1
        2
             an exhibit --
                     THE COURT: Counsel, I've watched the video --
        3
                     MR. BURSON: Okay.
                     THE COURT: -- if that's what you're wondering.
04:33:30
        6
                     MR. BURSON: Which is what I was asking. Since the fact
             finder has already reviewed the video, the Government doesn't
        7
             intend on playing the whole video.
        8
                     THE COURT: Okay. But I didn't know if you had
        9
             questions for him on that. So that's why I was just letting you
04:33:42 10
             go ahead and ask your questions. But I have watched the video.
       11
                     MR. BURSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
       12
             BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
       13
                  So you've been watching this, Detective?
       14
                  Yes.
04:33:55 15
             Α
                  Okay. And it looks like she's starting to flip over the
       16
       17
             second page, right?
                  I believe so.
       18
                  Okay. While we're watching the video, I'd like you to pay
       19
             attention to you specifically here.
04:34:08 20
       21
                  (Whereupon a video clip was played.)
                  Okay. In the last few seconds of that video you handed --
       22
             you moved the pen over to the side of the table; is that right?
       23
       24
             Α
                  I just moved the pen, yes.
                  Okay. And I understand that this was in June of 2019.
04:34:28 25
             Q
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 231 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	Correct?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Do you know well, first of all, do you recall, if you
	4	hadn't seen the video, moving that pen?
04:34:45	5	A Do I recall what? I'm sorry.
	6	Q If I hadn't shown you this video just now or you hadn't
	7	ever reviewed it for admissibility purposes, if I had asked you
	8	today, do you remember moving a pen during this lineup
	9	A I don't think so.
04:35:01	10	Q Okay. Now that you've seen the video, do you recall
	11	A I know exactly why why I moved the pen.
	12	Q Okay. So why don't you tell us what you were doing there,
	13	what that action was with the pen.
	14	A It's hard without audio, but to but what happened when
04:35:19	15	she flipped the second photo, she like I said before, she
	16	said something not exact words, but she said something to the
	17	effect of, um, "this is the person." That's not what she said,
	18	but something to that effect. And I realized she did not
	19	MR. McENTIRE: And I'm got to object because that is
04:35:39	20	misstating what occurred during the video, just for record
	21	preservation.
	22	THE COURT: Okay. It's on the record.
	23	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask that prior
	24	inconsistent statements, if any
04:35:52	25	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 232 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson I'm going to ask that prior inconsistent 1 MR. BURSON: statements be reserved for cross-examination, since they're not 2 3 actually objections. THE COURT: Okay. That seems reasonable. Go ahead. 4 BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing) 5 04:36:01 6 All right. So, Detective Williams, I believe you were just explaining what the movement of the pen was. 7 Um-hmm. When I moved the pen, she had made some sort of statement, um, as to -- as to identifying what photo she was --9 you know, she was identifying the person in the photo. I 04:36:19 10 realized she did not have a pen. She needed a pen to make 11 her -- make a mark or sign her name, whatever she was going to 12 do, so I gave her -- I put the pen down. That's as simple as it 13 is. 14 04:36:33 15 Was your intent at the start of this lineup to have her indicate if she made an identification by making a mark on the 16 17 photo itself? 18 Um, yes. And had she -- and I know we can't hear it in the video, 19 unfortunately, but to the best of your recollection, had she 04:36:52 20 21 already made a statement indicating identification prior to you moving the pen? 22 She made some sort of statement, yes. I just can't 23 24 remember exactly what she said. 04:37:06 25 Okay. Q

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 233 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/D/Burson
	1	(Whereupon a video clip was played.)
	2	BY MR. BURSON: (Continuing)
	3	Q Okay. So, Detective Williams, I know you can't really
	4	hear, but now that you've seen the footage of it, to the best of
04:38:02	5	your recollection, is that the point that we just watched, where
	6	she makes her mark and that identifying statement on Photo
	7	No. 2?
	8	A The whole the whole sequence, yes.
	9	Q Okay. All right. And am I correct in saying that
04:38:22	10	following this, it appears that she does have a pen on her
	11	right-hand side, next to the water bottle there?
	12	A It looks like it.
	13	Q Okay. And to the best of your recollection, did she retain
	14	a pen of some sort for the for the remainder of the four
04:38:39	15	lineups, as best you recall?
	16	A I believe so. I
	17	Q Now, as best as you recall, did she make any other
	18	identifications that day in the other lineups?
	19	A I believe she identified, uh, one more person in the next
04:39:08	20	lineup. I don't recall who that was at this time. Um, and she
	21	was presented two more, and I believe she was unable to make
	22	identification on those two.
	23	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, if the Court will bear with me,
	24	it appears that the video froze up.
04:39:52	25	THE COURT: Take your time.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                              234
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/D/Burson
                  (Pause in proceedings.)
        1
                     THE COURT: Is it freezing up on you?
        2
                     MR. BURSON: It's freezing up on me, Your Honor.
        3
             don't think it's vital at this point. I'd like to just go ahead
             and continue on, if I may.
        5
04:40:38
        6
                     THE COURT: Okay. Yes.
             BY MR. BURSON:
        7
                              (Continuing)
                  So, Detective Williams, when we last left the video before
             these technical difficulties, you indicated that you agreed with
        9
             me that there was a pen on the right side of EZ, correct?
04:40:49 10
                  I believe so, yes. That's what it looks like.
       11
       12
                  And the second lineup, I believe you testified she made
             another identification, to the best of your recollection.
       13
                  Correct.
       14
             Α
04:41:06 15
                  Okay. Do you recall making any similar movements with a
             pen when she made an identification during the second lineup?
       16
                  I don't believe I did.
       17
                  Okay. And why wouldn't you have made a movement with a pen
       18
             during the second lineup?
       19
                  Because I'd already given her a pen.
04:41:20 20
       21
                  Okay. And to the best of your recollection, she still had
       22
             that pen?
                  Um-hmm.
       23
             Α
                           Yes.
       24
                  And you administered two more lineups that day; is that
04:41:32 25
             right?
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 235 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Okay. Did she make any identification in those lineups?
	3	A I don't believe she did on the last two.
	4	Q Okay.
04:41:56	5	MR. BURSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions at
	6	this time for Detective Williams.
	7	THE COURT: Very well.
	8	MR. McENTIRE: May I please have the
	9	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
04:42:14	10	MR. McENTIRE: Thank you.
	11	
	12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	13	BY MR. McENTIRE:
	14	Q Good afternoon, Detective Williams.
04:42:20	15	A Good afternoon.
	16	Q You testified you've been a detective for approximately two
	17	years.
	18	A Correct.
	19	Q And that at the time of this lineup, that you had been a
04:42:38	20	detective, roughly five months of experience at the time of this
	21	lineup.
	22	A Correct.
	23	Q I'm showing you what's been marked as Defense Exhibit 1002
	24	on your monitor.
04:42:51	25	Can you see that?

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               236
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
                                       Williams/X/McEntire
        1
                  Yes, I can.
                  This is the Yakima County Sheriff's Office Eyewitness
        2
        3
             Identification Policy.
                  Are you familiar with this document?
             Α
                  Yes.
04:43:00
        6
                  Perhaps a clarifying question: Are you familiar with this
             document now?
        7
                  Correct.
                  It appears to be a four-page document. What I'm going to
        9
             do is I'm going to scroll through each page, just to confirm
04:43:16 10
             we're talking about the same thing. This is Page 2. Page 3.
       11
             Page 4 (indicating).
       12
                  And you recognize this document?
       13
                  Yes.
       14
             Α
04:43:29 15
                  Does this fairly and accurately represent the Yakima County
             Sheriff's Office's identification policy?
       16
       17
                  Yes.
                     MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, I'd move to admit Defense
       18
             Exhibit 1002.
       19
                     MR. BURSON: No objection.
04:43:40 20
       21
                     MR. SMITH:
                                 No objection.
                                 It will be admitted.
       22
                     THE COURT:
                   (Defense Exhibit No. 1002 admitted into evidence.)
       23
       24
             BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
                  Detective Williams, I want to draw your specific attention
04:43:45 25
```

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 237 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
		Williams/X/McEntire
	1	to 1002-2, Policy 603.6, Photographic and Live Lineup
	2	Considerations. And it's at the bottom of the page, this first
	3	sentence reading (reading): When practicable, the member
	4	presenting the lineup should not be involved in the
04:44:12	5	investigation of the case or know the identity of suspect.
	6	Turning to the next page (reading): In no case should the
	7	member presenting a lineup to a witness know which photograph or
	8	person in the lineup is being viewed by the witness.
	9	Did I read that correctly?
04:44:38	10	A You read it correctly, yes.
	11	(Court conferring with IT.)
	12	THE COURT: Sorry. Go ahead.
	13	MR. McENTIRE: Thank you, Your Honor.
	14	BY MR. McENTIRE: (Continuing)
04:45:35	15	Q Picking up where I left off, did I read that first sentence
	16	correctly?
	17	A Yes, you did.
	18	Q In your review of the video that we just watched, that
	19	segment of this identification or this lineup with EZ, in fact,
04:45:48	20	were you aware of the photographs that EZ was looking at at the
	21	time of the lineup?
	22	A Can you repeat that question?
	23	Q You could see all of the photos.
	24	A When I flipped through them, yes, I could.
04:46:03	25	Q You were sitting right in front of EZ at the same table.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 238 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire
	1	A You're you're saying all the photos? I mean, I don't
	2	Q Yeah. Let me ask a better question.
	3	You reviewed this stack of photos?
	4	A When I flipped through them to check for the card or for
04:46:20	5	the lineup card, yes.
	6	Q Correct. And then you handed them to EZ.
	7	A Correct.
	8	Q And then EZ started going through them.
	9	A Correct.
04:46:28	10	Q And during that time, there's nothing obstructing you
	11	seeing what EZ could see during that time.
	12	A During that time, no.
	13	Q So you could see what photos
	14	A When she was reviewing them, I could see them, yes.
04:46:39	15	Q Exactly.
	16	And so you would agree, knowing what you know now about the
	17	Yakima County Sheriff's Office's policies, that by allowing you
	18	to see everything that happened you committed a policy
	19	violation.
04:46:50	20	A Correct.
	21	Q I think an important clarification: At the time that you
	22	were doing this, you didn't intend to go into this lineup to
	23	commit a policy violation.
	24	A No.
04:47:07	25	Q It's just something that you weren't aware of.

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 239 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire
	1	A Correct.
	2	Q And you would agree that this was an improper action for
	3	you to take.
	4	A Well, it was a policy violation, if that's what you mean.
04:47:29	5	Q But you weren't aware there was a policy violation.
	6	A At the time, no.
	7	Q And so it's possible to do something that was improper
	8	without realizing it at the time. Fair?
	9	A Sure.
04:48:06	10	MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, the next set of questions
	11	basically is going to involve reviewing certain snippets from
	12	the video, and we can take a shot at it. I've got clips that
	13	are pulled out.
	14	THE COURT: Let's try. And, Counsel, if it doesn't
04:48:27	15	work, we can try to come up with a solution by tomorrow, but
	16	apologies for that.
	17	(Whereupon a video clip was played.)
	18	MR. McENTIRE: I think we're having the same audio issue
	19	that we were having as the United States, Your Honor. It may be
04:50:13	20	that this is the best time to
	21	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Judge, what we've done before is
	22	put the microphone right next to the computer speaker.
	23	THE COURT: But if we do that, then we don't have the
	24	video on the screen.
04:50:37	25	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, literally putting the

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 240 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire microphone down next to the computer speaker, we've done that 1 2 before and it's worked. THE COURT: But there's no audio on the speaker because 3 it's going through the system. So if you unplug it, could you unplug it and play the video and put the microphone there next 5 04:50:50 to the witness? 6 MR. BURSON: If the computer has a VGA hookup, I believe 7 you can hook the video in where you're sitting, leave the green plug out so that the audio will be going to the system but the 9 video will --04:51:09 10 THE COURT: That is way above my technology abilities. 11 12 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Maybe that's what we had before, but I just know it worked before, so ... 13 THE COURT: No audio. 14 04:51:49 15 MS. YOUNGCOURT: No audio at all with VGA. MR. McENTIRE: This may be a -- this may be a pause to 16 17 consult with the Court's technology for the day and see if we 18 can ... THE COURT: I think that's probably a fair assessment. 19 Let's -- let's do this: Let's break. I'm being told that there 04:52:09 20 21 are some difficulties with this that might -- may not be resolved by the morning. I can tell the parties that I have --22 I have watched this with the audio, and I have heard the 23 24 statements. I can tell you that I haven't paid as close 04:52:35 25 attention as apparently all of you have to pens moving around

USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 241 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire and things being said. So I can certainly do that, but I 1 2 imagine that there are questions to be asked. So let's try to figure out how to play that, and we can 3 try and do that overnight. So this is probably a good idea to break at this time. 5 04:52:59 6 Detective, I'll remind you that not to discuss your testimony with any of the parties or any other witness here 7 today, and with that -- actually, I do have other questions. Let's -- for the attorneys, so at this point, sir, you are 9 excused. Thank you. 04:53:20 10 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: The question that I have for you folks is the amount of time that we need. So I quess I need to know, 13 understanding how fast we've been rushing through all of this, 14 04:53:39 15 how much time you all need for the remainder of the witnesses and argument. 16 17 MR. BURSON: I don't see the Government needing another hour in the morning for its final witness. I don't know how 18 cross, how long cross will go with this particular witness. 19 THE COURT: All right. So that takes care of the one 04:53:59 20 21 witness. And with regards to, it's my understanding there are three witnesses for the defense. 22 MR. McENTIRE: Your Honor, there are -- after Detective 23 24 Williams there are one additional witness for the United States, which is Detective McIlrath. I expect the cross-examination of 04:54:19 25

		USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2 242 Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020 Williams/X/McEntire
	1	Detective McIlrath to be quite short, because it's largely going
	2	to be addressed through questions towards Detective Williams.
	3	THE COURT: Okay.
	4	MR. McENTIRE: I think I believe that is all of the
04:54:39	5	United States' witnesses.
	6	MR. BURSON: That's correct.
	7	MR. McENTIRE: I have a short set of questions for
	8	Investigator Chris Reyes regarding his interview with LL, and
	9	then I think the most substantive block would be essentially
04:55:00	10	Dr. Cara Laney, the eyewitness identification expert. And so I
	11	don't envision the cross-examination of Detective Williams to
	12	last that long in the morning. It's just a few clips that I
	13	plan on showing her [sic], and so I'm thinking under under
	14	20 minutes in terms of questions, 15 minutes for Detective
04:55:21	15	Williams and a very few questions for Detective McIlrath, to
	16	give the Court an idea of the cross-examination of the of the
	17	Government's
	18	THE COURT: I imagine that Dr. Laney is going to take a
	19	bit.
04:55:32	20	MR. McENTIRE: Correct, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Rojan?
	22	MR. McENTIRE: It would be Investigator Chris Reyes,
	23	Your Honor, and I imagine my direct would be ten minutes.
	24	THE COURT: Okay. And you have listed another witness
04:55:47	25	as well.

```
USA v. Cloud, et al./1:19-cr-2032-SMJ-1, 2
                                                                               243
                    Evidentiary Motion Hearing/Day 1, Volume I/September 30, 2020
Williams/X/McEntire
                     Is that witness not intending to testify?
        1
                     MR. McENTIRE: Both Chris Reyes as well as Cole Rojan
        2
             were present at the interview of LL. I listed Cole Rojan as a
        3
             precaution; however, I intend to call just one of them, and it
        4
             would be Chris Reyes.
        5
04:56:06
        6
                     THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we do this: Let's try to
             be everyone here tomorrow at 8 o'clock instead of the 9:00 or
        7
             8:30. I like 8:30, but given what's to come, I want to make
        8
             sure we finish. So let's plan on -- everyone loves to get up
        9
             early, so let's all plan to be here at that time, and hopefully
04:56:23 10
       11
             we can figure out these issues.
       12
                     Any other questions, Counsel?
                     MR. BURSON: Not from the Government, Your Honor.
       13
                     MR. McENTIRE: And not from James Cloud.
       14
04:56:38 15
                     MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Very well. Thank you for your presentations
       16
             today, and we will be in recess.
       17
                                                 Thank you.
                     THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
       18
                     Court is now adjourned.
       19
       20
                   (Court adjourned at 4:56 p.m.)
       21
       2.2
       23
       2.4
       25
```

244 CERTIFICATE 1 2 I, KIMBERLY J. ALLEN, do hereby certify: 3 That I am an Official Court Reporter for the United 4 5 States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington in Richland, Washington; 6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken on the date 7 and at the time and place as shown on the first page hereto; and That the foregoing proceedings are a full, true and 9 accurate transcription of the requested proceedings, duly 10 transcribed by me or under my direction. 11 12 I do further certify that I am not a relative of, employee of, or counsel for any of said parties, or otherwise 13 interested in the event of said proceedings. 14 15 DATED this 28th day of October, 2020. 16 17 18 19 20 Kimberly J. Allen, CRR, RMR, RPR, CCR(WA) Washington CCR No. 2758 21 Official Court Reporter Richland, Washington 2.2 23 2.4 25